

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

MAY 2 2025

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

ELIZABETH BELYEA; et al.,

Plaintiffs - Respondents,

v.

GREENSKY HOLDINGS, LLC; et al.,

Defendants - Petitioners.

No. 25-322

D.C. No.

3:20-cv-01693-JSC

Northern District of California,
San Francisco

ORDER

Before: TASHIMA and DESAI, Circuit Judges.

The motion (Docket Entry No. 9) for leave to file a reply in support of the petition is granted.

The petition for permission to appeal is denied. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(f); *Chamberlan v. Ford Motor Co.*, 402 F.3d 952, 959-60 (9th Cir. 2005) (describing factors this court considers in analyzing a Rule 23(f) petition).

The motions (Docket Entry Nos. 1, 7) to file documents under seal are granted in part. To the extent that the motions request to seal material that has been filed publicly in the district court, they are denied. The clerk will file publicly the motions to seal (Docket Entry Nos. 1.1 and 7.1). The clerk will maintain Exhibit A to the petition and the answer under seal at Docket Entry Nos. 2.2 and 8.

Within 21 days: (1) petitioners must submit for public filing a redacted version of Exhibit A to the petition that redacts only the portions that have been

sealed in the district court; and (2) respondents must submit for public filing a redacted version of the answer that redacts only material that has been sealed in the district court. The page numbering and citations in the public versions must remain the same as in the sealed versions.