

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

LAURENCE KAPLAN, on behalf of
himself individually, and on behalf of all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAINT PETER'S HEALTHCARE
SYSTEM, et al.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 13-2941 (MAS) (TJB)

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff Laurence Kaplan's ("Plaintiff") motion to amend the question certified for interlocutory appeal. (ECF No. 113.) Defendants Saint Peter's Healthcare System, Ronald C. Rak, Susan Ballestero, and Garrick Stoldt (collectively, "Defendants") filed opposition to Plaintiff's motion (ECF No. 114), and Plaintiff replied (ECF No. 115). The Court has carefully considered the parties' submissions and decided the matter without oral argument pursuant to Local Civil Rule 78.1. For the reasons set forth in the accompanying Memorandum Opinion, and other good cause shown,

IT IS on this 10th day of December, 2014, **ORDERED** that Plaintiff's motion to amend the question certified for interlocutory appeal is **DENIED**.



MICHAEL A. SHIPP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE