
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH
NORTHERN DIVISION**

INDIANA PUBLIC RETIREMENT
SYSTEM, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

PLURALSIGHT, INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:19-cv-00128

District Judge Ted Stewart

Magistrate Judge Daphne A. Oberg

**ORDER APPROVING
PLAN OF ALLOCATION OF NET SETTLEMENT FUND**

This matter came on for hearing on February 4, 2025 (the “Settlement Hearing”) on Lead Plaintiffs’ motion to determine whether the proposed plan of allocation of the Net Settlement Fund (“Plan of Allocation”) created by the Settlement achieved in the above-captioned consolidated securities class action (the “Action”) should be approved. The Court, having considered all matters submitted to it at the Settlement Hearing and otherwise, and it appearing that notice of the Settlement Hearing substantially in the form approved by the Court was mailed to all Class Members who or which could be identified with reasonable effort, and that a summary notice of the Settlement Hearing substantially in the form approved by the Court was published in the *Wall Street Journal* and was transmitted over *PR Newswire* pursuant to the specifications of the Court; and the Court having considered and determined the fairness and reasonableness of the proposed Plan of Allocation;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated May 1, 2024 (the “Stipulation”), and all capitalized terms not otherwise defined in this Order shall have the same meaning as they have in the Stipulation previously filed with the Court. *See* ECF No. 271-1.

2. The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Order and over the subject matter of the Action and all Parties to the Action, including all Class Members.

3. Pursuant to the Preliminary Approval Order, the Summary Notice of the Proposed Settlement and Plan of Allocation and Settlement Hearing was provided to all Class Members who or which could be identified with reasonable effort. The form and method of notifying Class Members of the motion for approval of the proposed Plan of Allocation satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, [15 U.S.C. § 78u-4](#), as amended, and all other applicable laws and rules; and constituted due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled thereto.


4. A total of 25,635 potential Class Members or nominees were sent copies of the Settlement Notice via a Notice Packet, which included the proposed Plan of Allocation, and there were no objections to the Plan of Allocation.

5. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the formula for the calculation of the Claims of Claimants as set forth in the Plan of Allocation mailed to Class Members provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which to allocate the proceeds of the Net Settlement Fund among Class Members with due consideration having been given to administrative convenience and necessity.

6. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation is, in all respects, fair and reasonable to Class Members. Accordingly, the Court hereby approves the Plan of Allocation proposed by Lead Plaintiffs.

7. There is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Order, and immediate entry by the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed.

SO ORDERED this 4th day of February, 2025.



The Honorable Ted Stewart
United States District Judge