

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
13TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND
FOR HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY,
FLORIDA

CASE NO: 14-CA-008372

MARISELA HERRERA and LUZ
SANCHEZ, individually and on behalf of
others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

JFK MEDICAL CENTER LIMITED
PARTNERSHIP d/b/a JFK CENTER, and
HCA HOLDINGS, INC,

Defendants.

**PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION AND REQUEST FOR STAY
OF BRIEFING AND CONSIDERATION OF THIS MOTION
AND INCORPORATED MEMORANDUM OF LAW**

Plaintiffs Marisela Herrera and Luz Sanchez, on behalf of themselves and all other persons who are similarly situated, hereby move pursuant to Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.220 to certify a class of persons who received emergency care radiological services against Defendants JFK Medical Center Limited Partnership and HCA Holdings, Inc.

Plaintiffs are preemptively moving for class certification at the outset of this litigation in order to prevent a situation where Defendants might pay any amounts owed to the individual plaintiffs or waive any amounts purportedly owed to them by the individual plaintiffs in an attempt to deprive Plaintiffs of standing and prevent this class action suit from being adjudicated. Plaintiffs request, however, that the Court postpone briefing and consideration of this motion until Defendants have answered the complaint and the parties have developed whatever factual record may be required on the issue of class certification.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

After being involved in automobile accidents, each of the Plaintiffs received emergency radiological services at JFK Medical Center. Under Florida's Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law, each Plaintiff had personal injury protection insurance (PIP) providing \$10,000 in coverage for loss resulting from bodily injury, sickness, or disease arising out of the accidents. *See* § 627.736(1)(a)(3), Fla. Stat. Because PIP covers only up to 80% of the charges incurred as a result of emergency medical care received, § 627.736(1)(a)(3), Fla. Stat., Plaintiffs were personally responsible for at least 20% of these charges, as well as completely responsible for any charges in excess of the \$10,000 PIP limit.

As a provider of PIP-covered services, Defendant JFK Medical Center and its controlling parent, Defendant HCA, were permitted by law to charge "only a reasonable amount" for such services. *See* 627.736(5)(a), Fla. Stat. In violation of this law, however, Defendants charged grossly inflated, unreasonable rates for the radiological services rendered to Plaintiffs, billing them as much as 20 to 65 times higher than rates charged to non-PIP patients for the same services. As a direct result of Defendants' billing at exorbitant and unreasonable rates, Plaintiffs were billed more for their 20% out-of-pocket portion of the rates charged for emergency Radiological Services than they would have been if such services were provided at reasonable rates, as were all similarly situated PIP emergency-care patients. Defendants' exorbitant and unreasonable rates also caused the depletion of available PIP coverage at a faster rate, resulting in Plaintiffs, and similarly situated PIP emergency-care patients, being billed out-of-pocket for additional medical services rendered by Defendants and third-party providers that would have otherwise been covered under PIP.

Because of the exorbitant and unreasonable amounts of charges for PIP-covered radiological services rendered to Plaintiff Herrera, her PIP coverage of \$10,000 was prematurely exhausted, she was billed by JFK Medical Center for radiological services that were not paid by her PIP insurer, and she had to pay out of pocket for other medical services rendered by third party providers that would have otherwise been covered by her PIP benefits if not prematurely exhausted by Defendants' unreasonable charges. To date, Plaintiff Herrera has been billed over \$6,500 by JFK Medical Center for radiological services. She has also separately paid over \$4,000 out-of-pocket for medical services rendered by third parties related to her automobile accident.

Because of the exorbitant and unreasonable amounts of charges for PIP-covered radiological services rendered to Plaintiff Sanchez, here PIP coverage of \$10,000 was prematurely exhausted, she was billed by JFK Medical Center for radiological services that were not paid by her PIP insurer, and she had to pay out of pocket for other medical services rendered by third party providers that would have otherwise been covered by her PIP benefits if not prematurely exhausted by Defendants' unreasonable charges. To date, Plaintiff Sanchez has been billed over \$2,500 by JFK Medical Center for radiological services. She has also separately paid over \$2,000 out of pocket for medical services rendered by third parties related to her automobile accident.

Plaintiffs' experiences are typical of the thousands of people that receive PIP-covered radiological services from a Florida HCA hospital. Like Plaintiffs, all members of the Class were charged unreasonable and exorbitant charges for radiological services by Florida HCA hospitals.

ARGUMENT

I. PLAINTIFFS' CLAIMS SATISFY EACH OF THE ELEMENTS FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION UNDER FLORIDA RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 1.220

“A trial court must make its determination as to class certification at an early stage in a cause of action, i.e., “certainly before trial, and typically before discovery is completed.” *Sosa v. Safeway Premium Fin. Co.*, 73 So. 3d 91, 105 (Fla. 2011) (quoting *Engle v. Liggett Group, Inc.*, 945 So. 2d 1246, 1266 (Fla. 2006)). “To certify a class, a trial court must engage in an analysis with regard to whether the class representative and putative class members meet the requirements for class certification promulgated in Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.220.” *Id.* (citing *Canal Ins. Co. v. Gibraltar Budget Plan, Inc.*, 41 So. 3d 375, 377 (Fla. 4th DCA 2010)). “A trial court should resolve doubts with regard to certification in favor of certification, especially in the early stages of litigation.” *Id.* (citing *Chase Manhattan Mortg. Corp. v. Porcher*, 898 So. 2d 153, 156 (Fla. 4th DCA 2005)).

The only question before a court on a motion for class certification is whether the requirements of the Rule have been satisfied—not whether the plaintiffs can prevail on the merits. *Id.* at 105-06; *Rollins v. Builand*, 951 So. 2d 860, 868 (Fla. 2d DCA 2006); *OCE Printing Systems, Inc., v. Mailers Data Services, Inc.*, 760 So. 2d 1037, 1045 (Fla. 2d DCA 2000) (“matters of proof that go to the merits of the claim are inappropriate when considering class certification”).

Under Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.220, there is a two-step process to certifying a class action. “First, the trial court must conclude that a plaintiff has established the prerequisites to class representation described in rule 1.220(a).” *Rollins*, 951 So. 2d at 867. The “threshold requirements for class action representation are that: (1) the members of the class are so

numerous that separate joinder of each member is impracticable, (2) the claim raises questions of law or fact common to each member of the class, (3) the claim of the representative party is typical of the claim of each member of the class, and (4) the representative party can fairly and adequately protect and represent the interests of other members of the class.” *Id.* at 867-68 (citation omitted). “These requirements are commonly referred to as the numerosity, commonality, typicality, and adequacy of representation elements of class certification.” *Id.* at 868 (quoting *Marco Island Civic Ass'n v. Mazzini*, 805 So. 2d 928, 930 (Fla. 2d DCA 2001)).

“In addition to satisfying rule 1.220(a), a plaintiff must also satisfy one of the three subdivisions of rule 1.220(b).” *Id.* The subdivision relevant to this case is contained in subsection (b)(3)—“that common questions of law or fact predominate over any individual questions of the separate members and the class action must be superior to other available methods for a fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.” *Id.* (citing R. 1.220(b)(3)). “The rule 1.220(b)(3) requirement parallels the commonality requirement under rule 1.220(a) because both require that common questions exist, but the predominance requirement in subsection (b)(3) ‘is more stringent since common questions must pervade.’” *Id.* (quoting *Wyeth, Inc. v. Gottlieb*, 930 So. 2d 635, 639 (Fla. 3d DCA 2006)).

As demonstrated below, Plaintiffs have fully satisfied each of the prerequisites of Rule 1.220(a). Furthermore, this action falls squarely within the parameters of Rule 1.220(b)(3). Accordingly, the following proposed class should be certified:

All individuals (or their guardians or representatives) who received PIP-covered emergency care radiological services at an HCA-operated facility in Florida and who either: (a) were billed by the facility for the remaining 20% of the charges for such services; and/or (b) had their \$10,000 of PIP coverage prematurely exhausted by the facility’s charges for such services and, as a result, were left to pay out of pocket for additional medical

services rendered by the facility and/or third-party providers that would otherwise have been covered under PIP.

Excluded from the Class are Defendants, any officers or directors thereof, together with the legal representatives, heirs, successors, or assigns of any Defendant, and any judicial officer assigned to this matter and his or her immediate family.

II. THE PROPOSED CLASSES MEET ALL PREREQUISITES OF RULE 1.220(a)

A. THE MEMBERS OF THE CLASSES ARE SO NUMEROUS THAT JOINDER IS IMPRACTICABLE

The first element of Rule 1.220(a) - numerosity - requires only that joinder be impracticable. “The Court is given discretion to make assumptions when determining the numerosity of a class.” *Fuller v. Becker & Poliakoff, P.A.*, 197 F.R.D. 697, 699 (M.D. Fla. 2000)¹ (citing *Evans v. US. Pipe & Foundry*, 696 F.2d 925, 930 (11th Cir. 1983)). “It is not necessary that the precise number of class members be known.” *Id.* at 698; *see also Leszczynski v. Allianz Ins.*, 176 F.R.D. 659, 669 (S.D. Fla. 1997). But the First District Court of Appeal has noted that “classes as small as 25 have fulfilled the numerosity requirement.” *Estate of Bobinger v. Deltona Corp.*, 563 So. 2d 739, 743 (Fla. 2d DCA 1990).

Defendant HCA operates approximately 80 hospitals, medical centers, and surgical centers throughout Florida. Complaint, Para. 11. Based on the number of patients that Defendants treat in their emergency care facilities following automobile accidents,² Plaintiffs project that thousands of consumers have been billed exorbitant prices for PIP-covered radiological services

¹ Rule 1.220 is patterned after Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23. As a result, the Court may look to federal cases as persuasive authority on the interpretation of the Florida Rule. *Powell v. River Ranch Property Owners Ass’n, Inc.*, 522 So. 2d 69, 70 (Fla. 2d DCA), *rev denied*, 531 So. 2d 1354 (Fla. 1988) (reversing dismissal of class action).

² In 2012, Defendants reported that just JFK treats over 70,000 patients in its emergency room annually. *See HCA 2012 Palm Beach County Community Report*, p. 7, *available at* <http://www.jfkmc.com/util/documents/2012PalmBeachCountyCommunityReport.pdf>.

such that the number of class members is so great that joinder would be impracticable. Thus, there is no question that the numerosity requirement is satisfied.

B. THERE ARE QUESTIONS OF LAW OR FACT COMMON TO EACH CLASS MEMBER

The next inquiry is whether there is “a common question of law or fact among the members of the class.” Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.220(a). The threshold of “commonality” is not high. *Sosa*, 73 So. 3d at 107. Rule 1.220 does *not* require denial of class certification “merely because the claim of one or more class representatives arises in a factual context that varies somewhat from that of other plaintiffs.” *Powell v River Ranch Property Owners Ass’n*, 522 So. 2d 69, 70 (Fla. 2d DCA), *rev. denied*, 531 So. 2d 1354 (Fla. 1988). “[T]he commonality requirement is aimed at determining whether there is a need for, and benefit derived from, class treatment.” *Sosa*, 73 So. 3d at 107 (citing *Broin v. Philip Morris Companies, Inc.*, 641 So. 2d 888, 890 (Fla. 3d DCA 1994)). “More specifically, the commonality prong only requires that resolution of a class action affect all or a substantial number of the class members, and that the subject of the class action presents a question of common or general interest.” *Id.* (citing *Freedom Life Ins. Co. of Am. v. Wallant*, 891 So. 2d 1109, 1116 (Fla. 4th DCA 2004)).

“[T]he commonality requirement is satisfied if the common or general interest of the class members is in the *object* of the action, the *result sought*, or the general *question* implicated in the action.” *Id.* (citing *Imperial Towers Condo., Inc. v. Brown*, 338 So. 2d 1081, 1084 (Fla. 4th DCA 1976)). “This core of the commonality requirement is satisfied if the questions linking the class members are substantially related to the resolution of the litigation, even if the individuals are not identically situated.” *Id.* at 108 (citing *Morgan v. Coats*, 33 So. 3d 59, 64 (Fla. 2d DCA 2010)). The commonality requirement is satisfied if the resolution of at least one common issue

of law or fact will affect the class as a whole, *Cohen v. Chicago Title Ins. Co.*, 242 F.R.D. 295, 299 (E.D. Pa. 2007), or at least a substantial number of class members. *Paladino v. American Dental Plan, Inc.*, 697 So. 2d 897, 898 (Fla. 1st DCA 1997); *W.S. Badcock Corp. v. Myers*, 696 So. 2d 776, 780 (Fla. 1st DCA 1996). “A sufficient nexus is established if the claims or defenses of the class and the class representative arise from the same event or pattern or practice and are based on the same legal theory.” *Kornberg v Carnival Cruise Lines, Inc.*, 741 F.2d 1332, 1337 (11th Cir. 1984), *cert. denied*, 470 U.S. 1004 (1985).

Here, the common questions of law and fact that demonstrate satisfaction of the commonality requirement include the following:

1. Whether Defendants’ charges to PIP patients for Radiological Services were “reasonable”;
2. Whether Defendants had a policy and practice of pricing, billing, and seeking payment from PIP patients for Radiological Services at unreasonable rates;
3. Whether Defendants’ practices of overcharging for Radiological Services were deceptive, unlawful, or unfair in any respect thereby violating Florida’s Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act. (FDUPTA), Fla. Stat. § 501.201, *et seq.*;
4. Whether Defendants’ practices of overcharging for Radiological Services constituted a breach of contract;
5. Whether Defendants’ conduct injured the putative Class members and, if so, the extent of the damages; and
6. Whether Defendants have been unjustly enriched, such that disgorgement of profits is proper, for the wrongful conduct alleged herein.

Thus, the commonality requirement of Rule 1.220(a) is satisfied.

C. PLAINTIFFS' CLAIMS ARE TYPICAL OF THE CLAIMS OF THE CLASS MEMBERS

Typicality “considers the relationship of the class representatives’ claims to the claims of the other members of the class.” *W.S. Badcock*, 696 So. 2d at 780. “The test for determining typicality is whether the claim or defense arises from the same course of conduct leading to the class claims, and whether the same legal theory underlies the claims or defenses.” *Mitchell-Tracey v. United Gen. Title Ins. Co.*, 237 F.R.D. 551, 558 (D. Md. 2006) (quoting *Peoples v. Wendover Funding, Inc.*, 179 F.R.D. 492, 498 (D. Md. 1998)). “The typicality requirement . . . has been observed to be a redundant criterion and some courts have expressed doubt as to its utility. While some courts consider typicality synonymous with the commonality requirement, other courts equate typicality with adequacy of representation.” *Singer v AT&T Corp.*, 185 F.R.D. 681, 689 (S.D. Fla. 1998) (*Alfus v. Pyramid Technology Corp.*, 764 F.Supp. 598, 606 (N.D. Cal. 1991)).

The Southern District in *Singer* summarized the typicality requirement as follows:

In other words, there must be a nexus between the class representative’s claims or defenses and the common questions of fact or law which unite the class. Essentially, the class representative’s claim is typical of the claims of the class if his or her claim and those of the class arise from the same event or pattern or practice and are based on the same legal theory. In essence, a plaintiff and each member of the represented group must have an interest in prevailing on similar legal claims. Assuming such interest, particular factual differences, differences in the amount of damages claimed, or even the availability of certain defenses against a class representative may not render his or her claims atypical.

Id. at 689 (internal citations omitted).

As explained in *Deutschman v. Beneficial Corp.*, 132 F.R.D. 359, 373 (D. Del. 1990), “[T]he focus . . . is not plaintiff’s behavior, but defendants’. If defendants’ course of conduct gives rise

to the claims of all class members, and defendants have not taken any action unique to the named plaintiff, then the representative's claim is typical." (Citing *In re IGI Sec. Lit.*, 122 F.R.D. 451, 456 (D.N.J.1988). Here, the claims asserted by the Plaintiffs in this action are typical of the claims of the members of the putative Class, as the claims arise from the same course of conduct by the Defendants and the relief sought is common. Defendants overcharged Plaintiffs for the same Radiological Services, received partial payment for those services from the Plaintiffs' PIP insurance carriers, and billed Plaintiffs for the remaining outstanding balance. Plaintiffs also had to pay out of pocket for additional medical services rendered by Defendants and third party providers that would have otherwise been covered under PIP had Defendants' inflated charges not prematurely exhausted the coverage. Because there is no variation in the behavior of any of the Defendants that would render the claims of the class representatives atypical of those of the putative class members, the typicality requirement of Rule 1.220(a) is satisfied.

D. PLAINTIFFS WILL FAIRLY AND ADEQUATELY PROTECT THE INTERESTS OF THE CLASS MEMBERS

Rule 1.220(a)(4) requires that a "representative party [be able to] fairly and adequately protect and represent the interests of each member of the class." "Two grounds frequently employed to determine adequacy of representation are the skill of the attorney to prosecute the case and the lack of conflict between the interests of the representatives and those of the class they seek to represent." *Leibell v. Miami-Dade County*, 84 So. 3d 1078, 1085 (Fla. 3d DCA 2012) (citation omitted). "The 'adequacy of representation' requirement is met if the named representatives have interests in common with the proposed class members and the representatives and their qualified attorneys will properly prosecute the class action." *Broin v. Philip Morris Companies, Inc.*, 641 So. 2d 888, 892 (Fla. 3d DCA 1994) (quoting *Pottinger v.*

City of Miami, 720 F. Supp. 955, 959 (S.D. Fla. 1989)). “It is well-settled that it is not necessary for named class representatives to be knowledgeable, intelligent or have a firm understanding of the legal or factual basis on which the case rests in order to maintain a class action.” *Powers v. Gov't Employees Ins. Co.*, 192 F.R.D. 313, 317 (S.D. Fla. 1998) (citations omitted). “This is especially true in cases involving complex areas of the law.” *Id.* (citation omitted).

Here, the interests of the class representatives are in line with the interests of the proposed class members—receiving compensation due as a result of the Defendants charging for PIP-covered radiological services in grossly exorbitant and unreasonable amounts. Furthermore, as indicated in the resume of Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll (attached as Exhibit A), Plaintiffs’ attorneys are qualified and experienced in consumer class action litigation, and possess the skills and competence to properly prosecute this class action. The adequacy requirement of Rule 1.220(a) is, therefore, satisfied.

III. THE PROPOSED CLASSES SATISFY THE REQUIREMENTS OF 1.220(B)(3)

A. FACTS AND ISSUES COMMON TO THE CLASS PREDOMINATE

Class certification under Rule 1.220(b)(3) requires a finding that issues of law or fact common to the class predominate over individual questions. To make such a finding, the Court must determine that the issues in the class action that are subject to generalized proof, and thus applicable to the class as a whole, must predominate over those issues that are subject only to individualized proof. The Court does not need to find “a complete absence of individual issues.” *Singer*, 185 F.R.D. at 687 (citing *Cox v. American Case Iron Pipe Co.*, 784 F.2d 1546, 1557 (11th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 479 U.S. 883 (1986)).

Further, this inquiry focuses on liability, not damages. *Oce Printing Sys. USA, Inc. v. Mailers Data Services, Inc.*, 760 So. 2d 1037, 1043 (Fla. 2d DCA 2000). “If the liability issue is common to the class, common questions are held to predominate over individual questions.” *Singer*, 185 F.R.D. at 690 (citing *Kirkpatrick v. J.C. Bradford & Co.*, 827 F.2d 718, 725 (11th Cir. 1987)). “Individualized damage inquiries will . . . not preclude class certification.” *Sosa v. Safeway Premium Fin. Co.*, 73 So. 3d 91, 107 (Fla. 2011) (citing *Morgan v. Coats*, 33 So. 3d 59, 65 (Fla. 2d DCA 2010); *Ouellette v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*, 888 So. 2d 90, 91 (Fla. 1st DCA 2004); *Broin*, 641 So. 2d at 891 (“Entitlement to different amounts of damages is not fatal to a class action.)).” Nor will the ability of a defendant to raise a common defense. *Sosa*, 73 So. 3d at 113.

“Florida courts have held that common questions of fact predominate when the defendant acts toward the class members in a similar or common way.” *Sosa*, 73 So. 3d at 111 (citing *Stone v. CompuServe Interactive Servs., Inc.*, 804 So. 2d 383, 388 (Fla. 4th DCA 2001)). “[A] class representative establishes predominance if he or she demonstrates a reasonable methodology for generalized proof of class-wide impact.” *Id.* at 112 (citing *InPhyNet Contracting Services, Inc. v. Soria*, 33 So. 3d 766, 771 (Fla. 4th DCA 2010)). “A class representative accomplishes this if he or she, by proving his or her own individual case, *necessarily* proves the cases of the other class members.” *Id.* (citing *Soria*, 33 So. 3d at 771). “However, it is not the burden of the class representative to illustrate that all questions of fact or law are common.” *Id.* (citing *Sacred Heart Health Sys., Inc. v. Humana Military Healthcare Services, Inc.*, 601 F.3d 1159, 1178 (11th Cir. 2010)). “Rather, the class representative must only demonstrate that some questions are common, and that they predominate over individual questions.” *Id.* (citing *Sacred Heart*, 601 F.3d at 1178).

Here, there is a common nucleus of operative facts that make common issues of law and fact predominate over any individual issues. This case centers on the grossly exorbitant rates charged by Defendants for PIP-covered radiological services. The predominant issue in this case is whether Defendants' charges for these services are unreasonable under Florida's Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law. The resolution of this issue will necessarily prove a key element in every cause of action raised by Plaintiffs and predominate over any individualized questions.

B. A CLASS ACTION IS SUPERIOR TO OTHER AVAILABLE METHODS OF RESOLUTION

“Three factors for courts to consider when deciding whether a class action is the superior method of adjudicating a controversy are: (1) whether a class action would provide the class members with the only economically viable remedy; (2) whether there is a likelihood that the individual claims are large enough to justify the expense of separate litigation; and (3) whether a class action cause of action is manageable.” *Sosa*, 73 So. 3d at 116 (citations omitted). Here, it is unlikely that most PIP-covered patients receiving radiological services at Defendants' facilities are aware that they are being billed grossly exorbitant rates that are unreasonable under Florida's Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law. Thus, they are unlikely to bring individual claims. Additionally, this case involves relatively small individual claims compared to the cost of litigating, which is likely to deter individuals from litigating their individual claims. Thus, class litigation is likely the only economically viable remedy for many class members.

As with any class action, any class member with significant enough claims to prompt a desire to control the litigation could opt out of the class and proceed individually. Allowing this action to proceed on a class-wide basis will not be unmanageable because, as discussed above, this action largely addresses issues of fact and law common to all class members and will employ

class-wide proof for most elements of the claims. And neither class size nor the possibility of individual damages determinations are unusually large or unmanageable. Indeed, permitting this action to proceed on a class basis will satisfy the very purpose of the law permitting adjudication by class actions—providing litigants who share common questions of law and fact with an economically viable means of addressing their needs in court.

IV. THE COURT SHOULD STAY THE BRIEFING AND CONSIDERATION OF THIS MOTION.

Plaintiffs are filing this motion for class certification at this time in order to preempt the possibility that Defendants might take actions as to the individual Plaintiffs in an effort to moot their claims, deprive them of standing, and prevent the Court from adjudicating this class action. In essence, Plaintiffs want to prevent Defendants from “picking off” the named Plaintiffs as class representatives. Because of the relatively small value of the claims of the individual Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs anticipate that Defendants may employ this strategy rather than defending Plaintiffs’ class action on the merits.

In *Damasco v. Clearwire Corp.*, 662 F.3d 891, 896 (7th Cir. 2011), the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals explained that the early-filing of a motion for class certification along with a request that the court delay ruling on the motion is an effective method to prevent defendants from picking off class representatives:

Class-action plaintiffs can move to certify the class at the same time that they file their complaint. The pendency of that motion protects a putative class from attempts to buy off the named plaintiffs. Damasco argues that this solution would provoke plaintiffs to move for certification prematurely, before they have fully developed or discovered the facts necessary to obtain certification. But this objection is unpersuasive. If the parties have yet to fully develop the facts needed for certification, then they can also ask the district court to delay its ruling to provide time for additional discovery or investigation.

(Internal citations omitted).

While Plaintiffs do not believe Florida law allows for the picking off of named plaintiffs in a putative class action, they have filed this motion for class certification in an abundance of caution to prevent any such maneuvering by Defendants. Because litigation of this action has just begun, Plaintiffs respectfully request the Court defer briefing and consideration of this motion for class certification until after Defendants have answered the complaint and the parties have had the opportunity to develop whatever factual record may be required on the issue of class certification.

CONCLUSION

Plaintiffs respectfully request that, at the appropriate time, the Court grant their motion for class certification. Plaintiffs further request that, for the time being, the Court defer the briefing and consideration of this motion until Defendants have answered the complaint and the parties have had the opportunity to develop whatever factual record may be required on the issue of class certification.

DATED this 25th day of August, 2014.

Respectfully submitted,

s/Theodore J. Leopold

Theodore J. Leopold (FL Bar No. 705608)

Leslie M. Kroeger (FL Bar No. 989762)

Diana L. Martin (FL Bar No. 624489)

COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS & TOLL PLLC

2925 PGA Boulevard, Suite 200

Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410

Telephone: (561) 515-1400

Kimberly L. Boldt (FL Bar. No. 957399)

BOLDT LAW FIRM

215 S. 21st Avenue

Hollywood, FL 33020
Telephone: (954) 921-2225
Facsimile: (954) 921-2232

Charles E. Cartwright (FL Bar No. 983953)
Adriana Gonzalez (FL Bar No. 0060544)
GONZALEZ, CARTWRIGHT & RIVERA P.A.
813 Lucerne Avenue
Lake Worth, FL 33460
Telephone: (561) 533-0345
Facsimile: (561) 533-0195

Andrew N. Friedman
Matthew S. Axelrod
Douglas J. McNamara
COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS & TOLL PLLC
1100 New York Ave. NW
East Tower, 5th Floor
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: (202) 408-4600
Facsimile: (202) 408-4699

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished by email

Via Florida E-Filing Portal, this 25th day of August, 2014 to:

John D. Emmanuel, Esq.
john.emmanuel@bipc.com
Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC | Fowler
White Boggs
P.O. Box 1438
Tampa, FL 33601
Phone: (813)-228-7411
Fax: (813)-229-8313
Attorneys for HCA Holdings, Inc

Edward M. Waller, Jr., Esq.
edward.waller@bipc.com
Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC | Fowler
White Boggs P.A.
P.O. Box 1438
Tampa, FL 33601
Phone: (813)-228-7411
Fax: (813)-229-8313
Attorneys for HCA Holdings, Inc.

Thomas Meeks, Esq.
tmeeks@cfjblaw.com
Carlton Fields Jordan Burt, P.A.
100 SE 2nd Street, Suite 4200

*Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll, PLLC
2925 PGA Boulevard, Suite 200, Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410
Telephone: (561) 515-1400 Facsimile (561) 515-1401*

Miami, FL 33131
Phone: (305)-530-0050
Fax: (305)-530-0055
Attorneys for JFK Medical Center Limited
Partnership

COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS & TOLL, PLLC
2925 PGA Boulevard, Suite 200
Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410
(561) 515-1400
(561) 515-1401 (facsimile)

By: s/Theodore J. Leopold
THEODORE J. LEOPOLD, ESQ.
Florida Bar No.: 705608
tleopold@cohenmilstein.com



COHEN MILSTEIN

Firm Resume

EXHIBIT A

Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll PLLC

For decades, Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll PLLC has represented individuals, small businesses, institutional investors, and employees in many of the major class action cases litigated in the United States for violations of the antitrust, securities, consumer protection, civil rights/discrimination, ERISA, employment, and human rights laws. Cohen Milstein is also at the forefront of numerous innovative legal actions that are expanding the quality and availability of legal recourse for aggrieved individuals and businesses both domestic and international. Over its history, Cohen Milstein has obtained many landmark judgments and settlements for individuals and businesses in the United States and abroad. The firm's most significant past and present cases include:

- In re Urethane Antitrust Litigation (Polyether Polyol Cases) (D. Kan.). Cohen Milstein represents a class of direct purchasers of several types of chemicals who were overcharged as a result of a nationwide price-fixing and market allocation conspiracy. Cohen Milstein was able to negotiate settlements with certain defendants totaling approximately \$139 million, and proceeded to trial against the remaining defendant. Following the trial, the jury returned a verdict in favor of the class that amounted to \$400 million, which was trebled to \$1.2 billion.
- Countrywide MBS Litigation, (2:10-cv-00302, U.S. District Court in the Central District of California). In April 2013, Plaintiffs in the landmark mortgage-backed securities (MBS) class action litigation against Countrywide Financial Corporation and others, led by Lead Plaintiff, the Iowa Public Employees' Retirement System (IPERS), agreed to a \$500 million settlement. It is the nation's largest MBS-federal securities class action settlement. If approved, the settlement will bring to a close the consolidated class action lawsuit brought in 2010 by multiple retirement funds against Countrywide and other defendants for securities violations involving the packaging and sale of MBS. Bank of America acquired Countrywide in 2008.
- Harborview MBS Litigation, (No. 08-5093) U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York). In early 2014, Plaintiffs in the mortgage-backed securities (MBS) class action litigation against Royal Bank of Scotland (RBS) and others, led by New Jersey Carpenters Health Fund and the Boilermaker Blacksmith Pension Trust, along with additional class representatives Iowa Public Employees' Retirement System and Midwest Operating Engineers Pension Trust Fund, agreed to a \$275 million cash settlement. If approved by the Court, the settlement will bring to a close the consolidated class action lawsuit brought in 2008 by the pension funds against RBS and other defendants for securities violations involving the packaging and sale of 14 public offerings of "Harborview" series MBS.
- In re Vitamins Antitrust Litigation, MDL No. 1285 (D.D.C.). Cohen Milstein served as co-lead counsel for two certified classes of businesses that directly purchased bulk vitamins and were overcharged as a result of a ten year global price-fixing and market allocation conspiracy. Chief Judge Hogan approved four major settlements between certain vitamin defendants and Class Plaintiffs, including a landmark partial settlement of \$1.1 billion. In a later trial before Chief Judge Hogan concerning four Class Plaintiffs' remaining unsettled Vitamin B4 (choline chloride) claims, a federal jury in Washington unanimously found Japan's second largest trading company, Mitsui & Co., Ltd., its wholly-owned U.S. subsidiary Mitsui & Co. (U.S.A.), Inc., DuCoa, LP, a choline chloride manufacturer based in Highland, Illinois, and DuCoa's general partner, DCV, Inc. liable for participating in the conspiracy and ordered them to pay

\$49,539,234, which is trebled to \$148,617,702 under the federal antitrust laws. The case was subsequently settled against those defendants.

- Keepseagle v. Vilsack, Civil Action No. 1:99CV03119 (D.D.C.). A class of Native American farmers and ranchers allege that they have been systematically denied the same opportunities to obtain farm loans and loan servicing that have been routinely afforded white farmers by the USDA. A class was certified in 2001 by Judge Emmet Sullivan, District Judge for the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, and the D.C. Circuit declined USDA's request to review that decision. On October 19, 2010, the case reached a historic settlement, with the USDA agreeing to pay \$680 million in damages to thousands of Native American farmers and ranchers and forgive up to \$80 million worth of outstanding farm loan debt.
- In re Parmalat Securities Litigation, No. 04 MD 1653 (S.D.N.Y.). In this securities litigation case, Cohen Milstein has successfully negotiated two partial settlements totaling approximately \$90 million. At the second partial settlement hearing, Judge Lewis A. Kaplan remarked that plaintiffs counsel "did a wonderful job here for the class and were in all respects totally professional and totally prepared. I wish I had counsel this good in front of me in every case." Our clients, four large European institutional investors, were appointed as co-lead plaintiffs and we were appointed as co-lead counsel. Most notably, this case allowed us the opportunity to demonstrate our expertise in the bankruptcy area. During the litigation, the company subsequently emerged from bankruptcy and we added "New Parmalat" as a defendant because of the egregious fraud committed by the now-bankrupt old Parmalat. New Parmalat strenuously objected and Judge Kaplan of the Southern District of New York ruled in the class plaintiffs' favor, a ruling which was affirmed on appeal. This innovative approach of adding New Parmalat enabled the class to obtain an important additional source of compensation, as we subsequently settled with New Parmalat.
- Dukes v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., No. C-01-2252 (N.D. Cal.). Cohen Milstein is co-lead counsel in this sex discrimination case. In 2004, the U.S. District Court certified a nationwide class action lawsuit for all female employees of Wal-Mart who worked in U.S. stores anytime after December 26, 1998. This was the largest civil rights class action ever certified against a private employer, including approximately 1.5 million current and former female employees. That ruling was appealed, and while affirmed by the Ninth Circuit, was reversed by the Supreme Court in June 2011. Cohen Milstein argued the case for the plaintiffs-respondents in the Supreme Court. Since then, the *Dukes* action has been amended to address only the Wal-Mart regions that include stores in California, and other regional class cases have been or are soon to be filed. This litigation to resolve the merits of the claims – whether Wal-Mart discriminates against its female retail employees in pay and promotions – continues.
- Rubin v. MF Global, Ltd. (08-CV-02233, S.D.N.Y.). Acting as co-lead counsel in this class action, the Firm represented the Central States, Southeast and Southwest Areas Pension Fund which was one of the co-lead plaintiffs in the case. In September 2010, as a result of Plaintiffs' decision to appeal, the U.S. Second Circuit Court of Appeals vacated in part the lower court's dismissal of the case and remanded the case for further proceedings. In overturning the District Court decision, the Second Circuit issued a decision which differentiated between a forecast or a forward looking statement accompanied by cautionary language -- which the Appellate Court said would be insulated from liability under the bespeaks caution doctrine -- from a factual statement, or non-forward-looking statement, for which liability may exist. Importantly, the

Second Circuit accepted Plaintiffs' position that where a statement is mixed, the court can sever the forward-looking aspect of the statement from the non-forward looking aspect. The Court further stated that statements or omissions as to existing operations (and present intentions as to future operations) are not protected by the bespeaks caution doctrine. Mediation followed this decision and resulted in a settlement comprised of \$90 million in cash.

- Hughes v. Huron Consulting Group (09-CV-04734, N.D. Ill.). Cohen Milstein represented lead plaintiffs the Public School Teachers' Pension & Retirement Fund of Chicago and the Arkansas Public Employees Retirement System ("APERS") in this case against Huron Consulting Group, founded by former Arthur Anderson personnel following its collapse in the wake of the Enron scandal. In August 2010, the District Court for the Northern District of Illinois denied defendants' motions to dismiss in their entirety and upheld plaintiffs' allegations that defendants intentionally improperly accounted for acquisition-related payments, which allowed plaintiffs to move forward with discovery. The case was settled for \$40 million, comprised of \$27 million in cash and 474,547 shares in Huron common stock, with an aggregate value at the time of final approval in 2011 of approximately \$13 million.
- In re Lucent Technologies Securities Litigation, Civ. Action No. 00-621 (JAP) (D.N.J.). A settlement in this massive securities fraud class action was reached in late March 2003. The class portion of the settlement amounts to over \$500 million in cash, stock and warrants and ranks as the second largest securities class action settlement ever completed. Cohen Milstein represented one of the co-lead plaintiffs in this action, a private mutual fund.
- RehabCare, Civil Action No. 6197 (Delaware Court of Chancery). Cohen Milstein served as co-lead counsel in this shareholder litigation challenging the acquisition of healthcare provider RehabCare Group, Inc. by Kindred Healthcare, Inc. A settlement was approved in September 2011 and provided for additional disclosures regarding the process leading up to the merger along with a \$2.5 million payment for the benefit of the class of RehabCare shareholders.
- Nate Pease, et al. v. Jasper Wyman & Son, Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 00-015 (Knox County Superior Court, Me.). In 2004, a state court jury from Maine found three blueberry processing companies liable for participating in a four-year price-fixing and non-solicitation conspiracy that artificially lowered the prices defendants paid to approximately 800 growers for wild blueberries. The jury ordered defendants Cherryfield Foods, Inc., Jasper Wyman & Son, Inc., and Allen's Blueberry Freezer, Inc. to pay \$18.68 million in damages, the amount which the growers would have been paid absent the defendants' conspiracy. After a mandatory trebling of this damage figure under Maine antitrust law, the total amount of the verdict for the plaintiffs is just over \$56 million. The Firm served as co-lead counsel.
- In re StarLink Corn Products, Liability Litigation, MDL No. 1403. (N.D. Ill.). Cohen Milstein successfully represented U.S. corn farmers in a national class action against Aventis CropScience USA Holding and Garst Seed Company, the manufacturer and primary distributor of StarLink corn seeds. StarLink is a genetically modified corn variety that the United States government permitted for sale as animal feed and for industrial purposes, but never approved for human consumption. However, StarLink was found in corn products sold in grocery stores across the country and was traced to widespread contamination of the U.S. commodity corn supply. The Firm, as co-lead counsel, achieved a final settlement providing more than \$110 million for U.S. corn farmers, which was approved by a federal district court in April 2003.

This settlement was the first successful resolution of tort claims brought by farmers against the manufacturers of genetically modified seeds.

- In re Diet Drug Litigation (Fen-Phen), MDL No. 1203 (E.D. Pa.). As a member of the Plaintiffs' Management Committee and Sub-Class Counsel, Cohen Milstein played a major part in the success of the Fen-Phen diet drug litigation and settlement (*In re: Diet Drugs (Phentermine, Fenfluramine, Dexfenfluramine) Products Liability Litigation*, MDL 1203). Cohen Milstein and other plaintiffs' counsel achieved the largest settlement ever obtained in a mass tort case - \$3.75 billion – on behalf of millions of U.S. consumers who used Pondimin (fenfluramine) or Redux (dexfenfluramine), either alone or in combination with phentermine, diet drugs that are associated with heart valve damage.
- Snyder v. Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company, No. 97/0633 (Sup. Ct. N.Y. Onondaga Cty.). Cohen Milstein served as one of plaintiffs' principal counsel in this case on behalf of persons who held life insurance policies issued by Nationwide through its captive agency force. The action alleged consumer fraud and misrepresentations. Plaintiffs obtained a settlement valued at more than \$85 million. The judge praised the efforts of Cohen Milstein and its co-counsel for having done “a very, very good job for all the people.” He complimented “not only the manner” in which the result was arrived at, but also the “time ... in which it was done.”
- Oncology & Radiation Associates, P.A. v. Bristol Myers Squibb Co., et al., No. 1:01CV02313 (D.D.C.). Cohen Milstein has been co-lead counsel in this case since its inception in 2001. Plaintiffs alleged that Bristol-Myers Squibb unlawfully monopolized the United States market for paclitaxel, a cancer drug discovered and developed by the United States government, which Bristol sells under the brand name Taxol. Bristol's scheme included a conspiracy with American BioScience, Inc., a generic manufacturer, to block generic competition. Cohen Milstein's investigation and prosecution of this litigation on behalf of direct purchasers of Taxol led to a settlement of \$65,815,000 that was finally approved by U.S. District Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on August 14, 2003 and preceded numerous Taxol-related litigations brought by the Federal Trade Commission and State Attorneys General offices.
- Kruman v. Christie's International PLC, et al., Docket No. 01-7309. A \$40 million settlement on behalf of all persons who bought or sold items through Christie's or Sotheby's auction houses in non-internet actions was approved in this action. Cohen Milstein served as one of three leading counsel on behalf of foreign plaintiffs. The Court noted that approval of the settlement was particularly appropriate, given the significant obstacles that faced plaintiffs and plaintiffs' counsel in the litigation. The settlement marked the first time that claims on behalf of foreign plaintiffs under U.S. antitrust laws have been resolved in a U.S. court, a milestone in U.S. antitrust jurisprudence.
- In re The Exxon Valdez Litigation, No. A89-095 Civ. (D. Ak.). The firm was selected from dozens of law firms around the country by federal and state judges in Alaska to serve as co-lead counsel for plaintiffs in the largest environmental case in United States history that resulted in a jury verdict of more than \$5 billion (reversed and remanded for revised punitive damages award; further proceedings pending).
- Holocaust Litigation. In the historic Swiss Banks litigation, Cohen Milstein served, *pro bono*, as co-lead counsel for Holocaust survivors against the Swiss banks that collaborated with the

Nazi regime during World War II by laundering stolen funds, jewelry and art treasures. Cohen Milstein obtained a \$1.25 billion settlement, leading the presiding judge to call the firm's work "indispensable." See *In re Holocaust Victim Assets Litig.*, Case No. CV 96-4849 (ERK) (MDG) (Memorandum of Chief Judge Korman dated July 26, 2002). The Firm was also a lead counsel in litigation by survivors of World War II-era forced and slave labor in litigation against the German companies that profited from using the labor of concentration camp inmates. This litigation, which resulted in an unprecedented settlement of \$5.2 billion, was resolved by multinational negotiations involving the defendants, plaintiffs' counsel, and the governments of several countries for approximately two million claimants.

Cohen Milstein has contributed over tens of thousands of hours of time to human rights and *pro bono* cases since 1996. As an example, the Firm represented eight survivors and/or families of the victims of the September 11, 2001 attack on the Pentagon before the Federal compensation fund. Cohen Milstein has obtained a substantial recovery for each, including the highest recovery to date, \$6.8 million, for an injured individual.

- Roberts v. Texaco, Inc., 94-Civ. 2015 (S.D.N.Y.). Cohen Milstein represented a class of African-American employees in this landmark litigation that resulted in the then-largest race discrimination settlement in history (\$176 million in cash, salary increases and equitable relief). The Court hailed the work of class counsel for, *inter alia*, "framing an imaginative settlement, that may well have important ameliorative impact not only at Texaco but in the corporate context as a whole ...".
- Conanan v. Tanoue, No. 00-CV-3091 (ESH). Cohen Milstein represented African-American employees at the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) in this race discrimination suit, which settled for \$14 million. The settlement provides the largest payment made in an employment discrimination class action based on race against a federal agency.
- Trotter v. Perdue Farms, Inc., Case No. 99-893 (RRM) (JJF) (MPT), D. Del. This suit on behalf of hourly workers at Perdue's chicken processing facilities – which employ approximately 15,000 people – forced Perdue to pay employees for time spent "donning and doffing," that is, obtaining, putting on, sanitizing and removing protective equipment that they must use both for their own safety and to comply with USDA regulations for the safety of the food supply. The suit alleged that Perdue's practice of not counting donning and doffing time as hours worked violated the Fair Labor Standards Act and state law. In a separate settlement with the Department of Labor, Perdue agreed to change its pay practices. In addition, Perdue is required to issue retroactive credit under one of its retirement plans for "donning and doffing" work if the credit would improve employees' or former employees' eligibility for pension benefits. Cohen Milstein was co-lead counsel.

In addition, Cohen Milstein is an innovator in new areas of the law. Cohen Milstein was in the forefront of filing antitrust claims on behalf of indirect purchasers in 1993 and 1994, when it filed state-court actions in 18 states on behalf of indirect purchasers of infant formula. This was the first effort to systematically and simultaneously pursue treble damages claims on behalf of indirect-purchasing consumers in all states where antitrust laws permitted such claims. This approach, and variations of it, has since become the accepted model for pursuing antitrust damages on behalf of indirect-purchasing consumers. The Firm also has been in the forefront of the development of international antitrust theory and litigation of claims. As the global economy has produced worldwide

conglomerates, so, too, has the nature of antitrust violations changed. For example, in *Kruman v. Christie's International PLC, et al.* Docket No. 01-7309 and *In re Bulk Vitamins Antitrust Litigation*, MDL 1285 (D.D.C.), both the parties and the anticompetitive actions were played out on a world, rather than domestic, stage. The firm also represents and won Lead Plaintiff status for domestic and foreign investors in a foreign company's bonds, in a PSLRA litigation being pursued in the United States, *In re Parmalat Securities Litigation*, Master Docket 04 Civ. 0030 (LAK) (S.D.N.Y.).

Cohen Milstein has also served as lead or co-lead counsel, or on Plaintiffs' Executive Committee(s), in many dozens of antitrust, securities, consumer protection or product liability, civil rights, and human rights class action cases.

Awards & Recognition

In 2014, Cohen Milstein attorneys R. Joseph Barton, Andrew Friedman, Agnieszka Fryszman, Karen Handorf, Kit A. Pierson, Julie Reiser, Bruce Rinaldi, Joseph M. Sellers, Linda Singer, Daniel A. Small, Daniel S. Sommers, Steven J. Toll and Christine E. Webber were selected as **Washington DC Super Lawyers**.

In 2014, Cohen Milstein attorneys Laura Alexander, Monya Bunch, S. Douglas Bunch, Joshua S. Devore, Jeffrey Dubner, Johanna Hickman, Joshua Kolsky, Kalpana Kotagal, Emmy Levens, Peter Romer-Friedman, Michelle Yau and David Young were selected as **Washington DC Rising Stars** by Super Lawyers.

In 2014, Best Lawyers named Cohen Milstein Partner Joseph Sellers D.C. Litigation - Labor & Employment Lawyer of the Year.

In 2013, for the third-year in a row, Cohen Milstein was selected to the *National Law Journal* **Plaintiffs' Hot List**.

In 2013, Cohen Milstein was named a "Most Feared Plaintiffs Firm" by Law360.

In 2013, Cohen Milstein was ranked as a Leading Plaintiff Class Action Antitrust Firm in the United States by the Legal 500 for the fifth year in a row.

In 2013, Cohen Milstein attorneys Joseph Barton, Andrew Friedman, Agnieszka Fryszman, Karen Handorf, Kit A. Pierson, Julie G. Reiser, Joseph M. Sellers, Daniel A. Small, Daniel S. Sommers, Steven J. Toll, and Christine E. Webber were selected as **Washington DC Super Lawyers**.

In 2013, Cohen Milstein attorneys Joshua Devore and Michelle Yau were selected as **Washington DC Rising Stars** by Super Lawyers.

In 2013, Cohen Milstein Partner Carol V. Gilden was selected as a **2013 Illinois Super Lawyer**.

In 2012, for the second-year in a row, Cohen Milstein was selected to the *National Law Journal* **Plaintiffs' Hot List**.

In 2012, Cohen Milstein was the recipient of the Judith M. Conti Pro Bono Law Firm of the Year Award from the Employment Justice Center.

In 2012, Cohen Milstein was recognized as a "Highly Recommended Washington, DC Litigation Firm" by Benchmark Plaintiff: The Definitive Guide to America's Leading Plaintiff Firms and Attorneys.

In 2012, Cohen Milstein was ranked as a top firm by the 2011 SCAS Report on Total Securities Class Action Settlements.

In 2012, Cohen Milstein was ranked as a Leading Plaintiff Class Action Antitrust Firm in the United States by the Legal 500 for the fourth year in a row.

In 2012, Partner Joseph M. Sellers was selected as a **Washington DC Super Lawyer**. Mr. Sellers was also selected for this prestigious award in 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, and 2012.

In 2012, Partner Steven J. Toll was selected as a **Washington DC Super Lawyer**. Mr. Toll was also selected for this prestigious award in 2007, 2009, 2010, and 2011.

In 2012, Partner Daniel S. Sommers was selected as a **Washington DC Super Lawyer**. Mr. Sommers was also selected for this prestigious award in 2011.

In 2012, Partner Christine E. Webber was selected as a **Washington DC Super Lawyer**. Ms. Webber was also selected for this prestigious award in 2007.

In 2012, Partner Agnieszka M. Fryszman was selected as a **Washington DC Super Lawyer**.

In 2012, Partner Kit A. Pierson was selected as a **Washington DC Super Lawyer**.

In 2012, Partner Carol V. Gilden was selected as an **Illinois Super Lawyer**. Ms. Gilden was also selected for this prestigious award in 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, and 2011.

In 2011, Cohen Milstein was selected to the *National Law Journal* **Plaintiffs' Hot List**.

In 2011, Partner Joseph M. Sellers was selected as a "**Visionary**" by *The National Law Journal*.

In 2011, Partner J. Douglas Richards, Of Counsel Joel Laitman, and Of Counsel Christopher Lometti were selected as **New York - Metro Super Lawyers**.

In 2011, Partner Joseph M. Sellers and the *Keepseagle v. Vilsack* team were selected as a finalist for the **2011 Trial Lawyer of the Year Award** from the Public Justice Foundation.

In 2011, **Cohen Milstein was ranked as a Leading Plaintiff Class Action Antitrust Firm in the United States** by the Legal 500 for the third year in a row.

In 2011, Partners Steven Toll, Joseph Sellers, and Daniel Sommers were selected as **Washington DC Super Lawyers**. Partner J. Douglas Richards, Of Counsel Joel Laitman and Christopher Lometti were selected as **New York - Metro Super Lawyers**. Partner Carol Gilden was selected as an **Illinois Super Lawyer**.

In 2011, Cohen Milstein was a recipient of *The National Law Journal's* **Pro Bono Award**. The Firm was named one of the "six firms that best reflect the pro bono tradition."

In 2010, Partner Joseph M. Sellers was selected as one of "**The Decade's Most Influential Lawyers**" by *The National Law Journal*.

In 2010, Partner Steven J. Toll was named one of Law360's "**Most Admired Attorneys**".

In 2010, Partner Andrew N. Friedman was selected as a **Washington DC Super Lawyer**.

In 2010, Partner Linda Singer was selected as one of “**Washington’s Most Influential Women Lawyers**” by *The National Law Journal*.

In 2010, Partner Agnieszka M. Fryszman was selected as a finalist for the **Trial Lawyer of the Year Award** from the Public Justice Foundation.

In 2010, Partners Joseph M. Sellers and Agnieszka M. Fryszman were both selected as one of the **Lawdragon 500 Leading Lawyers in America**.

In 2010, Cohen Milstein was once again ranked as a **Leading Plaintiff Class Action Antitrust Firm in the United States** by the Legal 500.

In 2009, Partner Steven J. Toll was named a **Top Attorney in Corporate Litigation for Securities Litigation** by Super Lawyers.

In 2009, Partners Joseph M. Sellers and Christine E. Webber were named as **Top Washington Lawyers** by the Washingtonian Magazine.

In 2009, Cohen Milstein was recognized as **one of the top 50 law offices in Washington D.C. for diversity efforts**.

In 2009, Cohen Milstein was nominated for the prestigious **Class Action Law Firm of the Year** award by Global Pensions magazine for the third year in a row.

Cohen Milstein ranked as a **2009 Leading Plaintiff Class Action Antitrust Firm in the United States** by *The Legal500*.

The **2008 SCAS Report on Total Securities Class Action Settlements** ranked Cohen Milstein as a top firm for the second year in a row.

In 2008, Cohen Milstein was nominated for the prestigious **Class Action Law Firm of the Year** award by Global Pensions magazine for the second year in a row.

In 2008, Managing Partner Steven J. Toll was named one of Lawdragon’s **100 Lawyers You Need to Know in Securities Litigation**.

In 2008, Steven J. Toll and Joseph M. Sellers were both named as one of Lawdragon’s “**500 Leading Lawyers in America**.”

500 Leading Plaintiffs’ Lawyers in America

Lawdragon
January-February, 2007

Top Antitrust Plaintiffs’ Firm

Competition Law 360
February 14, 2007
Cohen Milstein named #1

Joseph M. Sellers was selected by his peers to be included in the 2007 edition of **The Best Lawyers in America®** in the specialty of Civil Rights Law.

Beacon of Justice Award - For Cohen Milstein's work on the Guantanamo cases.
From the National Legal Aid and Defender Association
Summer 2007

Fierce Sister Award - For Cohen Milstein's work on the comfort woman case.
Summer 2007

The Plaintiffs' Hotlist
The National Law Journal
October 9, 2006

Runner up for Matter of the Year
Global Competition Review
February, 2005
On Empagran matter, praised for ingenuity in how the case was prosecuted

Attorney Profiles – Partners

Steven J. Toll

Steven J. Toll joined the Firm in 1979 and has been lead or principal counsel in some of the most highly publicized stock fraud cases for over 30 years. He has been Managing Partner of the Firm since 1997 and is co-chair of the Securities Fraud/Investor Protection practice group. Mr. Toll was profiled in the February 1996 *Washington Business Journal* as one of five attorneys that stand out as the “cream of the crop” in the Washington D.C. legal community. *Lawdragon* named him as one of the 500 Leading Lawyers in America in 2006-07-08, as well as naming him one of the 100 Lawyers You Need to Know in Securities Litigation in 2008. In 2010, Mr. Toll was selected *Law360's* "Most Admired Attorneys" and in 2012 and 2013, he was selected as a "Leading Plaintiffs Star in the District of Columbia" by Benchmark: Litigation, *the Guide to America's Leading Litigation Firms and Attorneys*.

In July 2005, Mr. Toll was lead trial counsel in one of the few securities class actions to go to trial involving Globalstar, a satellite manufacturer. Mr. Toll successfully argued the motions before and during trial and ultimately achieved a settlement of \$20 million shortly before the case was scheduled to go to the jury. In approving the settlement, U.S. District Judge Kevin Castel remarked that Mr. Toll and his colleagues had “done a terrific job in presenting the case for the plaintiffs.”

Mr. Toll is co-lead counsel in the largest case ever resolved involving mortgage-backed securities, the case against Countrywide. That case ultimately settled, after years of vigorous litigation and multiple legal challenges, for \$500 million in 2013. Some of Mr. Toll's other notable cases include those against Lucent Technologies, which was settled in 2001 for approximately \$575 million, at the time, the second largest securities class action settlement ever achieved; *Converium*, where he negotiated a global settlement in the U.S. courts and the courts in Amsterdam of \$135 million; *MF Global*, where he helped negotiate a settlement of \$90 million; *Southmark Securities Litigation*, where he helped achieve a settlement of \$70 million from the company's auditors, Drexel Burnham and Michael Milken; *Norman v. Salomon Smith Barney*, where he negotiated a \$50 million settlement on behalf of customers of Salomon's Guided Portfolio Management Program, who alleged that Salomon invested their money in companies in order to boost Salomon's investment banking business.

Mr. Toll also served as co-lead counsel in one of the most publicized frauds of the 1990s -- *Cascade International* (S.D. Fla.) where the mastermind of the fraud, Victor Incendy, is still a fugitive from justice. The case settled on the eve of trial against Raymond James Inc. -- the only securities class action ever successfully litigated against a brokerage firm for its role as a research analyst.

Mr. Toll is currently co-lead counsel in the BP Securities Litigation, a major case stemming out of the Deepwater Horizon explosion in 2010 and BP's process safety disclosures. He led the Firm's team as co-lead counsel in one of the most highly publicized fraud cases of this era, the securities fraud class action involving Parmalat, the Italian dairy manufacturer; the case is known as Europe's “Enron,” because of the similarities of the fraudulent schemes and the non-existence of billions of dollars of assets that had been recorded on Parmalat's financial statements. That case was settled for \$90 million. He was also lead counsel in a mortgage-backed securities case against Lehman Brothers that settled for \$40 million.

He has written for and spoken at various conferences about securities law and corporate governance issues, including, *inter alia*, *The Plaintiffs' Perspective, Securities Regulation and the New Law*,

National Legal Center for the Public Interest, No. 1, Sept. 1996; *The Sarbanes-Oxley Bill Provides No Assistance To Investors Seeking To Recovery From Corporate Fraud*, ABA Annual Meeting, August 2002; *The Analyst Cases Involving Merrill Lynch, and Its Internet Analyst Henry Blodget, and Salomon Smith Barney and Its Telecommunications Analyst Jack Grubman*, Mass Torts Made Perfect (presented January 2003); and *Coming to Terms with Loss Causation after Dura: A Response to Professors Portnoy, Ferrell, and Saha*, The Journal of Corporation Law, Fall 2009.

Mr. Toll is an honors graduate of the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania (B.S., Accounting, *cum laude*, 1972). He graduated from Georgetown University Law Center (J.D., 1975) where he was Special Project Editor of the Tax Lawyer.

Joseph M. Sellers

Joseph M. Sellers, a Partner at the Firm and head of the Civil Rights & Employment practice group, joined Cohen Milstein in 1997.

Mr. Sellers has represented victims of discrimination and other illegal employment practices individually and through class actions. He has tried several civil rights class actions to judgment before juries and has argued more than 25 appeals in the federal and state appellate courts, including the United States Supreme Court. He has served as class counsel, and typically lead counsel, in more than 30 civil rights and employment class actions.

Those cases have included: serving as lead counsel in *Keepseagle v. Vilsack* (D.D.C.), which resulted in a settlement providing \$760 million of relief and broad injunctive relief to Native American farmers and ranchers who were denied loans or loan servicing by the United States Department of Agriculture. In approving the settlement, the Court commended counsel saying, “[i]t’s probably the best negotiated agreement that this court has seen in its experience . . . the terms of this settlement are historic,” and Cohen Milstein has “demonstrated the highest level of skills and professionalism.” In addition, Mr. Sellers represented a class of women alleging sex discrimination in promotions and compensation in *Dukes v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.* (N.D. Cal.), where he presented oral argument on their behalf before the United States Supreme Court and continues to represent them in regional class actions formed in the wake of the Supreme Court’s ruling; he has successfully represented a class of more than 28,000 women employees at Boeing facilities in Washington state in *Beck v. Boeing Company* (W.D. Wash.), where they alleged sex discrimination in compensation and promotion practices and overtime decisions; *Conway, et al. v. Deutsch* (E.D. Va.), involving a class of female covert case officers at the CIA alleging sex discrimination in promotions and job assignments; *Johnson v. Freeh* (D.D.C.), involving a class of African-American FBI special agents alleging racial discrimination in promotion and job assignments; *Neal v. Director, D.C Dept. of Corrections* (D.D.C.), the first sexual harassment class action tried to a jury on behalf of a class of women correctional employees and women and men subject to retaliation at the D.C. Department of Corrections; and *Trotter v. Perdue Farms* (D. Del.), involving a company-wide collective action brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act for violations of federal wage and hour law.

Throughout his career, Mr. Sellers has also been active in legislative matters. He has testified more than 20 times before Committees of the United States Senate and House of Representatives on various civil rights and employment matters. He worked on the passage of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, and the Lily Ledbetter Fair Pay Restoration Act of 2009.

Mr. Sellers has trained lawyers at the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and the U.S. Department of Justice on the trial of civil rights cases and has lectured extensively throughout the country on various civil rights and employment topics. He was an Adjunct Professor at the Washington College of Law at American University, where he taught Employment Discrimination law, and at the Georgetown University Law Center, where he taught Professional Responsibility.

He served on the Obama/Biden Transition Team in 2008 and the Clinton/Gore Transition Team in 1992 and 1993. He also served as a Co-Chair of the D.C. Circuit Task Force on Gender, Race and Ethnic Bias to which he was appointed by the judges of the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals and the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia.

At the request of the Ford Foundation and the American Bar Association, Mr. Sellers delivered a series of lectures and designed and delivered a mock trial on civil rights law to Chinese judges, lawyers and other government officials in China.

Mr. Sellers was recognized as one of the top lawyers in Washington and as one of the top 10 plaintiffs' employment lawyers in the country. In 2010, he was recognized as one of "The Decade's Most Influential Lawyers" by The National Law Journal, in 2011 he was recognized as a Visionary in the legal profession by The Legal Times and in 2012 he was given the Wiley A. Branton Award by the Washington Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights and Urban Affairs for his leadership in civil rights. He is served as a professionally-trained mediator and has served as the President of the Washington Council of Lawyers.

Prior to joining Cohen Milstein, Mr. Sellers served as head of the Employment Discrimination Project of the Washington Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights and Urban Affairs for over 15 years.

Mr. Sellers received a J.D. from Case Western Reserve School of Law (1979), where he served as Research Editor of the Case Western Reserve Law Review, and a B.A. in American History and Literature from Brown University (1975).

Mr. Sellers is admitted to practice in the District of Columbia.

Andrew N. Friedman

Andrew Friedman, a Partner at the Firm, joined Cohen Milstein in 1985. He is a member of the Securities Fraud/Investor Protection practice group and the head of the Consumer Protection & Unsafe Products practice group.

Mr. Friedman has been involved in many successful securities class actions. In July, 2005, Mr. Friedman served as one of lead trial counsel at the trial of a certified class action in *In re Globalstar Securities Litigation* in the United States District court for the Southern District of New York. Near the end of the second week of trial, a cash settlement of \$20 million was reached for the benefit of the certified class. The settlement was approved by Judge P. Kevin Castel, who was highly complimentary of counsel: "This case has been litigated by top trial lawyers, each of whom, as to both lead counsel and the other counsel in the case, have been exceptionally fine in their presentation of the evidence. Mr. Toll, Mr. Friedman, Mr. Shalov, their colleagues Mr. Devore, Ms. Peterson, have all done a terrific job in presenting the case for the plaintiffs."

In addition, Mr. Friedman served as one of co-lead or principal counsel in *Norman Frank et al. v. David L. Paul* (recovery of over \$18 million); *In re Jiffy Lube Securities Litigation* (D. Md.) (recovery of over \$12 million); and *In re Immunex Securities Litigation* (W.D. Wash.) (recovery of \$14 million, then the largest securities class action settlement in Seattle). Mr. Friedman was one of the Firm's attorneys selected by the County of Cuyahoga, Ohio to prosecute a lawsuit that sought to recover losses from the County's Secured Assets Fund Earnings Program (S.A.F.E.). The lawsuit alleged that broker/dealers and a financial institution assisted the County in engaging in unsuitable and inappropriate investments and trading activity. The case settled favorably for \$9.5 million.

In the consumer protection area, Mr. Friedman has been instrumental in securing significant recoveries on behalf of thousands of consumers. He was one of the principal counsel in *Snyder v. Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company* (Sup. Ct., Onondaga Cnty, N.Y.), a class action that resulted in a settlement valued at between \$85 million and \$103 million. As one of two co-lead counsel in a class action against Thomson Consumer Electronics, Mr. Friedman reached a court-approved agreement that made up to \$100 million available for persons who paid for unreimbursed repairs to televisions. He was also part of the plaintiffs' team that secured nationwide benefits for GM vehicle purchasers as the result of defective automobile engine coolants. *In re General Motors Dex-Cool Products Liability Litigation* (S.D. Ill).

Mr. Friedman has been a speaker on numerous panels for legal education seminars and institutional investor conferences on the issues of securities class actions, securities fraud monitoring, accounting fraud and corporate governance. He was featured in a November 15, 1997 Washington Post article about securities class actions and profiled in the April 14, 2000 edition of The Washington Business Journal. In 2007, Lawdragon named Mr. Friedman as one of the 3,000 Leading Plaintiffs' Lawyers in America and in 2011, he was named to the Super Lawyers 2011 Business Edition for litigation.

Prior to joining Cohen Milstein, Mr. Friedman served as an attorney with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Mr. Friedman graduated from Tufts University with a B.A. in Psychology (1980, *magna cum laude*, Phi Beta Kappa) and is a 1983 graduate of the National Law Center, George Washington University.

Mr. Friedman is admitted to practice in the District of Columbia and New York.

Daniel S. Sommers

Daniel Sommers, a Partner at the Firm, joined Cohen Milstein in 1988. He is co-chair of the Firm's Securities Fraud practice group and is a member of the Firm's Executive Committee.

During his career at Cohen Milstein, Mr. Sommers served as lead or co-lead counsel or otherwise played a significant role in securities class actions in federal courts throughout the United States. He currently represents institutional investors including, among others, the New York State Common Retirement Fund, the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System, the State Teachers Retirement System of Ohio, and the Arkansas Public Employees Retirement System. He is one of the lead counsel for investors in significant securities litigation matters including *In re BP plc Securities Litigation* (S.D. Tex.) and in *In re Bear Stearns Mortgage Pass Through Certificates Litigation* (S.D.N.Y.), and is also currently involved in the prosecution of the *In re Fannie Mae Securities Litigation* (D.D.C.). In addition, Mr. Sommers serves as one of the lead U.S. counsel for investors in *In re Convergium (Scor) Securities Litigation*, where he utilized the Dutch Collective Action Statute to

obtain a groundbreaking opinion from the Amsterdam Court of Appeal approving a world-wide settlement on behalf of non-U.S. investors.

Mr. Sommers has obtained significant recoveries for investors in numerous class action cases including: *Steiner v. Southmark Corporation* (N.D. Tex.) (over \$70 million recovery); *In re PictureTel Inc. Securities Litigation* (D. Mass.) (\$12 million recovery); *In re Physician Corporation of America Securities Litigation* (S.D. Fla.) (\$10.2 million recovery); *In re Gilat Satellite Securities Litigation* (E.D.N.Y.) (\$20 million recovery); *In re Pozen Inc. Securities Litigation* (M.D.N.C.) (\$11.2 million recovery); *In re Nextel Communications Securities Litigation* (D.N.J.) (up to \$27 million recovery); *In re PSINet Inc. Securities Litigation* (E.D. Va.) (\$17.8 million recovery); *In re Cascade International Inc. Securities Litigation*, (S.D. Fla.) (global recovery of approximately \$10 million); *In re GT Solar Securities Litigation* (D.N.H.) (recovery of \$10.5 million) and *In re ECI Telecom Securities Ltd. Litigation* (E.D. Va.) (\$21.75 million recovery). He has also handled significant appellate matters including arguing before the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit in *In re CP Ships Ltd. Securities Litigation*, 578 F. 3d 1306 (2009), where he successfully opposed objections to a settlement that provided non-U.S. investors with the protections of the federal securities laws. In addition, he was co-lead counsel for investors before the United States Supreme Court in *Broudo v. Dura Pharmaceuticals, Inc.*, 544 U.S. 336 (2005) (addressing the standards for pleading loss causation).

Mr. Sommers is also experienced in non-class action litigation. He represented TBG Inc., a multi-billion dollar privately-held overseas corporation, in a multi-party, complex action alleging fraud in a corporate acquisition and represented individuals in connection with investigations brought by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission. He also has represented publicly traded corporations in the prosecution and defense of claims. Mr. Sommers has litigated cases covering a wide-range of industries including the financial services, computer software, pharmaceutical, insurance, real estate and telecommunications industries among others. In addition, he has substantial experience in cases presenting complex accounting and auditing issues.

Mr. Sommers has lectured at both the Georgetown Law Center and the George Washington University Law School. He is a frequent commentator on the federal securities laws and corporate governance issues, and addresses institutional investor groups and others on these topics as illustrated below:

- Guest panelist on “It’s Your Business,” a nationally syndicated television program, where he spoke on investor lawsuits.
- Addressed the California State Association of County Retirement Systems, to whom he spoke on corporate governance and fiduciary duties and liabilities.
- Spoke at a District of Columbia Bar Association program in 2005 where he addressed “Attorney Liability in the Post-Enron, Post-Sarbanes-Oxley Era.”
- Panelist at a 2006 presentation to Illinois-based institutional investors on the topic of “The Growing Emphasis on Fiduciary Responsibility: Implications for Illinois Pension Funds and the Emergence of Guiding Principles.”
- Addressed the Professional Liability Underwriting Society in 2007 on the topic of “Global Companies, Global Risk: Exposure Arising Outside the U.S.”
- Panelist at a 2008 District of Columbia Bar Association Program where he addressed “Developing Pleading Standards in Securities Cases.”
- Spoke at a 2008 IQPC Forum on Subprime and Structured Finance Litigation on the topic of “Understanding the Plaintiff’s View in the Subprime Crisis.”

- Panelist at District of Columbia Bar Association Program in 2009 on “Public and Private Perspectives on the Enforcement of the Federal Securities Laws in our Global Markets.”
- Panelist at a 2010 District of Columbia Bar Association Program on the topic of "Enforcement of the Federal Securities Laws in Our Global Financial Markets: Public and Private Perspectives on *Morrison v. National Australia Bank* and Beyond."
- Panelist at a 2010 District of Columbia Bar Association Program on the topic of "Private Securities Litigation: Critical Trends and Developments in Securities Class Actions."

Mr. Sommers was recognized in 2011, 2012, and 2013 as a Washington, D.C. “Super Lawyer” in the area of securities litigation and in 2012 he was selected as National "Litigation Star" and as a "Leading Plaintiffs Star in the District of Columbia" in the area of securities litigation by Benchmark: Litigation, and appears in its *Guide to America's Leading Litigation Firms and Attorneys*. In 2007, Mr. Sommers was appointed to serve as the chairman of the Investor Rights Committee of the Corporation, Finance and Securities Law Section of the District of Columbia Bar, and currently serves as vice-chair of that committee. In addition, he is a member of the Securities Litigation Committee of the American Bar Association, the Council of Institutional Investors, and the National Association of Public Pension Attorneys.

He is a 1983 graduate of Union College, earning a B.A. in Political Science (*magna cum laude*), and a 1986 graduate of the George Washington University Law School. Mr. Sommers is admitted to practice in federal courts including the United States District Courts for the Districts of New Jersey, Maryland, Eastern District of Michigan and the District of Columbia, as well as the United States Courts of Appeals for the District of Columbia, Fourth, Ninth, Tenth and Eleventh Circuits. Mr. Sommers is also admitted to practice before the Supreme Court of the United States.

Mr. Sommers is a member of the bar of the states of New Jersey and New York as well as the District of Columbia. Mr. Sommers works in the Firm’s Washington, D.C. office.

Carol V. Gilden

Carol Gilden is a Partner at Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll PLLC, and a member of the Securities Fraud/Investor Protection practice group. Ms. Gilden represents public pension funds, Taft-Hartley Benefit Funds, private pension funds and high net worth individuals.

Ms. Gilden has extensive experience in protecting the rights of investors, including five years of experience as an enforcement attorney in the Securities and Exchange Commission. Prior to joining Cohen Milstein, Ms. Gilden worked at a prominent Chicago law firm, Much Shelist, where she was the head of the securities class action practice and the Vice Chair of the firm’s Class Action Department.

Earlier this year, Ms. Gilden was selected by the Council for Institutional Investors (CII) to serve on its Advisory Council to CII’s Board of Directors. CII is a nonprofit association of pension and other employee benefits funds, endowments and foundations and a voice for effective corporate governance and strong shareholder rights.

Ms. Gilden has been co-lead counsel, a member of the Executive Committee and on the litigation teams of many high profile cases. She is currently lead counsel in the City of Chicago’s case against on-line travel companies, as well as lead counsel in securities class action cases against IntraLinks Corporation, Navistar Corporation and ITT Education Services, Inc., in addition to other matters in which she is involved.

Ms. Gilden served as co-lead counsel in the *MF Global IPO Securities* case, which settled for \$90 million. Her work in the case, which included winning an appeal before the Second Circuit Court of Appeals of the lower court's dismissal of the case, was singled out for recognition by the National Law Journal in connection with its selection of Hot Plaintiffs' Firms for 2011. Other recent significant cases in which she has served as co-lead counsel include the *Huron Consulting Inc. Securities Litigation*, which settled for \$40 million (cash plus stock) and the *RehabCare* merger case (settled for significant deal term changes, disclosure changes and a cash settlement fund).

Ms. Gilden actively litigated and was on the Executive Committees in the action *Global Crossing Securities Litigation* (settlements of \$448 million) and the *Merrill Lynch & Co. Research Reports* case (\$125 million settlement). Among other notable cases, Ms. Gilden has also served as co-lead counsel in the *Sears/Sears Acceptance Corp. Securities Litigation*, *Sara Lee Securities Litigation*, *99 Cents Only Stores Securities Litigation*, *Quokka Sports Securities Litigation*, *ML Lee Securities Litigation* and *Smith Kline Litigation*, as well as lead counsel in *Pacha, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al.*, an opt-out securities action on behalf of a group of investors that settled for a substantial, confidential sum. In addition, she was liaison counsel and an active litigation team member in the *Waste Management Litigation*, which settled for \$220 million. Under her leadership, her former firm was an active member of the litigation teams in the *AOL Time Warner Securities Litigation* (\$2.5 billion settlement), *Salomon Analyst Litigation/In re AT&T* (\$75 million settlement), and *CMS Securities Litigation* (\$200 million settlement).

Ms. Gilden lectures at legal conferences around the country on securities litigation and class action law. She has spoken on such topics as corporate ethics, financial reporting, officer and director liability, securities fraud class actions, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Private Securities Reform Act of 1995, class certification standards and trends, Illinois class actions, deferred prosecution agreements, directors and officers insurance risks, advising companies in crisis, settlements and claims administration. Ms. Gilden also served as a panelist and Advisory Committee member for the Francis McGovern Conferences on "Distribution of Securities Litigation Settlements: Improving the Process", at which regulators, judges, custodians, academics, practitioners and claims administrators participated. In May, 2012, she spoke about "Settlements Objections" at a "Recent Developments in Class Actions" seminar, sponsored by the Chicago Bar Association. More recently, in October and November 2012, Ms. Gilden gave presentations regarding the recent LIBOR scandal and ensuing litigation for LEXIS/NEXIS and the Practising Law Institute. Further, at Loyola University Chicago School of Law's Second Annual Institute for Investor Protection in October 2012, Ms. Gilden moderated a panel including Judge Rakoff and leading academics on the topic "Behavioral Economics and State of Mind: Pleading and Proving Scierter in Securities Fraud Cases."

In addition, Ms. Gilden regularly speaks at investor conferences and symposiums regarding shareholder rights and regulatory reform. In June 2013, Ms. Gilden moderated a panel at the IMN Conference on the topic Fiduciary and Ethics for Public Pension Funds, in which the General Counsels of TRS, ISBI and SWIB participated. In May 2012, Ms. Gilden discussed the *Morrison* decision in a speech entitled "Pension Funds and Foreign Investments" at the Illinois Public Employee Retirement Systems Summit (ILPERS). In 2011, Ms. Gilden gave a presentation at the ILPERS conference on the "Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act - The Implications for Institutional Investors." She also spoke at the National Summit on the Future of Fiduciary Responsibility on the impact of the *Morrison* decision on investor rights. At previous ILPERS conferences she has given speeches titled "The Power of Your Pension Plan Assets", the "Overhaul of the U.S. Financial Regulatory System" and "What's Ahead in Regulatory Reform: Storm Clouds on the Horizon?" In

March 2009, she was a panelist at Vanderbilt Law School's symposium on the "Future of Federal Regulation of Financial Markets, Corporate Governance and Shareholder Litigation." In December 2008, Ms. Gilden spoke at the Pension Group East Conference on "A New Era of Regulation: The Three Legged Stool". In October 2008, she gave a presentation regarding the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act at the Illinois Public Retirement Systems Conference, and also led a roundtable discussion regarding the Bailout Bill and potential regulatory reform at the Made in America Conference. Ms. Gilden also has spoken at the International Foundation on shareholder rights and proxy voting.

Ms. Gilden has published a variety of scholarly articles and course materials. She has co-authored a law review article which was published in the Loyola University Chicago Law Journal, Volume 44, No. 5, Summer of 2013 edition, titled: "*The Dangers of Missing the Forest: The Harm caused by Verifone Holdings In a Tellabs World*". She is an author and co-author of articles published by the National Law Journal, *Courts Grapple with Lead-Counsel Auctions*; IICLE on Illinois Causes of Action, Shareholder Derivative Suits; the American Bar Association, *The Impact of Central Bank on Securities Fraud Litigation: The Plaintiffs' Perspective*; Illinois Bar Journal, *Proposed Rule 225: A Death Warrant for Class Actions in Illinois*; and Practising Law Institute on Class Actions Litigation (2006 and 2007): *A Hybrid 23(B)(2) Rule For Hybrid Class Actions? New Developments In The Use Of Rule 23(b)(2) In Class Certification*; and *The Evolving Use of Rule 23(b)(2) in Hybrid Class Actions Seeking Monetary Damages: A Hybrid Approach*. In January 2005, Ms. Gilden testified against Proposed Rule 225 before the Illinois Supreme Court's Rules Committee.

Ms. Gilden is a frequent commentator in the national media on market scandals, recent developments and trends in securities law and high profile securities fraud cases. She has frequently appeared on CNBC, including an appearance on a special segment titled *I Want My Money Back* where she was described as "one of the top investor advocacy attorneys in the country." She also has been featured on the ABC news programs *World News Tonight*, *World News Now* and *Good Morning America*, as well as numerous appearances on *First Business* and an appearance on BBC World News. In addition to television appearances, Ms. Gilden has been quoted by prominent publications such as the *Associated Press*, *Bloomberg News*, *BBC*, *Crain's*, *CFO.Com*, *Fortune* magazine, the *National Law Journal*, *USA Today*, *London Mail*, *Chicago Tribune*, *Dow Jones*, *Business Insurance* and *Corporate Legal Times*. Ms. Gilden appeared on the cover of *Chicago Lawyer* in connection with a feature article on The Ebb and Flow of Securities Class Actions.

Ms. Gilden was the President of the National Association of Shareholder and Consumer Attorneys (NASCAT), the preeminent trade association for securities class action attorneys, from April 2007-April 2009. As President of NASCAT, Ms. Gilden actively worked to promote the interests of investors. She made repeated visits to Capitol Hill and met with Members, and their staffs, of the Senate Banking Committee, House Financial Services Committee and the Senate Judiciary Committee where she advocated the need for strong investor protection. She also engaged in outreach to the institutional investor community on needed reforms to reverse the erosion of investor rights. Under Ms. Gilden's leadership, NASCAT also filed amicus briefs in connection with major securities cases before the Supreme Court and other courts. Prior to becoming President, Ms. Gilden served as the President-Elect and Treasurer for NASCAT. Ms. Gilden continues to be actively involved in NASCAT and serves on its Executive Committee.

Ms. Gilden is a Vice President of the Institute for Law and Economic Policy (ILEP). ILEP is a preeminent think tank with leading academics, and was established to preserve and enhance access to the civil justice system by investors and consumers.

Ms. Gilden has been repeatedly selected as an “Illinois Super Lawyer” (2005-2013) by Law & Politics, which published its selections in *Chicago Magazine*. Only 5 percent of Illinois attorneys are awarded this honor. Ms. Gilden also has achieved the “AV” Peer Review Rating by Martindale-Hubbell.

Ms. Gilden is a graduate of the University of Illinois (B.S., Business Administration, 1979). She graduated with honors from Chicago-Kent College of Law (J.D. 1983) where she was a member of the Chicago-Kent Law Review. Ms. Gilden is admitted to practice in Illinois (1983), the federal district court for the Northern District of Illinois, the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit and the United States Supreme Court, as well as *pro hac* before other federal and state courts throughout the country.

Daniel A. Small

Dan Small has been a partner at Cohen Milstein for over 17 years and has chaired the firm’s antitrust practice group since 2008.

Mr. Small has represented plaintiff classes, often as lead counsel, in numerous antitrust cases over the last 24 years, and has recovered hundreds of millions of dollars. He has tried cases to verdict before juries and has argued cases in several appellate courts including the United States Supreme Court.

Among the cases on which Mr. Small has worked are: *In re Intel Corp. Microprocessor Antitrust Litig.* (D. Del.), where he serves as co-lead counsel on behalf of a putative class of purchasers of Intel-powered PCs asserting monopolization claims; *Meijer, Inc. v. 3M* (E.D. Pa.), a monopolization case in which Mr. Small, as lead counsel, negotiated a \$30 million settlement on behalf of direct purchasers of transparent tape; *In re Buspirone Antitrust Litig.* (S.D.N.Y.), in which the plaintiff class alleged that Bristol Myers-Squibb Co. unlawfully excluded generic drug competition, and Mr. Small, as co-lead counsel, helped negotiate a \$90 million settlement; and *Pease v. Jasper Wyman & Son, et al.*, (Super. Ct., Knox Cty., Maine), a price-fixing class action on behalf of Maine wild blueberry growers in which Mr. Small successfully tried the case to a jury, obtaining a judgment of nearly \$60 million. Mr. Small also represented Hy-Ko Products Co. in a competitor action against the dominant sellers, respectively, of key blanks and automatic key duplication machines. He also is defending the Service Employees International Union in an antitrust conspiracy action brought by Prime Healthcare Services, Inc.

Mr. Small has substantial appellate experience, including briefing and arguing *Free v. Abbott Laboratories*, No. 99-391, in the United States Supreme Court. That case presented the issue of whether a supplemental jurisdiction statute overruled *Zahn v. International Paper Co.* The Court split 4-4, with Justice O'Connor recusing herself. Additionally, Mr. Small successfully briefed and argued appeals before the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals in *In re Brand Name Prescription Drug Antitrust Litig.*, 123 F.3d 599 (7th Cir. 1997), regarding whether the district court had subject matter jurisdiction, and in *Paper Systems, Inc. v. Nippon Paper Industries Co., Ltd.* (7th Cir. 2002), arguing that the federal direct purchaser rule does not immunize a defendant from liability for the direct sales of its co-conspirators. Finally, he briefed and argued the appeal in *Mack v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co.*, 1996-1 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶¶ 71,401 (Fla. 1st DCA 1996), obtaining the first opinion construing the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act to permit indirect purchasers to sue for damages for antitrust violations.

Mr. Small is a member of the Advisory Board of the American Antitrust Institute, and he chairs the committee that selects the annual winner of the Jerry S. Cohen Memorial Writing Award for the best

antitrust scholarship. He has been invited to speak on antitrust and class action topics at events organized by the American Bar Association, the District of Columbia Bar, the Conference Board, and the American Antitrust Institute, among others. In 2013, Mr. Small was recognized as a Washington, D.C. "Super Lawyer" for antitrust litigation, and he was named both a plaintiffs "Local Litigation Star" in the District of Columbia and a national antitrust "Litigation Star" by Benchmark Plaintiff.

Mr. Small is a 1981 graduate of Colgate University, receiving a B.A. (cum laude) in History. He graduated from American University's Washington College of Law in 1986, and joined Cohen Milstein after serving as a law clerk to the Honorable Roger Vinson, United States District Court for the Northern District of Florida (1986-1988). Mr. Small is admitted to practice in Maryland and the District of Columbia.

Christine E. Webber

Christine Webber, a Partner at the Firm and a member of the Civil Rights & Employment practice group, joined Cohen Milstein in 1997. Ms. Webber represents plaintiffs in class action employment discrimination and Fair Labor Standards Act cases. Ms. Webber's current docket includes *Dukes v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.* (N.D. Cal.), challenging Wal-Mart's treatment of women employees with complaints of discrimination in pay and promotion; and *In re Tyson Foods FLSA MDL*, (M.D. Ga.), a collective action involving FLSA claims at over 40 Tyson chicken processing plants. Ms. Webber was also counsel to the plaintiff class in *Keepseagle v. Vilsack*, and is currently administering the claims process through which \$760 million of relief will be awarded to Native American farmers and ranchers who were denied loans or loan servicing by the USDA. Ms. Webber was part of the team recognized by Public Justice as finalists for their Trial Lawyer of the Year award in 2011 for the work done in *Keepseagle*.

She represented plaintiffs in *Beck v. The Boeing Co.* (W.D. Wash.), a class action alleging sex discrimination in compensation and promotions which settled in 2004 for \$72.5 million. She was also lead counsel in *Hnot v. Willis* (S.D.N.Y.), representing a class of women at the vice-president level and above whose challenge to sex discrimination in compensation resulted in a settlement averaging \$50,000 per class member in 2008. She was counsel in *Trotter v. Perdue* (D. Del.), representing plaintiffs who were wrongly denied payment of overtime wages, and obtaining a \$10 million settlement.

In 2004 and 2007, Ms. Webber was named one of the Top Lawyers in Washington, D.C. by Washingtonian Magazine and was named one of the 2007 Washington, D.C. Superlawyers in the Civil Rights category. In 2011, Ms. Webber was recognized as one of the Top Women Lawyers in the Northeast in the labor and employment category by Arrive magazine.

Prior to joining Cohen Milstein, Ms. Webber received a Women's Law and Public Policy fellowship and worked for four years at the Washington Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights and Urban Affairs in their Equal Employment Opportunity Project. She worked on a variety of employment discrimination cases, and focused in particular on the sexual harassment class action *Neal v. Director, D.C. Department of Corrections, et al.* Ms. Webber participated in the trial of this ground-breaking sexual harassment class action in 1995. Ms. Webber also tried the race discrimination case *Cooper v. Paychex* (E.D. Va.), and successfully defended the plaintiffs' verdict before the Fourth Circuit.

Ms. Webber is a member of the National Employment Lawyers' Association (NELA) and co-chair of their Class Action Committee. She is also co-chair of the Class Action Sub-committee of the D.C. Bar Labor and Employment Law Section. She speaks regularly at CLE programs on employment discrimination and class actions, including presentations for NELA.

She graduated from Harvard University with a B.A. in Government (*magna cum laude*, 1988) and the University of Michigan Law School (J.D., *magna cum laude*, 1991, Order of the Coif). Following law school, Ms. Webber clerked for the Honorable Hubert L. Will, United States District Judge for the Northern District of Illinois.

Ms. Webber is admitted to practice in Illinois and the District of Columbia.

Richard A. Koffman

Richard Koffman, a Partner at the Firm, joined Cohen Milstein in 2003 and is a member of the Antitrust Practice Group. [In 2011, 2012, and 2013, the U.S. Legal 500 listed Mr. Koffman as one of the nation's "leading lawyers" in the field of antitrust class actions.](#)

Mr. Koffman is co-lead counsel for plaintiffs in *In re Urethane Antitrust Litigation* (D. Kan.), in which a Kansas jury returned a verdict for plaintiffs against The Dow Chemical Company in excess of \$400 million. The district court trebled the damage award as required under the federal antitrust laws and entered judgment against Dow for more than \$1.06 billion on July 26, 2013. Four other defendants had previously settled for a total of \$139.5 million.

Mr. Koffman is also co-lead counsel for plaintiffs in *In re Plasma-Derivative Protein Therapies Antitrust Litigation* (N.D. Ill.), in which plaintiffs allege price-fixing and collusion to reduce the supply of potentially life-saving therapies derived from blood plasma; and *Wallach, et al. v. Eaton Corp., et al.* (D. Del.), in which plaintiffs allege a conspiracy to monopolize the market for heavy-duty truck transmissions. Mr. Koffman also served as co-lead counsel for plaintiffs in *In re Rubber Chemicals Antitrust Litigation* (N.D. Cal.), which settled for a total of approximately \$320 million; *In re Polyester Staple Antitrust Litigation* (W.D.N.C.), which settled for a total of \$46 million; *In re Endosurgical Products Antitrust Litigation* (C.D. Cal.), which settled for \$13 million in cash, plus structural relief worth more than \$26 million; and *Coalition for Elders' Independence, Inc., et al. v. Biovail Corp., et al.* (Cal. Super. Ct.), which settled for \$8.2 million.

Mr. Koffman came to Cohen Milstein after four years with the Antitrust and Civil Rights Divisions of the United States Department of Justice. In the Antitrust Division, Mr. Koffman served as a Senior Trial Attorney with the Computers and Finance Section (now Networks and Technology), which is responsible for antitrust enforcement and competition policy in the areas of information technology, Internet-related businesses, financial services, and the securities industry. In the Civil Rights Division, he served as a Senior Trial Attorney with the Housing and Civil Enforcement Section, where he worked to enforce the Fair Housing Act, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act, and Title II of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

Prior to joining the Department of Justice, Mr. Koffman spent seven years in private practice, first with Fine, Kaplan and Black in Philadelphia (working primarily on antitrust class actions and other complex commercial litigation) and then with Bernabei & Katz in Washington, D.C. (handling employment discrimination cases). While at Fine Kaplan, Mr. Koffman was actively involved in litigating several successful antitrust class actions on behalf of plaintiffs and classes, including *In re Nasdaq Market-*

Makers Antitrust Litigation (S.D.N.Y.) (settled for more than \$1 billion); *In re Polypropylene Carpet Antitrust Litigation* (N.D. Ga.); *In re Commercial Explosives Antitrust Litigation* (D. Utah); and *In re Drill Bits Antitrust Litigation* (S.D. Tex.). He was also co-counsel, along with John G. Roberts, Jr., who was then a Partner at Hogan & Hartson and is now Chief Justice of the United States Supreme Court, for Respondents in *First Options of Chicago, Inc. v. Kaplan*, 514 U.S. 938 (1995). In that case, argued by Mr. Roberts with Mr. Koffman assisting on the briefs, Mr. Koffman's clients won a unanimous ruling by the United States Supreme Court.

Immediately after law school, Mr. Koffman served as a judicial clerk for Judge James B. McMillan of the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina, and for Judge Anthony J. Scirica of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

Mr. Koffman is a graduate of Yale Law School (J.D., 1990), where he was a Senior Editor of the Yale Law Journal, and Wesleyan University, from which he received a B.A., with honors, in English (1986).

Mr. Koffman is admitted to practice in the District of Columbia, the United States Supreme Court, and the United States Courts of Appeals for the Eighth, Ninth, and Tenth Circuits.

Agnieszka M. Fryszman

Agnieszka Fryszman, a Partner at Cohen Milstein, joined the Firm in 1998. She heads Cohen Milstein's International Human Rights and Pro Bono practice.

Ms. Fryszman regularly litigates complex cases against corporate giants. She was a member of the legal team that successfully represented survivors of Nazi-era forced and slave labor against the German and Austrian companies that allegedly profited from their labor. These cases were resolved by international negotiations that resulted in multi-billion dollar settlements. She also represented, pro bono, Holocaust survivors suing Swiss banks that collaborated with the Nazi regime during World War II. This litigation led academics to revise their assessment of Switzerland's relationship with Nazi Germany and exposed the extent of business participation in the Holocaust.

Ms. Fryszman and colleague Matthew Handley earned the National Law Journal's 2011 Pro Bono Award for their efforts on behalf of Nepali laborers injured or killed at U.S. military bases in Iraq and Afghanistan. They obtained several judgments and significant settlements on behalf of the families. She currently represents victims of a human trafficking ring that lured men from Nepal with the promise of employment at luxury hotels, but instead took them against their will to work at a U.S. military facility in Iraq. Ms. Fryszman investigated and initiated suit against military contractors KBR and Daoud & Partners, filing one of the first complaints under the recently passed Trafficking Victims Protection Act. Her work on behalf of the former "comfort women," women and girls trafficked into sexual slavery by the government of Japan during World War II, was recognized with the "Fierce Sister" award from the National Asian Pacific American Women's Forum. She also represents Indonesian villagers in a lawsuit against Exxon Mobil over abuses allegedly committed by the defendant's security force.

In 2010, Ms. Fryszman was recognized as a "Leading Lawyer in America" by Lawdragon and was a finalist for the 2010 Trial Lawyer of the Year Award by the Public Justice Foundation for her work on *Wiwa v. Royal Dutch Shell*. Ms. Fryszman joined the legal team in that long-running case to prepare it for trial, resulting in a multi-million dollar settlement on the morning of jury selection.

Ms. Fryszman represented, pro bono, a number of victims of the September 11 attack on the Pentagon and obtained one of the highest awards for an injured survivor from the Victim's Compensation Fund. Ms. Fryszman also represented, pro bono, two individuals indefinitely detained without charge by the United States at Guantanamo Bay, work that was recognized with the Frederick Douglass Award from the Southern Center for Human Rights.

In the Antitrust practice group, Ms. Fryszman represents small businesses that have been victims of alleged price-fixing.

Before joining Cohen Milstein, Ms. Fryszman was counsel to the United States House of Representatives Committee on the Judiciary, Subcommittee on Commercial and Administrative Law. She also served as counsel to Representative Henry Waxman, Ranking Member on the House Government Reform and Oversight Committee.

Ms. Fryszman graduated from Brown University with a B.A. in International Relations. She graduated (*magna cum laude* and Order of the Coif) from Georgetown University Law Center, where she was a Public Interest Law Scholar.

Ms. Fryszman is admitted to practice in the District of Columbia and New Jersey.

Julie Goldsmith Reiser

Julie Goldsmith Reiser is a partner at Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll PLLC and member of the Firm's Securities Fraud/Investor Protection practice group. She has extensive experience with motion practice, developing and implementing discovery strategies, depositions, expert discovery and case resolution. Ms. Reiser focuses much of her practice on enforcement of the federal securities laws on behalf of sophisticated domestic and international institutional investors. She has represented these investors in class action and individual "opt-out" actions as well as in transaction-related litigation in Delaware Chancery Court.

Ms. Reiser currently works on several high-profile securities fraud actions seeking to return assets lost due to corporate fraud. She represents the New York State Common Retirement Fund in a securities class action against BP p.l.c. and certain of its former officers and directors. She also represents Iowa, Oregon and Orange County public retirement systems in a class action litigation against Countrywide related to its issuance of mortgage-backed securities, where a \$500 million settlement has been reached. Ms. Reiser acted as co-lead counsel representing investors in the largest fraud in European corporate history, *In re Parmalat Sec. Litig.* (S.D.N.Y., \$90 million). She was co-lead counsel in *In re SCOR Holding (Switzerland) Securities Litigation* (S.D.N.Y., \$140 million) and was a member of the team representing Pacific Life Insurance Company in an opt-out action against WorldCom.

In the employment area, Ms. Reiser was a member of the legal team working on *Dukes v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.* (N.D. Cal.), representing current and former female employees of Wal-Mart with complaints of discrimination in pay and promotion. Ms. Reiser also represented and settled claims on behalf of African American employees who claimed that Kroger discriminated against them in pay and promotions in *Wade v. Kroger* (W.D. Ky.). She was involved in the litigation and successful settlement of *Beck v. The Boeing Co.* (W. D. Wash.), which alleged sex discrimination in compensation and promotions and was resolved for \$72.5 million.

Ms. Reiser served as Co-Chair for CLE International's 9th Annual Class Action Conference where she also was a panelist speaking on the Class Standing Doctrine.

Ms. Reiser is the author of "Dodd Frank's Protections for Senior Citizens: An Important, Yet Insufficient Step," *University of Cincinnati Law Review*, Volume 81, Issue 2, May 30, 2013; "Why Courts Should Favor Certification of MBS Actions," *ABA Securities Litigation Journal*, Volume 22, Number 1, Fall 2011; and the co-author of "The Misapplication of American Pipe Tolling Principles," *ABA Securities Litigation Journal*, Volume 21, Number 2, Winter 2011. She also co-authored Opt-Outs: Making Private Enforcement of the Securities Laws Even Better, featured in the Winter/Spring 2008 edition of the ABA's *Class Action and Derivative Suit Committee Newsletter* and Companies in the Cross Hairs: When Plaintiffs Lawyers Choose Their Targets, They Look for These Employment Practices, *The Legal Times*, February 21, 2005.

Since 2012, Ms. Reiser has been selected as a "Super Lawyer". She was also named a "Leading Plaintiffs Star in the District of Columbia" by Benchmark Litigation, the Guide to America's Leading Litigation Firms and Attorneys, a "Local Litigation Star" in District of Columbia in the 2014 *Benchmark Plaintiff, The Definitive Guide to America's Leading Plaintiff Firms and Attorneys*, and has also been recognized as one of the Top 150 Women in Litigation by Benchmark Plaintiff.

Ms. Reiser, who joined Cohen Milstein in 1999, graduated from Vassar College (B.A. with honors) and the University of Virginia School of Law (J.D.). She is admitted to practice in Washington State and the District of Columbia.

Theodore J. Leopold

Theodore J. Leopold, a Partner, joined Cohen Milstein in January 2014 and is based in the Firm's Florida office. Prior to joining the Firm, he was the Founding Partner of Leopold Law P.A. in Palm Beach Fla.

Mr. Leopold has a state wide and national practice devoted solely to trial work. He specializes in consumer justice litigation with a focus on complex products liability, managed care, catastrophic injury and class action litigation. Mr. Leopold has tried cases throughout the country and has recovered multi-million dollar verdicts, including jury verdicts in the eight-figure and nine-figure amounts.

Recently, Mr. Leopold obtained a \$131 million verdict against the Ford Motor Company which was the eighth largest jury award in 2010 and the ninth biggest U.S. verdict against an automobile company in U.S. history. Mr. Leopold has also achieved many multi-million dollar settlements for his clients.

Mr. Leopold has been involved in significant class and antitrust cases. He was on the steering committee in the National Managed Care Class Action and the Plaintiffs' settlement committee for the Ford/Firestone National Class Action. Currently Mr. Leopold is serving on the Plaintiffs' trial team in the *Rail Freight Fuel Surcharge Antitrust Litigation*. He is also President of Public Justice, a national organization headquartered in Washington, D.C., that fights for justice through precedent-setting and socially significant individual and class action litigation.

For many consecutive years, Mr. Leopold has been profiled in The Best Lawyers in America. His work has been featured in the National Law Journal's Top Cases of the year and he was nominated for "Trial Lawyer of the Year" by the Public Justice Foundation for his ground breaking litigation involving the managed care industry.

Mr. Leopold lectures nationally to Bar and Professional Trial Associations throughout the country on issues such as personal injury, product liability, class action litigation, trial tactics and consumer justice issues. Mr. Leopold is also the author and co-author of several legal publications including Florida Insurance Law and Practice, an annual publication by Thomson/West. Additionally, Mr. Leopold has earned the Florida Bar Civil Trial Certification, which is the highest level of recognition by the Florida Bar for competency and experience within civil trial law.

Leslie M. Kroeger

Leslie M. Kroeger, a Partner, joined Cohen Milstein in January 2014 and is based in the Firm's Florida office. Prior to joining the Firm, she was a Partner at Leopold Law Firm in Palm Beach, Fla. She is a highly accomplished civil trial attorney who began her legal career in the courtroom as an Assistant Public Defender and later as an Assistant State Attorney in Miami-Dade County, Fla. She then moved into private practice where she continues to handle a variety of complex civil litigation matters, including products liability, medical malpractice, and wrongful death, both in the State of Florida and nationwide.

She has achieved an AV rating from Martindale-Hubbell, the highest rating available from the nation's oldest guide to the legal profession. At Cohen Milstein, Mrs. Kroeger focuses her practice in the areas of product liability, wrongful death, and cases involving complex managed care abuse.

She currently serves on the Executive Committee of the Florida Justice Association and is past Chair of the Women's Caucus. She is Past President of the Martin County Chapter of the Florida Association for Women Lawyers and is on the Board of Directors of United for Families; as well as serving as an active member of The Florida Bar, the American Association for Justice, the Palm Beach County Bar Association, the Martin County Bar Association, the Palm Beach County Justice Association, and the Florida Association for Women Lawyers, Palm Beach Chapter.

Ms. Kroeger graduated from the University of Tennessee at Knoxville in 1990 with a B.S., and obtained her law degree from the Cumberland School of Law, Samford University in 1993.

Victoria S. Nugent

Victoria Nugent, a Partner at the Firm, joined Cohen Milstein in 2000 and is a member of the Public Client practice group.

Ms. Nugent has focused on consumer protection and public health litigation throughout her career. Past cases include *In re StarLink Product Liability Litigation*, in which she represented farmers suing Aventis CropScience after an unapproved variety of genetically modified corn was detected in the U.S. corn supply and drove down prices for all U.S. corn exports. More than \$100 million was recovered for the class in a landmark settlement. In 2009 and 2010, Ms. Nugent filed suit on behalf of consumers challenging the post-transaction marketing practices of Internet giants Intelius and McAfee, persuading federal courts in California and Washington that these practices run afoul of state consumer protection laws. Ms. Nugent has argued cases before the high courts of Georgia, Nebraska and the District of Columbia, as well as the federal D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals.

Since November 2011, Ms. Nugent has been working on behalf of various states in the Firm's Public Client Practice Group.

Before joining Cohen Milstein, Ms. Nugent worked for seven years at Public Citizen, a national consumer advocacy organization. During that time, she worked on many legislative and regulatory campaigns addressing issues that ranged from automobile safety to international trade policy. In 1998, Ms. Nugent received a two-year fellowship sponsored by the National Association for Public Interest Law (NAPIL). As a NAPIL Fellow, she worked at Trial Lawyers for Public Justice (TLPJ), where she helped develop and prosecute impact litigation in the areas of arbitration, banking, credit and insurance.

Ms. Nugent received her undergraduate degree in History from Wesleyan University in 1991 and graduated from Georgetown University Law Center in 1998.

Ms. Nugent is admitted to practice in the District of Columbia and Maryland.

Benjamin D. Brown

Benjamin Brown, a Partner at Cohen Milstein, joined the firm in 2005 and is a member of the Antitrust practice group. He has extensive experience leading complex litigation, particularly class actions.

The Legal 500 has recognized Mr. Brown as one of the nation's leading class action antitrust attorneys and he has been listed as one of Washington D.C.'s "Leading Star" Plaintiffs' Litigators by Benchmark Litigation. He has served as class counsel in numerous successful cases litigated across the country and at all levels of federal appeals, helping to achieve over one hundred million dollars worth of recoveries on behalf of clients.

Mr. Brown is a contributing author of the ABA's Antitrust Class Actions Handbook, and, since 2005, has served as a state editor for the ABA's Survey of State Class Action Law. He has also authored chapters on private antitrust recovery actions for the Global Competition Review's Antitrust Review of the Americas. Most recently, Mr. Brown co-authored with fellow partner Douglas Richards "Predominance of Common Questions – Common Mistakes in Applying the Class Action Standard," 41 Rutgers L.J. 163 (2009). He discussed joint civil and criminal investigations and litigation as a featured panelist on both the National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers (NACDL) 2009 Summer CLE Program and the 2010 University of Texas Law School's Review of Litigation Symposium. Mr. Brown has been honored by the United States District Court for the District of Columbia for outstanding commitment in pro bono litigation. He has been a repeated guest on CNBC and other networks discussing antitrust news and developments.

Mr. Brown currently serves as co-lead counsel or on steering committees for plaintiffs in, among other cases, *In re Plasma-Derivative Protein Therapies Antitrust Litigation* (N.D. Ill.); *Allen, et al. v. Dairy Farmers of America, Inc.* (D. Vt.); *In Re Puerto Rican Cabotage Antitrust Litigation*. (S.D. Fla.); and *Carlin, et al. v. DairyAmerica, Inc.* (E.D. Ca.).

Mr. Brown came to Cohen Milstein after four years as a trial attorney with the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice. While there, Mr. Brown led and assisted in numerous investigations, litigations and trials involving anticompetitive conduct and mergers. Mr. Brown also prosecuted criminal cases as a Special Assistant United States Attorney in the Eastern District of Virginia. Prior to joining the Department of Justice, he was in private practice with Covington & Burling in Washington, D.C., handling insurance coverage and antitrust litigation. Prior to entering

private practice, Mr. Brown served as a judicial law clerk for Chief Judge Juan R. Torruella of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the First Circuit.

Mr. Brown graduated cum laude from Harvard Law School and Phi Beta Kappa from the University of Wisconsin – Madison.

Mr. Brown is admitted to practice in California and the District of Columbia.

Kit A. Pierson

Kit Pierson, a Partner, joined the Firm in 2009 and is co-Chair of Cohen Milstein's Antitrust Practice Group.

Mr. Pierson represents plaintiffs in significant class action matters and other complex civil litigation in jurisdictions across the United States. Prior to joining Cohen Milstein, Mr. Pierson was a Shareholder at Heller Ehrman from 1997-2008, where he represented clients in large antitrust class action litigation, False Claims Act litigation and other complex civil litigation matters. Mr. Pierson also has a longstanding commitment to civil rights matters and other pro bono representation and has provided pro bono representation to public interest organizations as well as indigent clients in numerous matters.

Mr. Pierson has represented clients in class actions and other antitrust cases of national significance. He was one of the trial lawyers for the plaintiff class in *In re Urethane Antitrust Litig.*, 04-1616-JWL (D. Kan.), where a jury returned a verdict of more than \$400 million in favor of the plaintiffs. The verdict was subsequently trebled to more than \$1.1 billion (after offsets). Mr. Pierson is co-lead counsel for the plaintiff class in *In re Electronic Books Antitrust Litig.*, 11-2293 (S.D.N.Y.), a case challenging price-fixing by five major publishers and Apple to increase the price of electronic books. This case has resulted in substantial settlements and will proceed to trial, on the issue of damages, against the remaining defendant, Apple Corporation. Mr. Pierson represented dock and trucking companies in *Erie Port Authority v. Chesapeake & Ohio Railroad* (E.D. Pa.), an antitrust case challenging a conspiracy by large railroad companies to restrain trade in the shipment of iron ore and resulted in a substantial jury verdict for the plaintiffs. He represented the American Booksellers Association on behalf of its members (independent bookstores across the country) in *American Booksellers Association v. Houghton Mifflin* (S.D.N.Y.) and related litigation. These cases resulted in the entry of consent decrees against several of the leading publishers in United States and were followed by successful litigation (and approval of the largest reported settlement under the Robinson Patman Act) against one of the publishers based on violations of the consent decrees. Mr. Pierson has been appointed by federal courts to serve as co-lead counsel in other major class action litigation now proceeding in the federal courts.

Mr. Pierson also has significant experience representing corporations, national associations and individuals in antitrust litigation and other complex civil litigation matters. Prior to joining Cohen Milstein, Mr. Pierson spent more than twenty years providing representation across the United States in a broad range of litigation matters. This work was predominantly on behalf of defendants. For example, Mr. Pierson has represented Microsoft Corporation in antitrust class action litigation and other matters and was one of the trial attorneys representing Microsoft in jury trials in *Gordon v. Microsoft* (Minnesota) and *Comes v. Microsoft* (Iowa). He represented 3M company in antitrust class action litigation challenging bundled discounts in federal and state court. Mr. Pierson has represented many other Fortune 500 companies and other businesses, associations and individuals in class action litigation and complex civil matters.

Mr. Pierson's representation of parties in complex civil litigation matters includes, for example:

- Mr. Pierson was a trial lawyer for the plaintiff class in the *In re Urethane Antitrust Litigation*. This case went to trial for four weeks before a Kansas jury in federal court in early 2013. The case alleged that the remaining defendant, Dow Chemical, had conspired with other urethane manufacturers to fix and restrain urethane prices. Following presentation of the evidence, the jury returned a verdict of more than \$400 million. The verdict was then trebled and, after applying offsets based on earlier settlements, final judgment was entered for more than \$1.1 billion in favor of the plaintiffs.
- Co-lead counsel for the plaintiff class in *In re Electronic Books Antitrust Litig.*, 11-2293 (S.D.N.Y.). This case alleges that purchasers of electronic books have paid substantial overcharges as a result of price-fixing by five publisher Defendants and Apple. Litigation of the case has required joint efforts by the United States Department of Justice, States Attorneys General and counsel for the class plaintiffs. The State Attorneys General and Class Plaintiffs jointly negotiated settlements of more than \$100 million against publisher defendants. The Class Plaintiffs and the State Attorneys General will now proceed to trial, on the issue of damages, against Apple Corporation.
- Co-lead counsel for the plaintiff class in *In re Domestic Drywall Antitrust Litigation*, 13-md-2437 (E.D. Pa.), a case alleging that manufacturers of wallboard have conspired to fix prices and restrain competition in the sale of gypsum wallboard. This matter is now proceeding in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.
- Plaintiffs' Steering Committee in *In re: Lithium Ion Batteries Antitrust Litigation*, 13-md-02420-YGR (N.D. Cal.), a case alleging that the leading battery manufacturers conspired to fix prices and restrain competition in the sale of batteries in the United States. This case is now proceeding in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.
- Co-lead counsel for a subclass of thousands of dairy farmers in the Northeast in antitrust litigation challenging a conspiracy to restrain competition and reduce the prices paid to farmers for supplying milk. The plaintiffs in this litigation have settled claims against Dean Foods for \$30 million, and the case is proceeding against the remaining defendants.
- Representation of Greenpeace, Inc. in *Greenpeace, Inc. v. Dow Chemical Company, et al.* (DC Super), litigation against two large chemical companies, public relations companies and a private investigation firm based on their involvement in a scheme that is alleged to have included surveillance, dumpster diving, trespass and other actions on more than one hundred occasions over a two-year period to secure information about Greenpeace's organization, environmental activities and financial support. The trial court has ruled that the central allegations in this case are not actionable and this matter is now being appealed to the D.C. Court of Appeals.
- Representation of a whistleblower in *Funk v. MEP* (E.D. Va.), a case alleging that a defense contractor engaged in fraud in providing translators to support the United States' troops in Afghanistan and engaged in retaliation based on the whistleblower's protected activities under the False Claims Act. This case was resolved prior to trial and the terms are confidential.
- Representation of the plaintiff in *United States ex rel. Loughren v. UnumProvident* (D. Mass.),

a qui tam action against the largest disability carrier in the United States, alleging that it violated the False Claims Act by causing the submission of false claims for social security disability benefits to the United States. Mr. Pierson was lead counsel at trial, where a jury found that the Defendant Unum had committed fraud and violated the False Claims Act. On appeal, the First Circuit upheld the legal theory of the case, but vacated the verdict and remanded the case based on the trial court's exclusion of certain evidence. The matter settled prior to a new trial.

- Representation of a hospital and surgeon in their successful defense of claims brought by a physician alleging that they had infringed his patent by performing eye surgery in a method allegedly subject to the patent. *Pallin v. Singer* (D. Vt.). This case received national media attention, including two pieces on the McNeil-Lehrer News Hour, and – following successful defense of the litigation – the United States Congress enacted legislation to protect physicians from patent infringement claims based on their method of providing care.
- Representation of health policy researchers at the Urban Institute, a non-profit think tank, after they were sued in *Minntech v. Held* (D. Minn.), for allegedly defaming the plaintiff-corporation by publishing research relating to the safety of dialysis products used by thousands of dialysis patients nationwide.
- Representation of the nation's leading association of psychologists in various litigation matters, including cases successfully defending the association's decisions to discipline members for unethical conduct.
- Representation of parties in numerous cases involving constitutional issues, including the National Association of Broadcaster's successful defense of the "must carry" provisions in *Turner Broadcasting Systems v. FCC* (S. Ct).
- Representation of non-profit organizations and individuals in litigation that exposed illegal spying activities by the Maryland state police against more than thirty organizations and numerous individuals based on activities such as anti-war protests, opposition to the death penalty and other constitutionally protected activities. The exposure of these spying activities resulted in legislative hearings, appointment of a former Maryland Attorney General to conduct an independent investigation, and implementation of remedial actions by the State of Maryland.

Mr. Pierson has been chair of Cohen Milstein's pro bono committee from 2009 - 2013. From 2006 - 2008, he was the chair of Heller Ehrman's pro bono and community service program for the firm's thirteen offices. Mr. Pierson has been actively involved in pro bono representation, including representation of a habeas corpus petitioner in *Ahmed v. Obama* (D.D.C.), where a federal court determined that the petitioner was being unlawfully detained at Guantanamo and ordered his release.

Mr. Pierson is a Member of the ACLU of Maryland's Committee on Litigation and Legal Priorities and a Member of the Board of Trustees for the Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights Under Law.

Mr. Pierson has also represented the District of Columbia Bar Association in litigation and served on a Committee established by the District of Columbia Bar and the Access to Justice Commission to expand pro bono representation by law firms in the District of Columbia.

Mr. Pierson has been named as a Washington, D.C. "Super Lawyer" in the antitrust field. These

designations are based on a high degree of peer recognition and professional achievement.

Mr. Pierson is a 1979 graduate of Macalester College, where he received a B.A. (*magna cum laude*) in Economics and Political Science. He graduated from the University of Michigan Law School (*magna cum laude*) in 1983, where he was a Note Editor of the Michigan Law Review and a member of the Order of the Coif. Mr. Pierson served as a Law Clerk for the Honorable Harry T. Edwards, United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, from 1983-1984 and as a law clerk for the Honorable Chief Judge John Feikens, United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, from 1984-1985.

J. Douglas Richards

J. Douglas Richards is Managing Partner of Cohen Milstein's New York office and a partner in its antitrust practice group. Mr. Richards has extensive expertise in class action practice and commercial litigation relating to diverse trade regulation issues, including antitrust and commodity regulation as well as related issues of patent law. Prior to joining Cohen Milstein in 2009, Mr. Richards served as head of the antitrust practice groups at two other leading class action law firms, and prior to that as Deputy General Counsel of the Commodity Futures Trading Commission, where he received a Special Service Award for exemplary accomplishment. His general preeminence in legal practice has been recognized by the leading peer review organizations, including by being named one of 22 Antitrust "Litigation Stars" nationally and as a New York "Local Litigation Star" by Benchmark Plaintiff, by New York Super Lawyers (2011-2013), by being named as one of the world's leading competition lawyers by The International Who's Who of Competition Lawyers and Economists (2014) and by receiving the highest available peer ranking for many years from Martindale-Hubbell. He has written extensively about class actions, having twice authored chapters for books edited by the American Antitrust Institute covering issues of class action practice, as well as various law reviews and other publications. Leading antitrust organizations frequently recognize his expertise by inviting him to speak on wide-ranging issues of substantive antitrust law, civil procedure and class actions.

Education

- A.B. University of Chicago, 1977 (economics major)
- J.D. Harvard Law School, 1981

Co-Lead Counsel Positions In Antitrust Class Actions

- *In re Nexium (Esomeprazole) Antitrust Litig.*, MDL 2409 (D. Mass)
- *In re Lipitor Antitrust Litig.*, MDL 2332 (D.N.J.)
- *In re Buspirone Antitrust Litig.*, MDL 1413 (S.D.N.Y.)
- *In re Ciprofloxacin Hydrochloride Antitrust Litig.*, MDL 1383 (E.D.N.Y.)
- *Cox v. Microsoft Corp.* (Sup. Ct. N.Y. County)
- *In re G-Fees Antitrust Litig.*, No. 05114 (RWR) (D.D.C.)
- *In re IPO Antitrust Litig.*, 01 Civ. 2014 (WHP) (S.D.N.Y.) I
- *In re K-Dur Antitrust Litig.*, MDL 1419(D.N.J.)
- *Kruman v. Christie's Int'l PLC (international case in In re Auction Houses Antitrust Litig.)*, 00 Civ. 0648 (LAK) (S.D.N.Y.)
- *In re New Motor Vehicles Antitrust Litig.* MDL 1532 (D. Me.)(co-chair, executive committee)

- *In re Parcel Tanker Shipping Servs. Antitrust Litig.*, MDL 1568 (D. Ct.) *In re Fresh Del Monte Pineapples Antitrust Litig.*, MDL 04-md-1628 (RMB) (S.D.N.Y.)
- *In re Plastics Additives Antitrust Litig.*, MDL 1684 (E.D. Pa.)
- *In re Relafen Antitrust Litig.*, 01-12239-WG4 (D. Mass.) *Sperry v. Crompton Corp.* (Sup. Ct. Nassau County) 28I222
- *In re Tamoxifen Citrate Antitrust Litig.*, MDL 1408 (E.D.N.Y.)
- *Twombly v. Bell Atlantic Corp.*, 02 Civ. 10220 (GEL) (S.D.N.Y.)
- *In re Reformulated Gasoline Antitrust Litig.*, MDL 1671 (M.D. Ca.)
- *In re Wellbutrin Antitrust Litig.*, MDL 04-5525 (E.D. Pa.)

Leading Appeals Argued in Antitrust Class Actions

- *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544 (2007).
- *Twombly v. Bell Atlantic Corp.*, 425 F.3d 99 (2d Cir. 2007).
- *Uniondale Beer Co. v. Anheuser Busch, Inc.*, Nos. 95-7321, 7371 (2d Cir. 1995).
- *Kruman v. Christie's Int'l PLC*, 284 F.3d 384 (2d Cir. 2002).
- *In re Ciprofloxacin Hydrochloride Antitrust Litig.*, 544 F.3d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2008), cert. denied, 77 U.S.L.W. 3690 (June 22, 2009).
- *In re Tamoxifen Citrate Antitrust Litig.*, 429 F.3d 370 (2d Cir. 2005), cert. denied, 127 S.Ct. 3001 (2007).
- *JLM Industries, Inc. v. Stolt-Nielsen SA*, 387 F.3d 163 (2d Cir. 2004).
- *American Banana, Inc. v. Del Monte Fresh Produce Co.*, 09-4561-cv (2d Cir. 2010).
- *Sperry v. Crompton Corp.*, 8 N.Y. 3d 204 (2007).
- *Cox v. Microsoft Corp.*, 8 A.D. 3d 39, 778 N.Y.S. 2d 147 (1st Dep't 2004).
- *Cox v. Microsoft Corp.*, 290 A.D. 2d 206, 737 N.Y.S. 2d 1 (1st Dep't 2002).
- *Sperry v. Crompton Corp.*, A.D. 3d 488, 810 N.Y.S. 2d 498 (2d Dep't 2006).

Recent Publications

- Book Review of Richard A. Posner, *Reflections on Judging*(2013), Trial Magazine (forthcoming in 2014).
- *Private Antitrust Enforcement: Will The Levee Soon Be Dry?*(co-authored with Cohen Milstein partner Christopher J. Cormier) (forthcoming in 2014).
- *Pro-Business and Anti-Efficiency: How Conservative Procedural "Innovations" Have Made Litigation Slower, More Expensive, and Less Efficient*, CPI Antitrust Chronicle, May 2013 (1) (co-authored with Michael B. Eisenkraft).
- *Does Manipulation of LIBOR Fall Within the Sherman Act's Definition of "Trade"? A Question of First Principles*, CPI Antitrust Chronicle, Nov. 2012 (2) (co-authored with Michael B. Eisenkraft).
- *Is Market Definition Necessary In Sherman Act Cases When Anticompetitive Effects Can Be Shown with Direct Evidence?*ABA Antitrust Magazine, Summer 2012, Vol. 26. No. 3.
- *Class Action Issues*, Ch. 5 of *Private Antitrust Enforcement of Antitrust Law in the United States: A Handbook* (Edward Algar, Cheltenham, UK)(co-authored with Michael B. Eisenkraft and Abigail Shafroth).
- *Heart of Darkness -- A Satirical Commentary*, 66 N.Y.U. Annual Survey of Am. Law 569 (2011).
- *Aggregation of Claims*, Ch. 8 of *The International Handbook on Private Enforcement of Competition Law* (AAI, 2010).

- *Predominance of Common Questions -- Common Mistakes in Applying the Class Action Standard*, 41 Rutgers L.J. 163 (2009) (co-authored with Benjamin J. Brown).
- Co-author, with John Vail of the Center for Constitutional Litigation, *A Misguided Mission to Revamp the Rules*, TRIAL MAGAZINE, Nov. 2009.
- *Class Action Standards in Crisis: Whether Common Merits Questions Predominate Does Not Depend on the Questions' Answers*, Global Competition Policy (May 2009).
- *Three Limitations of Twombly: Antitrust Conspiracy Inferences in a Context of Historical Monopoly*, 82 St. John's L. Rev. 849 (2008).
- *What Makes An Antitrust Class Action Remedy Successful?: A Tale of Two Settlements*, 80 Tulane L. Rev. 621 (2005).

Recent Speaking Engagements

- January 2014 – Moderator at Next Generation of Antitrust Scholars Conference at NYU School of Law.
- October 2013 – October 2013 –Panel member at Golden State Institute in San Francisco regarding “Pay for Delay” agreements after the Supreme Court’s Actavis decision.
- September 2013 – Panel member at National Association of Attorneys General (NAAG) presentation entitled “Drug Shortages and Other Pharmaceutical Issues.”
- May 2013 – Panel member at Federal Bar Council/Antitrust Section presentation in Islip, New York titled “To Fee or Not to Fee: Caveats on Attorneys’ Fees in Federal Courts”
- April 2013 - Panelist with Prof. Arthur Miller and Judge Shira Scheindlin at Institute for Law and Economic Policy presentation in Naples, FL titled "The Roberts Court and Business Litigation."
- June 2012 - Speaker at Federal Bar Council presentation titled "Antitrust Conspiracies, Class Actions and Refusals to Deal: Parallels, Signals, Plus Factors and Agreements."
- April 2012 - Speaker at 12th Annual Loyola Law School Antitrust Colloquium in Chicago, IL regarding "Exclusion as a Core Principle of Antitrust."
- February 2012 - Testified before United States House Judiciary Committee Subcommittee on Intellectual Property, Competition and the Internet, at a hearing titled "Litigation as a Predatory Practice" concerning Noerr-Pennington antitrust immunity.
- January 2012 - Moderator at Next Generation of Antitrust Scholarship Conference, NYU School of law.
- December 2011 - Speaker at American Antitrust Institute, 5th Annual Future of Private Antitrust Enforcement Conference.
- October 2011 - Plaintiffs’ bar commentator at Antitrust Forum organized by NYSBA titled “Upward Price Pressure, Market Definition, and Supply Mobility.”
- January 2011 - Speaker at NYS Bar Association Antitrust Law Section annual meeting on panel titled “Fifty Miles from Home with a Briefcase: Expert Hot Topics.”
- December 2010 - Speaker at Private Enforcement Conference of American Antitrust Institute in Washington, D.C., regarding motions to dismiss in antitrust cases.
- July 2010 - Speaker at Pound Civil Justice Institute 2010 Forum for State Appellate Court Judges in Vancouver, B.C. regarding Twombly in state courts.
- April 2010 - Participant in mock argument before the Hon. Sidney H. Stein opposite Paul Saunders of Cravath Swaine & Moore, entitled “Twombly v. Conley—The fight of the Century.”
- March 2010 - Presentation to Rutgers–Camden Law School Faculty and Students regarding Twombly.

- February 2010 - Speaker on Private Enforcement panel at a symposium at NYU School of law titled “Critical Directions in Antitrust.”
- January 2010 - Speaker at NYS Bar annual meeting in program titled “Section 2: Is It Really Coming Back?”
- December 2009 - Speaker at Private Enforcement Conference of the American Antitrust Institute at National Press Club in Washington, D.C. regarding Rule 23 issues.
- November 2009 - Panelist at Federal Bar Council presentation titled “Issues That Arise in Antitrust Cases That You Don’t Learn About in Law School.”
- October 2009 - Panelist at Federal Bar Council program titled “Motions to Dismiss in Federal Court After the Supreme Court’s Decisions in Twombly and Iqbal.”
- October 2009 - Panelist, along with former Assistant Attorney General Thomas O. Barnett and FTC Commissioner J. Thomas Rosch, with regard to “Monopolization in the New Administration,” at Newport Summit on Antitrust Law and Economics.
- September 2009 - Speaker for AAJ Teleseminar entitled “Iqbal/Twombly: The Death of Notice Pleading?”
 - September 2009 - Panelist at University of San Francisco symposium titled “A Prescription for Antitrust Enforcement in the Pharmaceutical Industry.”
- January 2009 - Represented plaintiff’s bar at meeting of the Standing Committee on Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in San Antonio, TX for presentation concerning possible rule revisions to address discovery burdens in federal litigation.
- September 2008 - Panelist at the annual NAAG meeting in Salt Lake City, Utah, for presentation titled “Recent Developments in Intellectual Property.”
- April 2008 - Speaker at NYU School of law commenting on Report and Recommendations of the Antitrust Modernization Commission, dated April 2, 2007.
- November 2007 - Panelist at ABA Fall Forum in Washington, D.C. for presentation titled “Litigating an Antitrust Case After Twombly.”
- October 2007 - Panelist at 2007 Fall Bench and Bar Retreat of the Federal Bar Council, in Lenox, MA titled “Rule 23 in the Second Circuit: Post-CAFA and Post-IPO.”

Legal and Policy Advisory Positions

- American Antitrust Institute
Member, Board of Advisors
- Institute for Consumer Antitrust Studies
Member, Board of Advisors
- Antitrust Section, New York State Bar Association
Member, Executive Committee

Linda Singer

Linda Singer, a Partner, joined Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll, PLLC in 2009 as head of the Public Client practice group. Ms. Singer is the former Attorney General for the District of Columbia. Ms. Singer has represented clients in approximately 350 matters during her legal career. Ms. Singer brings her extensive experience to lead the practice in supporting state Attorneys General, who serve as the critical front line in litigation protecting consumers, workers, and public resources.

Ms. Singer currently represents Attorneys General in high stakes and high profile investigations and litigation involving consumer and Medicaid fraud, including in mortgage lending and servicing and

other financial services and the marketing of prescription drugs, and misclassification of independent workers in violation of state tax and employment laws. The Public Client Practice focuses on cases with a strong policy dimension that are likely to result in litigation, are especially resource-intensive, or require specialized expertise.

Among other cases, Ms. Singer has:

- Represented a state Attorney General in the landmark proceedings against Countrywide Financial (and its parent, Bank of America), which resulted in mortgage modifications and other relief valued at approximately \$8.6 billion. As a result of the settlement, Countrywide agreed to provide loan modifications to 400,000 borrowers nationwide and financial relief to the states and borrowers.
- Represent the States of Arizona and Nevada in consumer fraud lawsuits against Bank of America over the servicing of nearly one half million mortgages. Those cases were settled as a part of the national mortgage settlement with significant additional recoveries for the states and consumers.
- Represented attorneys general in a multi-billion dollar settlement with a major lender over the deceptive marketing of payment option adjustable rate mortgages.
- Represent an attorney general in investigations relating to the securitization of subprime mortgages.
- Represent attorneys general in various antitrust investigations relating to the financial crisis and technology issues.
- Represented an attorney general in a multi-million misclassification case against Fortune 100 company.
- Represented attorney general in the investigation of high profile consumer prescription drug case.
- Represent cities in prescription drug and other consumer fraud investigations.

Because many of these matters remain non-public investigations, the specific attorney general's office and target are not listed.

Before entering the private sector, Ms. Singer led the seventh-largest state Attorney General's office in the nation, overseeing the litigation and policy initiatives carried out by her staff of more than 350 lawyers. As the chief law enforcement office for the District of Columbia, she was responsible for overseeing all of the District's litigation, providing legal advice to the Mayor and the Directors of other District agencies, and for representing the interests of District residents through enforcement initiatives focused on consumer protection, public safety, and the environment. During her tenure as Attorney General, Ms. Singer successfully petitioned the Supreme Court to hear its first Second Amendment case in more than 70 years; developed new initiatives to combat gun violence; and expanded enforcement litigation aimed at protecting consumers, children, tenants, and victims of domestic violence.

Prior to serving as Attorney General, Ms. Singer was the Executive Director of the Appleseed Foundation, a national network of public interest law centers. Earlier in her career, Ms. Singer served a staff attorney in the Criminal Defense Division of the Legal Aid Society of New York City. She has spoken extensively before legal and other audiences and is a frequent contributor to numerous legal trade publications.

In 2010, Ms. Singer was selected one of "Washington's Most Influential Women Lawyers" by The National Law Journal.

Ms. Singer is a graduate of the Harvard College (B.A., *magna cum laude*, 1988) and of Harvard Law School (J.D., *magna cum laude*, 1991).

Ms. Singer is admitted to practice in the District of Columbia and New York.

R. Joseph Barton

Joseph Barton, a Partner at the Firm, joined Cohen Milstein in 2001 and is a member of the Employee Benefits practice group.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Barton served as a judicial law clerk to the Honorable Lenore C. Nesbitt, United States District Judge for Southern District of Florida (2000-2001). Since joining the firm, Mr. Barton has been actively involved in a variety of class action cases involving employee benefits as well as antitrust and securities cases.

Mr. Barton has been actively involved in a diverse number of employee benefit cases. He has litigated and is litigating a number of private ESOP cases. In litigation challenging the sale of stock for \$25 million by the family shareholders to the Azon Corporation ESOP, Mr. Barton defeated defendants' summary judgment motions and obtained partial summary judgment and obtained a settlement of \$9.25 million for the ESOP participants. In litigation challenging a sale of stock to the Tharaldson Motels Inc. ESOP (one of the largest ESOP's in the country) for \$500 million, Mr. Barton obtained a determination that former employees had standing to sue as participants of the plan. Mr. Barton has also been involved in a number of cases alleging breach of fiduciary duty by investing the 401k plan in company stock of publicly traded companies. In *Simpson v. Fireman's Fund Insurance Company* (N.D. Cal.), Mr. Barton represented a class of active and terminated employees alleging that FFIC's policy of terminated persons on disability violated the discrimination provisions of ERISA, and obtained a settlement restoring their right to benefits for a period of years and also reimbursement of past expenses. Mr. Barton has been lead trial counsel in two complex class action ERISA cases, obtaining favorable results at the trial level, most recently a trial challenging a transaction involving the Trachte ESOP and the Alliance ESOP on behalf of a class of employees of Trachte.

In addition to private ESOP cases, Mr. Barton has litigated a number of cases involving allegations of fiduciary misconduct involving the purchase or holding of publicly-traded employer stock in 401k or other retirement plans. Mr. Barton was also involved in one of the earliest cases challenging the prudence of investment and fees of the pension and 401k plans sponsored by New York Life Insurance Company. Mr. Barton is currently involved in the litigation against the Weyerhaeuser Company in alleging that the plan's investment of defined benefit pension plan assets in an array of hedge fund and private equity was imprudent.

Mr. Barton has also provided advice to independent fiduciaries and is currently representing the fiduciaries in litigation against their investment manager.

Mr. Barton has been active in a number of securities fraud lawsuits including *In re Physician Corporation of America Securities Litigation* (S.D. Fla.) (settlement of \$10.2 million), and *In re MCI Securities Litigation* (D.D.C.) (settlement of \$4.5 million) and also represented a small class of former

Sterling shareholders who received Uniroyal stock in a merger in *Avery v. Uniroyal Technology Corp.*, (M.D. Fla.) (settlement of \$2.3 million). Mr. Barton represented a limited partners of Lipper Convertibles, a defunct hedge fund, in an arbitration against the fund's former general partners, *Levitt v. Lipper Holdings et al.* (AAA), and in litigation against the outside auditor in federal district court, *Levitt v. PricewaterhouseCoopers* (S.D.N.Y.) in connection with their investments in the Partnership which were allegedly overvalued for over 5 years.

Mr. Barton has also worked on a number of antitrust actions. Mr. Barton was a part of the team that engaged in intensive trial preparations in *In re High Fructose Corn Syrup Antitrust Litigation*, (C.D. Ill.), a class action alleging price-fixing by the manufacturers of high fructose corn syrup, which settled for more than \$500 million shortly before trial. Mr. Barton litigated *In re Mercedes-Benz Antitrust Litigation* (D.N.J.), a class action alleging price-fixing of new Mercedes-Benz vehicles in the New York Region, that settled for \$17.5 million or 50% of Plaintiffs' calculation of actual damages. In connection with the Mercedes-Benz litigation, Mr. Barton briefed and argued and obtained summary judgment on an issue of first impression that established that lessee-plaintiffs had standing to sue as direct purchasers under the federal antitrust laws.

Mr. Barton considers pro bono representation an important component of his practice and usually has at least one pro bono representation. He has represented a number of clients involving actions concerning their employer's failure to pay wages and/or overtime. In one such action, the Judge in D.C. Superior Court described Mr. Barton's representation as follows: "everything done on behalf of the Plaintiff has been professional, timely and thorough."

Along with the non-profit law firm Midwest Environmental Advocates, Mr. Barton provided pro bono representation to the grassroots citizens action group Clean Water Action Council of Northeastern Wisconsin, in objecting to a settlement by the United States Department of Justice and the State of Wisconsin concerning natural resource damages in the Fox River area of Wisconsin.

Mr. Barton received his undergraduate degree from the College of William & Mary (B.A. 1991) where he majored in History and minored in Classical Studies, and graduated Order of the Coif from the College of William & Mary, Marshall-Wythe School of Law (J.D. 2000). At law school, he received the Lawrence W. I'Anson Award for outstanding student scholarship, character and leadership, the William B. Spong Award for professionalism and ethics, the Robert R. Kaplan Award for excellence in legal writing and Order of the Barristers. He served on the editorial board of the William & Mary Law Review and was a staff member of the William & Mary Bill of Rights Journal. Mr. Barton was a member of the William & Mary National Trial Team and served as Vice-President of the William & Mary Chapter of the Association of Trial Lawyers of America.

Mr. Barton is the author of a number of articles including *Determining the Meaning of "Direct Evidence" in Discrimination Cases Within the Eleventh Circuit: Why Judge Tjoflat was (W)right*, 77 Fla. B.J. 42 (2003), *Drowning in a Sea of Contract: Application of the Economic Loss Rule to Fraud and Negligent Misrepresentation Claims*, 41 Wm. & Mary L. Rev. 1789 (2000), and *Utilizing Statistics and Bellwether Plaintiff Trials: What do the Constitution and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Permit?*, 8 Wm. & Mary Bill Rts. J. 199 (1999). Each of these published articles has been cited by courts and commentators.

Mr. Barton has been invited to speak on ERISA and Class Actions including at the ABA Employee Benefits Mid-Winter meetings, the ABA Joint Committee on Employee Benefits. Mr. Barton is the

Plaintiffs' Co-Chair of the Civil Procedure Subcommittee for the ABA Employee Benefits Committee. Mr. Barton is also the current Vice-Chair of the Employment Rights Section of the American Association of Justice (AAJ) which focuses on all aspects of employment and labor law including Title VII, ADA, ADEA, FMLA, wrongful discharge, and employee benefits cases.

Mr. Barton is admitted to practice in the State of California and the District of Columbia and is listed in the Marquis' Who's Who in American and Who's Who in American Law.

Joshua S. Devore

Joshua Devore, a Partner at the Firm, joined Cohen Milstein in 2000 as a member of the Securities Fraud/Investor Protection practice group.

He is currently working on several securities fraud class actions (including the litigation on the collapse of the Italian dairy conglomerate Parmalat), and has been heavily involved in litigation regarding Wall Street research analysts. He has actively participated in a number of cases that resulted in substantial recoveries for investors, including *In re Lucent Technologies, Inc. Securities Litigation* (settlement of approximately \$575 million); *In re Merrill Lynch Research Reports Securities Litigation* (settlement of \$125 million); *In re VeriSign Corp. Securities Litigation* (settlement of \$78 million); and *Norman v. Salomon Smith Barney* (settlement of \$51 million on behalf of Guided Portfolio Management Account holders).

Mr. Devore has been the primary author of numerous briefs addressing complex and novel issues of the federal securities laws, leading to notable reported decisions such as *In re Parmalat Securities Litigation*, 376 F.Supp.2d 472 (S.D.N.Y. 2003), that affirmed claims of "scheme" liability against a corporation's outside investment banks, and *Lentell v. Merrill Lynch & Co.*, 396 F.3d 161 (2d Cir. 2005), that reversed a dismissal on statute of limitations grounds and reset the standards for pleading loss causation. He was also a member of the trial team in *In re Globalstar Securities Litigation*, which settled for \$20 million during trial after Plaintiffs had fully presented their case.

Mr. Devore is actively involved in the representation of the firm's institutional investor clients and personally developed and oversees the analysis of the firm's clients' investments in securities that may have been affected by fraud.

Mr. Devore graduated from Rice University in 1997 with a B.A. in Chemistry, and obtained his law degree from Georgetown University Law Center in 2000. While at Georgetown, Mr. Devore served as an Executive Editor of the Georgetown International Environmental Law Review. Mr. Devore is co-author of *State Court Class Actions: Trends and Issues*, in National Institute on Class-Actions, C-1 (ABA CLE 1999).

Mr. Devore is admitted to practice in the District of Columbia and the Commonwealth of Virginia.

Christopher J. Cormier

Christopher J. Cormier, a Partner at the Firm, joined Cohen Milstein in 2003 and is a member of the Antitrust Practice Group. He has gained considerable experience at the pre-trial, trial and appellate levels in various types of large and complex antitrust cases. And he has helped obtain recoveries for clients in these matters totalling in the hundreds of millions of dollars.

Chris has been named a Washington, D.C. "Litigation Star" in Antitrust in *Benchmark Plaintiff: The Definitive Guide to America's Leading Plaintiff Firms and Attorneys* (2013 edition).

He works or has worked on the following representative matters:

- *In re Urethane Antitrust Litigation* (D. Kan.), where he serves as co-lead counsel on behalf of a class of direct purchasers of several types of chemicals who were overcharged as a result of a nationwide price-fixing and market allocation conspiracy. He played a leading role in litigating major aspects of the case, and helped obtain class settlements with Bayer (\$55.3 million), BASF (\$51 million), and Huntsman (\$33 million). He was a member of the trial team who obtained a \$400 million jury verdict (trebled to over \$1 billion) against the sole remaining defendant, Dow Chemical. This was the largest verdict in the country in 2013, as reported by the National Law Journal. The judgment is currently on appeal before the 10th Circuit.
- *In re Plasma-Derivative Protein Therapies Antitrust Litigation* (N.D. Ill.), where he serves on the plaintiffs' steering committee on behalf of a class of direct purchasers alleging a nationwide output restriction and price-fixing conspiracy. He plays a leading role in managing all day-to-day as well as strategic aspects of the plaintiffs' litigation efforts. The case recently settled for \$128 million against the three defendants, Baxter International, CSL Limited, and the Plasma Protein Therapeutics Association, following extensive discovery and on the eve of class certification.
- *In re Endosurgical Products Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litigation* (C.D. Cal.), where he served as co-lead counsel on behalf of a proposed class of direct purchasers of medical instruments used in laparoscopic surgery that were overcharged pursuant to alleged monopolistic conduct. In 2009, the Court approved class settlements valued at more than \$39 million.
- *In re Parcel Tanker Shipping Services Antitrust Litigation* (D. Conn.), where he served as co-lead counsel in an arbitration on behalf of direct purchasers of shipping services who allegedly were overcharged pursuant to the defendants' international customer allocation and price-fixing conspiracy. He was a primary author of the brief opposing defendants' request for Supreme Court review of the lower court's order holding that the relevant arbitration clauses did not preclude class-wide arbitration.
- *McIntosh, et al. v. Monsanto Co., et al.* (E.D. Mo.), where he served as co-lead counsel on behalf of farmers alleging a price-fixing conspiracy concerning genetically modified soybean seeds. Following the Court's denial of the remaining defendant's motion for summary judgment, the plaintiffs settled with that defendant on confidential terms.
- *Nate Pease, et al. v. Jasper Wyman & Son, Inc., et al.* (Knox County Superior Court, Me.), where he served as co-lead counsel on behalf of a class of Maine wild blueberry growers. In 2004, a Maine state court jury found the processing companies liable for participating in a four-year price-fixing and non-solicitation conspiracy, and ordered the defendants to pay over \$56 million in damages.

Chris also has written about developments in the antitrust field. He is one of the authors of "Perspectives on the Future Direction of Antitrust," *Antitrust*, Vol. 22, No. 3, Summer 2008, © 2008 by the American Bar Association. He also is a co-author of "Private Recovery Actions in the United States," *The Antitrust Review of the Americas* 2010, *Global Competition Review*, September 2009.

Prior to joining Cohen Milstein, Chris practiced at a large Baltimore-based law firm, where he focused on commercial and antitrust litigation. After his first year of law school, he served as a judicial intern to the Honorable Deborah K. Chasanow, United States District Court for the District of Maryland.

During his second year of law school, he served as a legal intern in the National Criminal Enforcement Section of the United States Department of Justice's Antitrust Division.

He graduated from the University of Virginia with a B.A. in Government in 1999 and from the American University's Washington College of Law (*magna cum laude*) in 2002.

He is admitted to practice in Maryland, the District of Columbia, the U.S. District Court for the District of Maryland, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 9th Circuit; the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 10th Circuit; and the U.S. Supreme Court. His work in Florida is supervised by partners of the firm who are State Bar of Florida members, pending his admission to the Florida bar.

Betsy A. Miller

Betsy A. Miller, a Partner at the Firm, joined Cohen Milstein in 2009 and is a member of the Public Client practice group.

Named one of Washington's *Top 40 Under 40 Rising Legal Stars* by the National Law Journal, Ms. Miller is an experienced labor, employment and commercial litigator. Currently, Ms. Miller represents state Attorneys General in investigations, litigation and enforcement actions involving fraudulent mortgage lending, unsafe and deceptive practices in the sale of prescription drugs, and misclassification of independent contractors in violation of state tax and labor laws. In addition to government clients, Ms. Miller represents other public-sector clients, including non-profit organizations and labor unions, in their efforts to ensure enforcement of laws protecting workers and consumers.

Since 2001, Ms. Miller has served on the adjunct faculty of Georgetown University Law Center, where she teaches courses on mediation strategy and negotiation skills. Ms. Miller's dispute resolution experience also includes serving as a mediator, arbitrator, mediation coach and negotiation skills trainer. She has taught negotiation skills courses at Harvard Law School and for a variety of federal and state government clients, law firms, corporations and non-profit organizations. As a consultant for the Kennedy School of Government, Ms. Miller traveled to Central America to evaluate mediation and arbitration programs in Guatemala, Costa Rica, El Salvador and Nicaragua.

Prior to joining Cohen Milstein, Ms. Miller served as the Chief of Staff and Senior Counsel to Linda Singer, the former Attorney General for the District of Columbia. In that capacity, Ms. Miller managed high-profile legal issues and policy initiatives for the Attorney General and was the Mayor's lead labor and employment lawyer overseeing the transition of the D.C. Public Schools to mayoral control. Ms. Miller also supervised the General Counsels' offices of three District agencies, including the D.C. Public Schools and the Office of the State Superintendent for Education. Her other government experience includes serving as Counsel to the U.S. Senate Committee on the Judiciary, where she worked for Chairman Patrick J. Leahy (VT), and clerking for the Honorable Thomas Penfield Jackson in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. In addition, Ms. Miller spent seven years as a litigator in the private sector, working for Jones Day and Crowell & Moring, LLP.

Ms. Miller's recent publications include "Untapped Potential: Creating a Systematic Model for Mediation Preparation," *Dispute Resolution Journal* (May-August, 2009) and "WARNings for Firms Facing Layoffs or Bankruptcy," *Law360* (January, 2009).

Ms. Miller received her undergraduate degree in Comparative Literature from Dartmouth College, *magna cum laude* and Phi Beta Kappa (A.B., 1996). She received her law degree from Harvard Law School, where she was an editor on the Harvard Human Rights Journal and the Harvard Latino Law Review (J.D., 1999). After graduating, Harvard awarded Ms. Miller the Heyman Fellowship for government service and academic excellence and the Kaufman Fellowship for public service.

Ms. Miller is admitted to practice in Massachusetts and the District of Columbia.

Manuel J. Dominguez

A partner in Cohen Milstein's Florida office, Manuel J. ("John") Dominguez focuses his practice on antitrust and consumer protection litigation. Mr. Dominguez plays a leading role in the firm's antitrust group identifying and investigating potential antitrust violations.

Mr. Dominguez is also involved in and helps to manage many of the firm's pending antitrust cases. He is currently representing plaintiffs in antitrust litigation involving alleged price-fixing and other anti-competitive conduct in various industries including truck transmissions, high tech, medical products, building materials, agricultural, entertainment and finance, among others. He recently litigated and resolved cutting-edge litigation against a major internet service provider for allegedly unlawfully collecting the internet search data of millions of users and making their private information available for downloading by the general public.

Mr. Dominguez has been litigating complex antitrust and consumer cases for more than 15 years, and has served as lead counsel and handled numerous high-profile, high-stakes cases during that time. His efforts have enabled aggrieved businesses and consumers to recover hundreds of millions of dollars.

Mr. Dominguez is also nationally recognized for his knowledge of managing the discovery process in today's increasingly technologically complex business environment. He has made presentations on topics such as the impact of the new e-discovery amendments to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and has also participated in The Sedona Conference® Working Group 1 – an organization at the vanguard of developing standards for electronic discovery.

Mr. Dominguez currently serves as the Chair for the Antitrust, Franchise & Trade Regulation Committee of the Florida Bar's Business Law Section. Mr. Dominguez previously served as the Vice Chair of this committee and is also a member of the Executive Council of Florida Bar's Business Law Section. Mr. Dominguez also co-authored an article for the Florida Bar Journal, "The Plausibility Standard as a Double Edge Sword: The application of Twombly and Iqbal to Affirmative Defenses" (Volume 84, No 6, June 2010).

Mr. Dominguez began his career as an Assistant Attorney General serving in the Attorney General of the State of Florida's Department of Economic Crimes. As an AAG, he represented the state of Florida in prosecuting corporations and business entities for alleged violations of Florida's RICO, antitrust, and Unfair and Deceptive Trade Practices Act statutes. Following his service as an AAG, Mr. Dominguez entered private practice, litigating and trying numerous cases involving unfair trade practices and other alleged violations of state and federal consumer protection statutes. In 2000 he joined Berman DeValerio as an associate and when he left the firm in 2011 he was one of the partners leading the firm's antitrust and consumer practice groups.

Mr. Dominguez graduated with honors from the Florida State University Law School in 1995, where was a member of the *Transnational Journal of Law and Policy*. He received his undergraduate degree from Florida International University in 1991.

Mr. Dominguez is admitted to practice law in the State of Florida as well as U.S. District Courts for the Northern, Middle and Southern Districts of Florida. Mr. Dominguez is also admitted to practice in the United States District Court for Northern District of Illinois.

Brent W. Johnson

Brent W. Johnson, a Partner at the Firm, joined Cohen Milstein in 2009 and is a member of the Antitrust Practice Group. Mr. Johnson has considerable expertise in complex antitrust litigation and class actions.

Mr. Johnson represents businesses and individuals as plaintiffs in federal and state civil actions with a focus on multi-district class actions. His class action experience spans across multiple industries, such as dairy, processed foods, automotive parts, private equity, adhesives, chemicals and others. His practice encompasses a broad variety of antitrust claims, including Sherman Act Section 2 monopoly and monopsony claims as well as Section 1 restraints of trade. Mr. Johnson also represents state Attorneys General in litigation involving deceptive practices in the sale of prescription drugs.

Mr. Johnson's recent matters include, among others:

- *Allen vs. Dairy Farmers of America* (D. Vt.), in which he represents Northeast dairy farmers against Dairy Farmers of America and Dairy Marketing Services who fixed prices, allocated markets and monopolized a level of distribution of raw milk in the Northeast and in which defendant Dean Foods Company settled for \$30 million;
- *In re Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation* (E.D. Mich.), in which he represents direct purchasers of wire harnesses, bearings and other automotive parts who were overcharged as a result of price-fixing and bid-rigging conspiracies by various sets of defendants throughout the automotive parts industry;
- *In re Urethane Antitrust Litigation* (D. Kan.), in which he serves as co-lead counsel on behalf of a certified class of direct purchasers of several types of chemicals who were overcharged as a result of a nationwide price-fixing and market allocation conspiracy and in which multiple defendants have collectively settled for over \$130 million;
- *In re Online DVD Rental Antitrust Litigation* (N.D. Cal.), in which he represents direct purchasers of online DVD rentals who were overcharged as a result of a market allocation conspiracy by defendants and in which defendant Wal-Mart settled for more than \$27 million; and
- *Carlin v. Dairy America, Inc.* (E.D. Cal.), in which he serves as co-lead counsel on behalf of a proposed class of dairy farmers paid artificially deflated prices for raw milk due to the negligence of defendants;

Prior to joining Cohen Milstein, Mr. Johnson practiced at Latham & Watkins LLP in its Washington, D.C. and New Jersey offices for six years, where he focused on antitrust litigation. Some of Mr. Johnson's matters included:

- *Feesers, Inc. v. Michael Foods, Inc. and Sodexo, Inc.* (M.D. Pa.), in which he was a member of the successful trial team that represented Michael Foods, a manufacturer of processed egg products and refrigerated potato products, in a three week trial of a Robinson-Patman Act action brought by a broad-line distributor of food products;
- *National Laser Technology, Inc. v. Biolase Technology, Inc.* (S.D. Indiana), in which he represented Biolase, the country's largest manufacturer of lasers for dental applications, in a civil action brought by an after-market dental laser support company resulting in a favorable settlement for the client. The plaintiff alleged that Biolase had monopoly power over the hard tissue dental laser market and used that power to coerce dentists into purchasing products from it in violation of Sections 1 and 2 of the Sherman Act;
- *Dahl, et al. v. Bain Capital, et al.* (D. Mass.), in which he represented The Carlyle Group in a class action where plaintiffs alleged collusion among certain private equity firms and investment banks in specific going-private transactions in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act; and
- *In re Aftermarket Filters Antitrust Litigation* (N.D. Ill.), in which he represented Champion Laboratories, a manufacturer of aftermarket automotive filters, in a class action where plaintiffs alleged a conspiracy among manufacturers to fix prices in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act.

Mr. Johnson also advised clients in the insurance, commodities exchange, chemical and energy industries in obtaining clearance of mergers, acquisitions and joint ventures from the Federal Trade Commission and the Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice in connection with pre-merger notification proceedings under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act.

Mr. Johnson also has significant experience in other complex civil and criminal litigation and investigations. He has substantial mass torts experience and represented the City of New York and others in multiple federal actions related to the September 11th attacks. He has litigated government contracts matters and was a member of a team handling a GAO administrative hearing concerning a \$1.1 billion Air Force procurement contract. He has conducted internal investigations in response to criminal investigations and inquiries by the Department of Justice and U.S. Attorney's office. He has argued before state trial and appellate courts. He has first-chaired hearings before administrative law judges for the Department of Health and Human Services and the District of Columbia.

Mr. Johnson graduated *magna cum laude* from Duke University in 2000 with a B.A. in Political Science and Spanish. He obtained his law degree from Stanford Law School in 2003.

Mr. Johnson is admitted to practice in the District of Columbia, New York and New Jersey, as well as the U.S. District Courts for the Districts of the District of Columbia and New Jersey. He is a member of the ABA Section of Antitrust Law.

Mr. Johnson currently serves on the Antitrust Practice Group's New Case Committee.

Gary L. Azorsky

Gary Azorsky, a Partner at Cohen Milstein, is Co-chair of the Firm's Whistleblower/False Claims Act Practice. In his nation-wide practice, Mr. Azorsky has helped to recover more than \$1.5 billion for federal and state governments, including hundreds of millions of dollars for whistleblower clients.

Mr. Azorsky is currently co-lead counsel in the qui tam action against the pharmaceutical company Wyeth pending in the District of Massachusetts, in which more states have joined to intervene along with the government of the United States than have ever intervened in a qui tam action in history. (*United States of America et al., ex rel. Lauren Kieff, v. Wyeth*, No.1:03-CV-12366-DPW (D.Mass.)). He has also represented whistleblowers in False Claims Act cases involving defense contractors, off-label marketing and misbranding by pharmaceutical companies, and fraud in connection with for-profit colleges and student loan programs. In addition, Mr. Azorsky represents whistleblowers in tax fraud claims against large and small corporations through the IRS Whistleblower Office, as well as whistleblowers alleging violations of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act filed with the SEC Whistleblower Office.

Mr. Azorsky served as co-counsel for the whistleblower on the following representative matters:

- *United States of America ex rel. Ven-a-Care of the Florida Keys Inc. v. Dey Laboratories, et al.*, Civil Action No. 05-11084 (D. Mass.) (\$280 Million settlement in December 2010)
- *United States of America ex rel. Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys Inc. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Corp, et al.*, Civil Action No. 07-10248 (D. Mass.) (\$280 Million settlement in December, 2010)
- *Florida ex rel. Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys Inc. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Corp, et al.*, Civil Action No. 98-3-32A (Leon Cty., Fla.) (\$6.5 Million settlement with Dey Laboratories, Inc. in March 2010)
- *Florida ex rel. Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys Inc. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Corp, et al.*, Civil Action No. 98-3-32A (Leon Cty., Fla.) (\$9.57 Million settlement with Schering-Plough in December 2009)
- *Florida ex rel. Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys Inc. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Corp, et al.*, Civil Action No. 98-3-32A (Leon Cty., Fla.) (\$8.5 Million settlement with Boehringer Ingelheim in December 2009)
- *Texas ex rel. Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys Inc. v. Roxane Laboratories, Inc., Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Ben Venue Laboratories, Inc. and Boehringer Ingelheim Corporation*, Civil Action No. GV3-03079 (Travis Cty., Tex.) (\$10 Million settlement with Boehringer Ingelheim in November 2005)
- *Texas ex rel. Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys Inc. v. Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corporation, Schering Plough Corporation, Schering Corporation*, Civil Action No. GV002327 (Travis Cty., Tex.) (\$27 Million settlement with Schering-Plough in May 2004)
- *Texas ex rel. Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys Inc. v. Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P.*, Civil Action No. GV002327 (Travis Cty., Tex.) (\$18.5 Million settlement with Dey Laboratories, Inc. in June 2003)

Mr. Azorsky regularly speaks before professional audiences regarding the federal and state False Claims Acts. He is a member of Taxpayers Against Fraud, a nonprofit, public interest organization dedicated to combating fraud against the Federal Government through the promotion and use of the Federal False Claims Act and its qui tam provisions.

Prior to joining Cohen Milstein, in addition to his Whistleblower/False Claims Act practice, Mr. Azorsky was actively involved in groundbreaking civil rights, commercial and intellectual property litigation, including Internet and software industry-related litigation.

Mr. Azorsky is a member of the bars of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the State of New Jersey and is admitted to the United States Supreme Court, Third Circuit Court of Appeals, Eastern District of Pennsylvania and the District of New Jersey. He received a B.A. degree from the University of Pennsylvania and his J.D. from Cornell University Law School. He is rated AV® Preeminent™ 5.0 out of 5 in Martindale-Hubbell Peer Review, representing the highest rating for professional excellence.

Jeanne A. Markey

Jeanne Markey, a Partner at Cohen Milstein, is Co-chair of the Firm's Whistleblower/False Claims Act Practice. She has successfully represented whistleblowers in federal and state cases across the country. Ms. Markey has extensive experience in Qui Tam litigation in the health care, defense and education industries, and has represented whistleblower clients in the public housing sector.

Ms. Markey is co-lead counsel in *United States of America et al., ex rel. Lauren Kieff, v. Wyeth*, the whistleblower case against pharmaceutical giant Wyeth (recently acquired by Pfizer). The lawsuit alleges that Medicaid, the healthcare program for the poor which is jointly funded by the federal and state governments, was defrauded when Wyeth falsely inflated the price of the acid suppression drug Protonix Oral from 2001 through 2006. Thirty-six states and the District of Columbia have joined with the United States to intervene in the Wyeth case -- more states than have ever intervened in any U.S. Qui Tam case.

She also served as the primary attorney representing the putative class in *Benzman v. Whitman*, a class action in Manhattan and Brooklyn against the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. The claims were based on class members' exposure to contaminants contained in World Trade Center interior dust resulting from the 9/11 attacks.

Ms. Markey is admitted to practice law in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, the State of New Jersey, the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and to the First Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit Court of Appeals, and Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals. She is a member of Taxpayers Against Fraud, a nonprofit, public interest organization dedicated to combating fraud against the Federal Government through the promotion and use of the Federal False Claims Act and its qui tam provisions, the Association of Qui Tam Attorneys, and frequently speaks about developments in the Qui Tam field. She received her B.A. (*cum laude*) from Colgate University and her J.D. from Cornell University Law School.

Michael Eisenkraft

Michael Eisenkraft, a Partner at the Firm, joined Cohen Milstein in 2009 and is a member of its Securities Fraud/Investor Protection and Commercial Contingency practice groups. Mr. Eisenkraft currently represents investors in many of the firm's ongoing mortgage backed securities cases, including HEMT (Credit Suisse), Harborview (RBS Greenwich Capital) (settlement of \$275 million awaiting preliminary approval), RALI (partial settlement of \$100 million, case ongoing against underwriter defendants), and NovaStar as well as the firm's ongoing litigation in the ChinaMediaExpress and CEDC cases. Mr. Eisenkraft also takes a leading role in prosecuting commodities related cases for the firm and is currently working on the Silver, LIBOR, and Cotton matters among others. In addition, since joining the firm Mr. Eisenkraft has represented investors in the Dynex case, which settled for \$7.5 million on the eve of trial, and in the Lehman mortgage-backed

securities case, which settled for \$40 million. Mr. Eisenkraft chairs the Firm's business development committee, serves as the Administrative Partner for the New York office, and was selected as a "Rising Star" by New York Super Lawyers for 2013.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Eisenkraft was associated with Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP and, before that, with the firm now known as Milberg LLP.

Mr. Eisenkraft served as a law clerk to the Honorable Barrington D. Parker of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.

While associated with Milberg, Mr. Eisenkraft represented a lead plaintiff in a number of securities fraud class actions, including *In re CVS Securities Litigation* (D. Mass.), which settled on the eve of trial for \$110 million; *In re Novastar Financial Securities Litigation* (W.D. Mo.), which eventually settled for \$7.25 million; *In re McLeodUSA Inc. Securities Litigation* (N.D. Iowa), which settled for \$30 million; *In re Regeneron Pharmaceuticals Inc.* (S.D.N.Y.), which settled for \$4.7 million; and *In re ARM Financial* (W.D. Ky.), which settled for \$4.1 million.

When associated with Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel, Mr. Eisenkraft represented individuals and large corporations in complex civil, criminal, and regulatory matters. Matters included the representation of the former CEO of a publicly traded company charged with a multi-billion dollar securities fraud; the defense of the former director and chair of the compensation committee of the New York Stock Exchange in an action brought by the New York Attorney General relating to executive compensation; and the representation of publicly traded corporations in complex civil suits.

Publications:

Michael Eisenkraft, *The Supreme Court Grants Certiorari in Indymac: What's at Stake for Investors, Securities Lawyers, and the Courts. What You Should Do Right Now to Prepare*, 46 Sec. Reg. & L. Rep. (BNA) 663 (Apr. 7, 2014)

Julie Goldsmith Reiser & Michael B. Eisenkraft, *Why the Financial Services Industry Should Enhance Dodd-Frank's Protections for Senior Citizens*, Banking & Financial Services Policy Report, Vol. 32: No. 11 (November 2013)

Carol V. Gilden, Michael B. Eisenkraft, and Josh Segal, *The Dangers of Missing the Forest: The Harm Caused by Verifone Holdings in a Tellabs World*, Loyola University Chicago Law Journal Vol. 44: No. 5 (Summer 2013)

Reiser, Julie Goldsmith and Eisenkraft, Michael B. (2013) "Dodd-Frank's Protections for Senior Citizens: An Important, Yet Insufficient Step," University of Cincinnati Law Review: Vol. 81: Iss. 2, Article 5.

J. Douglas Richards & Michael B. Eisenkraft, *Pro-Business and Anti-Efficiency: How Conservative Procedural "Innovations" Have Made Litigation Slower, More Expensive, and Less Efficient*, CPI Antitrust Chronicle, May 2013 (1).

J. Douglas Richards & Michael B. Eisenkraft, *Restraint of Trade: Does Manipulation of LIBOR Fall Within the Sherman Act's Definition of "Trade"? A Question of First Principles*, CPI Antitrust Chronicle, Nov. 2012 (2).

Class Action Issues, Ch. 5 of *Private Antitrust Enforcement of Antitrust Law in the United States: A Handbook* (Edward Algar, Cheltenham, UK)(co-authored with J. Douglas Richards and Abigail Shafroth) (2012).

Eric Tirschwell & Michael Eisenkraft, "*Repugnant*" and "*Malevolent*": *The Use of Acquitted Conduct in Federal Sentencing*, New York Law Journal, Sept. 9, 2009 at 4.

Robert A. Wallner & Michael Eisenkraft, *The Pleading Standard for Scierter Under the PSLRA: Is It Constitutional?*, Securities Litigation Report, Feb. 2005, at 1.

Education:

Mr. Eisenkraft graduated *Magna Cum Laude* and Phi Beta Kappa from Brown University (2001) and *Cum Laude* from the Harvard Law School (2004).

Admissions:

Mr. Eisenkraft is admitted in New York, New Jersey, the S.D.N.Y., the E.D.N.Y., the D.N.J., the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.

Karen L. Handorf

Karen Handorf, a Partner at the Firm, joined Cohen Milstein in 2007. Ms. Handorf is head of the Employee Benefits (ERISA) practice group.

Ms. Handorf is currently involved in litigation and appeals involving a broad range of employee benefits issues including church plans, ESOPs, employer stock, COBRA, mismanagement of plan investments and benefit terminations. She represented a class of 30,000 Goodyear union retirees in litigation in which Cohen Milstein obtained approval of a class action settlement between the retirees, Goodyear and the United Steel Workers, resulting in the establishment of a \$1 billion trust through which retiree healthcare benefits will be provided in the future. *Redington v. Goodyear* (N.D. Ohio). She has co-authored amicus briefs filed by the firm on behalf of the Pension Rights Center in the U.S. Supreme Court (*LaRue v. DeWolff, Boberg & Associates*) and in the Third Circuit (*In re Schering-Plough Corporation ERISA Litigation*). She also played a primary role in drafting the appellate brief in *In re Citigroup ERISA Litigation* (2d Cir.) (challenging the dismissal of a complaint alleging the imprudent purchase of employer stock) and in *Boos v. AT&T* (5th Cir.) (involving the issue of whether a program providing cash payments to certain "pension eligible" retirees to reimburse them for their personal telephone expenses during retirement is a pension plan).

Prior to joining the firm, Ms. Handorf was an attorney for the U.S. Department of Labor (the "DOL") where she litigated ERISA cases in federal appellate and district courts for twenty five years. She began her ERISA career in 1982 as a trial attorney in the Plan Benefits Security Division (PBSD) where she litigated actions brought by the Secretary of Labor for violations of the fiduciary standards of ERISA and handled a number of appellate matters.

In 1989, she was appointed Counsel for Decentralized and Special Litigation responsible for supervising the DOL's ERISA appellate litigation, district court litigation brought by regional offices of the Solicitor of Labor and administrative litigation involving the civil penalty provisions of ERISA. In that position at the DOL, Ms. Handorf was responsible for establishing and supervising PBSA's amicus brief writing program which addressed a wide range of novel and difficult ERISA issues in both state and federal court. While at the DOL, she also played a major role in formulating the Government's position on ERISA issues expressed in amicus briefs filed by the Solicitor General in the United States Supreme Court.

In 2001, she was appointed Deputy Associate Solicitor of PBSA. As the Deputy Associate Solicitor, she was responsible for overseeing litigation brought by the Secretary of Labor and legal advice provided to the Employee Benefit Security Administration, which administers Title I of ERISA. In 2005, she returned to her position as supervisor of the ERISA appellate and amicus brief writing program, serving as Counsel for Appellate and Special Litigation.

Ms. Handorf is a recipient of the Department of Labor Distinguished Career Service Award, and received Exceptional Achievement Awards for her work on ERISA 401(k) plan remedies, the amicus brief in the Enron litigation, retiree health care, the amicus program in general, the appellate brief in the Department's Tower litigation, termination annuities litigation and multiple employer welfare arrangement (MEWAs) litigation.

Ms. Handorf has been recognized for her expertise by her colleagues in the ERISA bar, who made her a Fellow of the American College of Employee Benefits Counsel. She is a frequent speaker on ERISA issues for the ABA, various bar associations and private seminars, and serves as plaintiffs' co-chair of preemption subcommittee of the Employees Benefits Committee of the ABA's Labor Section.

Ms. Handorf received her law degree from the University of Wisconsin Law School in 1975. Prior to law school, she attended the University of Wisconsin-River Falls where she received a B.S. in Speech and History.

Ms. Handorf is a member of the bars of Wisconsin and the District of Columbia, and is admitted to practice before the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, Third Circuit, Fifth Circuit, Seventh Circuit, Ninth Circuit and Tenth Circuit.

Joel P. Laitman

Joel Laitman is a lead partner in a number of the firm's mortgage backed securities cases pending throughout the country including, *HEMT* (Credit Suisse); *Harborview* (RBS Greenwich Capital); *Rali* and *Novastar*. Joel Laitman was elected to the 2011, 2012 and 2013 New York Super Lawyers. Super Lawyers is a rating service of outstanding lawyers who have attained a high-degree of peer recognition and professional achievement.

Prior to joining Cohen Milstein, Mr. Laitman was a partner at Schoengold Sporn Laitman & Lometti. At his former firm, Mr. Laitman litigated numerous national securities and consumer class actions including many securities class action cases where the firm served as sole lead counsel, including *Westar Energy Securities Litigation* (D. Kansas) (\$30 million recovery); *Nicor, Inc. Securities Litigation* (N.D. Ill.) (\$39 million recovery); *SPX Corporation Securities Litigation* (W.D.N.C.) (\$20 million recovery); *Maley v. Del Global* (\$11.5 million recovery) and *Tidel Technologies* (S.D. Tex)

(\$4.05 million recovery in cash and stock). In *Del Global* Judge McMahon commended him as an attorney who she “respected” and in approving the settlement stated that plaintiffs’ counsel “had gone the extra mile” for the class.

Education: Columbia University B.A. 1981 *magna cum laude* (member Phi Beta Kappa); Georgetown University Law Center J.D. 1986.

Christopher Lometti

Chris Lometti, a Partner at the Firm, joined Cohen Milstein in 2009. Since joining the firm, he helped litigate the Countrywide MBS Litigation (\$500 mil settlement pending court approval); the Lehman MBS Litigation (\$40 million recovery); the WaMu MBS Litigation (\$26 million recovery); and the Leap Wireless case (S.D. Cal) (\$13.75 million recovery). He is also one of the lead attorneys in many of the firm’s ongoing mortgage-backed securities cases, including Bear Stearns; HEMT (Credit Suisse); Harborview (RBS Greenwich Capital); Rali and Novastar.

Prior to joining Cohen Milstein, he was a founding member of Schoengold Sporn Laitman & Lometti, P.C. (“SSLL”), where he practiced for more than thirteen years in the area of securities class action litigation.

While at SSLL, Mr. Lometti oversaw the firm’s institutional client development efforts. Under his supervision, the firm established relationships with dozens of Taft-Hartley pension and benefit funds which the firm represented in numerous securities class action lawsuits over the years. In addition, Mr. Lometti participated in the successful litigation of these and other cases, including WorldCom (\$6.15 billion recovery), Bank One (\$50 million), USN Communications (\$45 million), Nicor (\$39 million), PNC (\$47 million), Westar (\$30 million), SpectraVision (\$28 million) and SPX (\$10 million).

In *In re WorldCom, Inc. Securities Litigation*, 02-CV-3288 (S.D.N.Y.), Mr. Lometti represented a named plaintiff and certified class representative with a significant financial interest in WorldCom bonds. That case was settled in 2005 for over \$6.15 billion, the second-largest securities fraud settlement of all time. A majority of the settlement proceeds in the WorldCom case was allocated to the bond claims of Mr. Lometti’s client and the class they represented. In addition, in *In re Nicor Securities Litigation*, 02-CV-5168 (N.D. Ill.), Mr. Lometti represented a Taft-Hartley pension and benefit fund in their capacity as sole lead plaintiff. Despite the fact that the case asserted claims under Section 10b of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 which centered on complex accounting rules governing the financial reporting of natural gas leases, the case was eventually settled for \$39 million.

Prior to SSLL, Mr. Lometti was associated with Shea & Gould, a large New York City-based commercial litigation firm, where he practiced in the Litigation Department. While there, he represented an array of clients, including Fortune 500 companies, in a wide variety of commercial litigation disputes, including SEC investigations and enforcement proceedings, securities class actions and ERISA matters.

In addition to serving as a commercial mediator for the New York State Unified Court system for many years, Mr. Lometti has served as an arbitrator for the New York Stock Exchange and the National Association of Securities Dealers since approximately 1991. In 2011, Mr. Lometti was elected to Super Lawyers. Super Lawyers is a rating service of outstanding lawyers from more than 70 practice areas who have attained a high-degree of peer recognition and professional achievement. The

selection process is multi-phased and includes independent research, peer nominations and peer evaluations.

Mr. Lometti received a Bachelor of Arts from Fordham College in 1983, and his J.D. from Fordham Law School in 1986. He is a member of the New York State Bar Association, the New York County Lawyers Association and the Association of the Bar of the City of New York.

Michelle C. Yau

Michelle Yau, a Partner at the Firm, joined Cohen Milstein in 2007. Ms. Yau is a member of the Employee Benefits practice group.

Ms. Yau specializes in ERISA fiduciary breach cases involving complex financial transactions or investments. She successfully represented a multi-plan class of participants, beneficiaries and fiduciaries as ERISA counsel in *In re Beacon Assoc. Litig.*, 09 Civ. 0777 (S.D.N.Y.), which, along with other consolidated case, settled for \$219 million. The settlement provides approximately 70% of the Class Members damages. Several notable decisions were reached during the course of the litigation, including Judge Sand's holding that the Ivy Defendants were fiduciaries under the investment advisor for a fee regulation and the successful certification of multi-plan class. Ms. Yau was part of a team that achieved a \$75 million settlement in *In re: Merrill Lynch*, an employer stock based on allegations that fiduciaries of the Merrill Lynch retirement plans imprudently purchased and held inflated Merrill employer stock for the retirement accounts of the Companies' employees.

Ms. Yau currently leads an action against Weyerhaeuser Company and Morgan Stanley alleging the the Plan's fiduciaries violated ERISA by investing 80-95% of the Plan's assets in risky and illiquid alternative investments (hedge funds, private equity funds and derivatives). The Weyerhaeuser Plaintiffs recently won a motion to dismiss filed by Morgan Stanley. Ms. Yau also currently represents a multi-plan case against Austin Capital and its parent corporation Key Corp based on their investment of plan assets in a Madoff feeder fund.

Prior to joining Cohen Milstein, Ms. Yau was an Honor Program Attorney at the Department of Labor where she enforced and administered of a variety of labor statutes. Before law school, Ms. Yau worked as a financial analyst at Goldman, Sachs & Co. in the Financial Institutions Group of the Investment Banking Division.

Ms. Yau received her law degree from Harvard Law School in 2003, where she was awarded several public interest fellowships, including the Heyman Fellowship for academic excellence and a demonstrated commitment to federal public service. Ms. Yau graduated Phi Beta Kappa with a B.A. in Mathematics from the University of Virginia. Ms. Yau was also selected as an Echols Scholar and awarded the Student Council Scholarship for leadership, academic achievement and community service. Ms. Yau was named a Rising Star Under 40.

Ms. Yau is admitted to practice in the District of Columbia, Massachusetts, the United States Supreme Court and the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit.

Matthew S. Axelrod

Matt Axelrod, a Partner at the Firm, joined Cohen Milstein at the beginning of 2014. His practice is focused on holding entities accountable for corporate malfeasance and spans a number of the Firm's practice groups, including the Antitrust Group, the Whistleblower/False Claims Act Group, and the Public Client Group. He is one of the firm's senior trial lawyers, having tried nearly twenty cases to verdict in federal court.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Axelrod worked for more than a decade at the United States Department of Justice ("DOJ"), where he served as both a federal prosecutor in Miami and then as one of DOJ's highest-ranking career officials in Washington, D.C.

From March 2011 until December 2013, Mr. Axelrod served as Associate Deputy Attorney General in the Office of the Deputy Attorney General, where he advised the Deputy Attorney General and Attorney General on DOJ's most significant white collar criminal matters and False Claims Act investigations, and oversaw the work of DOJ's Criminal Division, Tax Division, FBI, ATF, and U.S. Attorneys' Offices. He was also responsible for managing DOJ's efforts to combat healthcare fraud, and served as DOJ's primary liaison to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services on healthcare fraud matters.

From July 2009 until March 2011, Mr. Axelrod was Senior Counsel to the Assistant Attorney General of the Criminal Division, where he advised the Assistant Attorney General on significant case and policy-related matters, with particular focus on public corruption, money laundering, the Bank Secrecy Act, and the FCPA. Mr. Axelrod also helped establish a new Money Laundering and Bank Integrity Unit, led the development of the Criminal Division's discovery and charging policies, represented DOJ on the American Bar Association's Criminal Justice Section Council, and prepared DOJ witnesses to testify before Congress.

Prior to coming to Washington, D.C. in 2009, Mr. Axelrod served as an Assistant United States Attorney in the Southern District of Florida, where he worked on some of the office's highest-profile cases, including the convictions of the Sheriff of Broward County on fraud and tax evasion charges, of two university professors for being covert agents of the Cuban government, of two doctors for a multimillion-dollar healthcare fraud scheme, and of the two founders of the notorious Cali Cartel for conspiracy to distribute more than 200,000 tons of cocaine. Mr. Axelrod conducted 19 felony jury trials and also argued three appeals to the Eleventh Circuit.

Prior to joining DOJ in 2003, Mr. Axelrod was in private practice in Boston, where he represented businesses and individuals in complex commercial litigation, white collar criminal matters, and litigation involving public agencies and public officials.

After law school, Mr. Axelrod served as a law clerk to the Honorable Ralph K. Winter, Jr., United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, and to the Honorable Janet C. Hall, United States District Court for the District of Connecticut.

A frequent national speaker, Mr. Axelrod was the co-keynote speaker at the Ethisphere Global Ethics Summit 2013 in New York City. He has also testified on behalf of DOJ before the United States Sentencing Commission. He has received a number of awards over the course of his career, including the Assistant Attorney General's Award for Distinguished Service and the Director's Award for Superior Performance.

Mr. Axelrod received a J.D. from Yale Law School (1997), where he served as a Notes Editor of the Yale Law Journal, and a B.A. in English, cum laude, from Amherst College (1992).

Mr. Axelrod is admitted to practice in the District of Columbia and in Massachusetts.

George F. Farah

George F. Farah, a Partner, joined the Firm in 2005 and is a member of the Antitrust and Human Rights practice groups.

Since joining the firm, Mr. Farah has represented classes of direct purchasers who were allegedly injured by price-fixing conspiracies, including in *In re Hydrogen Peroxide Antitrust Litigation* (E.D. Pa.) and *In re OSB Antitrust Litigation* (E.D. Pa.), both of which obtained total settlements exceeding \$100 million. He has also represented victims of other tortious conduct, including the City of Milwaukee in a lawsuit against lead paint manufacturers for widespread childhood lead poisoning as well as survivors of Nazi-era slave labor against German companies that profited from that labor.

Mr. Farah is currently involved in several antitrust class action cases alleging concerted or unilateral anticompetitive conduct. In *In re Publication Paper Antitrust Litigation* (D. Ct.), he serves on the executive committee representing direct purchasers who allege that publication paper manufacturers conspired to reduce capacity and fix prices. In *Allen, et al. v. Dairy Farmers of America, et al.* (D. Vt.), he serves as co-lead counsel representing farmers who allege that cooperatives and processors in the Northeast conspired to monopolize the raw milk market and depress prices. In *Carlin, et al. v. DairyAmerica, et al.* (E.D. Ca.), he serves as co-lead counsel representing farmers who allege that a marketing company misrepresented data to the USDA and artificially depressed milk prices.

Mr. Farah is also currently litigating other cases on behalf of victims of alleged tortious conduct. In *In re Google Inc. Street View Electronic Communications Litigation* (N.D. Ca.), he serves as co-lead counsel representing a proposed class of nationwide computer users whose private data was intercepted and retained by Google's Street View vehicles. In *Greenpeace, Inc. v. Dow Chemical Company, et al.* (D.D.C.), he represents Greenpeace in a lawsuit against chemical and public relations companies that allegedly engaged in surveillance, trespass and other actions to secure information about Greenpeace's environmental activities. In political asylum proceedings before a United States Immigration Court, he represents a Nepali nurse who was tortured on the basis of her religion and social group.

Prior to joining the Firm, Mr. Farah focused on electoral reform and income inequality issues. He is the founder of Open Debates, a nonprofit organization working to reform the presidential debate process. Before attending law school, Mr. Farah worked to expose the harms of media concentration and the IMF's structural adjustment programs at The Center for the Study of Responsive Law.

Mr. Farah is the author of the book *No Debate: How the Republican and Democratic Parties Secretly Control the Presidential Debates* from Seven Stories Press. His articles addressing legal and electoral issues have been published in *The Washington Post*, *The Boston Globe*, *The Philadelphia Inquirer*, *The Denver Post*, *The Christian Science Monitor*, *Fort Lauderdale Sun-Sentinel*, *Extra! Magazine*, and other publications.

Mr. Farah has appeared on dozens of television programs, including "Nightline," "NOW with Bill Moyers," "20/20," "CBS Evening News," "NBC Nightly News," "CNN Lou Dobbs Tonight," "CNN's

Market Call,” “FOX and Friends,” and “Countdown with Keith Olbermann.” Mr. Farah has been interviewed on over 100 radio shows, including NPR’s “To the Point,” “Keep Hope Alive With Jesse Jackson,” “Democracy Now!,” “CounterSpin,” and “Judicial Watch Report.”

Mr. Farah has given several talks on the political process and electoral reform issues at colleges and universities, has hosted numerous televised press conferences, and was a Newsmaker at the National Press Club.

Mr. Farah is a graduate of Harvard Law School (J.D., 2005), and Princeton University (B.A., Woodrow Wilson School of Public and International Affairs, 2000). Mr. Farah was the recipient of a Paul and Daisy Soros Fellowship, and was a delegate to the 2005 International Achievement Summit.

Mr. Farah is admitted to practice in New York and the District of Columbia.

Kalpana Kotagal

Kalpana Kotagal, a Partner, joined the Cohen Milstein in 2006 and is a member of the Civil Rights & Employment practice group. She also chairs the Firm's Hiring and Diversity Committee.

Ms. Kotagal represents female employees alleging sexual discrimination against one of the nation's largest jewelry chains in *Jock, et al. v Sterling Jewelers Inc.* (AAA Case No.11 160 00655 08). The plaintiffs successfully sought review of the district court's decision reversing the arbitrator's clause construction award before the Second Circuit Court of Appeals, and prevailed against the defendant's motion to seek review of that decision by the United States Supreme Court. Ms. Kotagal is also currently involved in *Dukes v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.* (N.D. Cal.) and other cases challenging Wal-Mart's treatment of women employees alleging discrimination in pay and promotion.

Ms. Kotagal has represented a class of disabled veteran applicants alleging illegal pre-offer medical inquiries during the application process against the United States Postal Service, in *Hill, et. al v. Donohue, United States Postal Service*, which has been successfully resolved. Ms. Kotagal was also involved in *Aaron v. Pilgrim's Pride Corp.*, Civ. No. 06-1082 (W.D. Ark.), representing workers seeking redress for unpaid overtime, a case that was successfully resolved in 2009.

Ms. Kotagal is a member of the Center for Worklife Law's Working Group on Pregnancy Accommodation. She is a member of the National Employment Lawyers Association (NELA). She is also the co-author of "Innovation, Economics and the Law: The Health Care Industry's Exposure to Antitrust Liability," published by the ABA Antitrust Law Section in 2007.

Before attending law school, Ms. Kotagal worked in the environmental community as Assistant National Field Director of the United States Public Interest Research Group, running national legislative campaigns on renewable energy and environmental issues, and as an organizer with Green Corps. In 2006, she served as an advisor to a Congressional candidate. Ms. Kotagal served as an honorary chair of the National Finance Committee of Young Lawyers for Obama in 2008.

While in law school, Ms. Kotagal was a summer associate at Cohen Milstein and served as law clerk in the Chambers of the Honorable J. Curtis Joyner, Eastern District of Pennsylvania. She was also involved in litigation under the Alien Tort Claims Act and RICO on behalf of Haider Mushin Saleh against contractors CACI and Titan for human rights abuses in Abu Ghraib prison. She served on the Editorial Board of the University of Pennsylvania Law Review as an Articles Editor.

Following law school, Ms. Kotagal clerked for the Honorable Betty Binns Fletcher, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

Ms. Kotagal received her undergraduate degree with honors from Stanford University (A.B., economics, B.S., earth systems, 1999) and was a Morris K. Udall Scholar. She received her law degree cum laude from the University of Pennsylvania (2005), where she was a James Wilson Fellow.

Ms. Kotagal is admitted to practice in New York and the District of Columbia.

Attorney Profiles – Retired Partner

Herbert E. Milstein

Herbert E. Milstein began practicing law with Jerry S. Cohen in 1970 – the birth of the Firm. Mr. Milstein has been lead or principal counsel in many of the best known securities class actions litigated during the past 40 years. He retired from the Firm in 2012.

Mr. Milstein is the author of numerous articles on topics involving class action litigations and the Federal securities laws. He recently authored an article on current issues involving federal securities laws. He also wrote a separate article in the book entitled *The Burger Years*. He is the author of a monograph on the attorney-client privilege.

As an adjunct Professor of Law at Georgetown University Law Center from 1980-1987, he taught complex litigation and continues to lecture on securities litigation and class actions at law schools and seminars sponsored by the American Bar Association, state bar associations, and continuing legal education organizations. In 1985, he received a Silver Gavel award from the American Bar Association for his distinguished example of public service.

Mr. Milstein formerly served on the staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission for five and one-half years, and last held the position of Chief Enforcement Attorney, Division of Corporate Regulation. From 1976-1980, Mr. Milstein served as Equity Receiver for National American Life Insurance Company, appointed by Judge Charles R. Richey, in *SEC v. National Pacific Corp.* For that work, the Chairman of the SEC said Mr. Milstein and the Firm served “with distinction.”

Formerly the President of the National Association of Securities and Commercial Law Attorneys (NASCAT), he also served as Treasurer of that organization for six years. He is a member of the American Law Institute, and a member and former Chairman of the Executive Council of the Securities Law Committee of the Federal Bar Association.

Mr. Milstein is currently on the Board of Directors of several organizations, including The Studio Theatre of Washington, DC.

Mr. Milstein graduated from Harvard College (*cum laude*, 1958) and Columbia University School of Law (LL.B., 1961).

Mr. Milstein is admitted to practice in the District of Columbia and Massachusetts.

Attorney Profiles – Of Counsel & Associates

Laura Alexander

Laura Alexander joined Cohen Milstein in 2012 and is a member of the Antitrust Practice Group. Ms. Alexander has extensive experience in complex antitrust litigation, class actions, and appeals.

Ms. Alexander represents businesses and individuals in federal and state civil actions with a focus on multi-district class actions. She has worked on antitrust issues in many industries, including pharmaceuticals, telecommunications, cable television, wireless networking, welding, industrial fans, automotive parts, air transport, finance, enterprise software, and consumer credit, among others. Her practice encompasses a broad variety of antitrust claims, including monopoly, monopsony, and restraint of trade claims, as well as sham litigation and pay-for-delay claims related to patents and other intellectual property.

Prior to joining Cohen Milstein, Ms. Alexander practiced at Kirkland & Ellis LLP in its Washington, D.C. office, where she focused on antitrust litigation.

Ms. Alexander also has significant experience in other complex civil and criminal litigation. She has successfully represented several clients before the United States Supreme Court, including the House of Representatives of the State of Arizona in a federal action related to Arizona's administration of ESL education and securing the reversal of a conviction of a criminal defendant for violation of his rights under the Speedy Trial Act. Ms. Alexander was part of the team litigating what was, at the time, the largest bankruptcy ever filed, at both the trial and appellate levels. She has also successfully represented clients in employment discrimination lawsuits, under federal and state law, and in federal disability lawsuits. Ms. Alexander has argued before federal appellate courts and agencies.

Ms. Alexander obtained a B.A. in Mathematics from Reed College in 2002. She graduated *magna cum laude* from Georgetown University Law Center in 2007. After law school, Ms. Alexander served as a law clerk to the Honorable M. Margaret McKeown on the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

Ms. Alexander is admitted to practice in the District of Columbia and California, as well as the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. She is a member of the ABA Section on Antitrust Law.

Brook Andrews

Brook Andrews, an Associate at the Firm, joined Cohen Milstein in 2013 and is a member of the Public Client practice group. Mr. Andrews represents state Attorneys General and other public-sector clients as outside counsel in investigations and lawsuits involving fraudulent and deceptive trade practices.

Prior to his employment with Cohen Milstein, Mr. Andrews served as an Honors Trial Attorney in the Environment and Natural Resources Division at the United States Department of Justice, where he managed as lead counsel a nationwide trial practice defending challenges to natural resource management decisions and constitutional claims for regulatory and physical takings. He received the Department of Justice Outstanding Performance Award in 2011 and 2012.

Before joining the Department of Justice, Mr. Andrews served as a judicial law clerk to Chief Justice Jean H. Toal of the South Carolina Supreme Court and Judge Margaret B. Seymour of the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina. While at the Supreme Court, he also served as a Staff Attorney on the South Carolina Sentencing Reform Commission.

Mr. Andrews is a 2007 graduate of the University of South Carolina School of Law, where he served as an Editor of the South Carolina Law Review, member of the Moot Court Bar National Team, Chair of the Honor Council, and President of the Public Interest Law Society. During law school, he was selected to argue a moot court case before visiting Chief Justice John Roberts of the United States Supreme Court. Upon graduation, Mr. Andrews was honored with the Compleat Lawyer Award. In addition to his law degree, Mr. Andrews holds an M.A. from the George Washington University and a B.A. from Davidson College. He is an elected member of the South Carolina Bar House of Delegates.

Mr. Andrews is admitted to practice in South Carolina and is currently practicing under the supervision of Linda Singer, a member of the District of Columbia Bar.

Elizabeth Aniskevich

Elizabeth Aniskevich, an Associate at the Firm, joined Cohen Milstein in 2012 and is a member of the Securities Fraud/Investor Protection practice group.

Prior to joining the firm, Ms. Aniskevich served as the Pro Se Clerk at the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia in Alexandria, where she managed the pro se prisoner dockets of the seven district court judges. She also previously worked at Cohen Milstein as a law clerk from May 2010 to April 2011.

Ms. Aniskevich graduated from the University of Florida in 2008 with a Bachelor of Arts and double major in Sociology and Criminology, where she was the Valedictorian for the College of Liberal Arts and Sciences. Ms. Aniskevich received her J.D., *magna cum laude*, *Order of the Coif*, from American University's Washington College of Law in 2011. During law school, Ms. Aniskevich was a Senior Staff Member of the *American University Law Review* and served as a Research Assistant to her criminal law professor, Professor Ira P. Robbins. She was also the recipient of the Clair A. Cripe award for outstanding performance in correctional law.

Ms. Aniskevich is admitted to practice in Virginia.

Luke Bierman

Luke Bierman joined Cohen Milstein in 2011 as Of Counsel in the Securities Fraud/Investor Protection Practice Group, where he counsels pension funds on fiduciary, ethics, governance and compliance issues. Mr. Bierman's role is to assist public pension funds at critical and challenging times for those funds, and to provide collaborative and creative solutions.

Mr. Bierman is also the Dean and Professor of Law at Elon University School of Law in Greensboro, North Carolina. As the leader of a law school recognized as one of the most innovative, Dean Bierman envisions a law school that blends the most important traditional elements of legal education with the demands of modern society and serves as a hub where the public, private and academic communities come together.

Previously, Dean Bierman was the Associate Dean for Experiential Education and Distinguished Professor of Practice of Law at Northeastern University School of Law in Boston where he was responsible for Northeastern's distinctive Cooperative Legal Education Program. Dean Bierman also convened the Alliance for Experiential Learning in Law, a group of legal educators from over 100 law schools that is committed to innovative approaches in law school curriculums.

Previously, Mr. Bierman served for almost four years as General Counsel for the Office of the New York State Comptroller, the sole trustee of the state's \$140 billion pension fund and the state's chief fiscal officer for the state of New York's \$130 billion budget. In this role, Mr. Bierman managed a legal staff that included 55 attorneys, and was responsible for legal advice and counsel on all matters relating to the comptroller's constitutional and statutory responsibilities, including fiduciary, governance, ethics, litigation, investment, pension benefits, state and municipal finance and legislative matters. He also managed the 35 outside law firms that represented the Comptroller in litigation and transactional matters.

Earlier in his career, Mr. Bierman served as a Fellow in Government Law and Policy at Albany Law School. He also has served as Director of the Institute for Emerging Issues at North Carolina State University, where he held the rank of Associate Professor of Political Science; as Founding Director of the Justice Center and Special Assistant to the President of the American Bar Association; as Visiting Specialist in Constitutional Law with the rank of Associate Professor at The Richard Stockton College of New Jersey; and as law clerk to Justices and as Chief Attorney of the New York Supreme Court, Appellate Division, Third Department. Mr. Bierman also has taught at Albany Law School, Northwestern University School of Law, the University at Albany and Trinity College in Hartford.

Mr. Bierman is a frequent lecturer and commentator about corporate governance reform, fiduciary responsibility and ethics, and justice reform. He was a member of the board of directors of the Council of Institutional Investors, where he co-chaired the policies committee. He is an elected member of the American Law Institute. Mr. Bierman's most recent speaking engagements include:

- Moderator, "Corporate Governance Roundtable", Active-Passive Investor Summit, New York City, April 2012
- Panelist, "Corporate Governance, Due Diligence and Securities Litigation", Public Funds Summit, San Diego, CA, March 2012
- Panelist, "Legal Developments," Public Funds Summit, Scottsdale, AZ, January 2012
- Panelist, "Dodd-Frank: Panacea or Poison?", American Bar Association Annual Meeting, August 2011
- Panelist, "What *Morrison* Means," National Summit on the Future of Fiduciary Responsibility, June 2011
- Panelist, "Fiduciary Update-Scandals", Stanford Law School Fiduciary College, March 2011
- Panelist, "The Rights and Responsibilities of Institutional Investors", Institutional Investor Conference, March 2011
- Moderator, "Fiduciary Duty", Council of Institutional Investors Annual Meeting, September 2010
- Speaker, "SEC Pay to Play Reforms," American Bar Association Business Law Section Fall Meeting, November, 2010
- Panelist, "Access to Justice: *Morrison v. National Australia Bank*," American Constitution Society, October 2010

- Keynote, “Politics and the Market: How Policy Affects Investment Decisions,” De-Risking Strategies for Pension Funds, Foundations and Endowments, International Quality and Productivity Center, October 2010
- Panelist, “Challenges Facing Public Pension Funds,” Governance for Owners Conference, October 2010
- Speaker, “Public Pension Reform in a Time of Turmoil,” Emerging Issues Forum, Massachusetts Public Employee Retirement Administration Commission, September 2010
- Moderator, “Fiduciary Duty,” Council of Institutional Investors Annual Meeting, September 2010
- Speaker, “*Morrison v. National Australia Bank*: Implications for Investors,” Council of Institutional Investors teleconference, August 2010
- Panelist, “Regulation of Placement Agents for Public Pension Funds,” National Association of Pension Plan Attorneys, June 2010
- Panelist, “The SEC’s Investor Protection Mission,” DC Bar Association, April 2010
- Speaker, “Dealing With Placement Agents,” Stanford Law School Fiduciary College, March 2010
- Panelist, “Credit Rating Agencies’ Liability,” DC Bar Association, February 2010
- Speaker, “Evolving Fiduciary Obligations of Pension Plans,” Institutional Investor Conference, February 2010
- Speaker, “Updates on Pension Fund Reform in New York State – Views from the General Counsel,” National Association of Pension Plan Attorneys, June 2009
- Panelist, “Governance Changes as Part of Class Action Settlements,” Institutional Investor Educational Foundation’s Global Shareholder Activism Conference, December, 2008
- Moderator, Panels on Pension Plan Fiduciary Responsibility, Governance, and Ethics, U.S. Pensions Summit, October 2008
- Keynote Speaker, Jefferson B. Fordham Awards Luncheon, Annual Meeting of ABA Section of State and Local Government Law, August 2008
- Panelist, “Governance and Pension Plans,” U.S. Pensions Summit, April 2008
- Panelist, “Fiduciary and Accounting Responsibilities in Non Profit Management,” New York State Bar Association, November 2007
- Panelist, “Governance - Best Practices for Fiduciary,” U.S. Pensions Summit, September 2007

Mr. Bierman earned his Ph.D. and M.A. in Political Science from the University at Albany; his J.D. from the Marshall Wythe School of Law of the College of William and Mary, where he was a member of the Law Review; and his B.A. in American Political History *magna cum laude* with High Honors from Colgate University, where he was elected to Phi Beta Kappa.

Mary J. Bortscheller

Mary Bortscheller, an Associate at the Firm, joined Cohen Milstein in 2013 and is a member of the Consumer Protection and Unsafe Products practice group.

Prior to joining Cohen Milstein, Ms. Bortscheller practiced at Williams Montgomery & John in Chicago, IL. Ms. Bortscheller also was a volunteer for the Chicago Legal Clinic, Inc.'s Foreclosure Defense Project. Before attending law school, Ms. Bortscheller served in the United States Peace Corps as a TEFL Volunteer in Sichuan Province, China.

Ms. Bortscheller graduated from Gustavus Adolphus College in 2004 with a B.A., *cum laude*, in Political Science and received her J.D., *cum laude*, from American University, Washington College of Law in 2010. During law school, she served as Features Editor and Senior Editor of *Sustainable Development Law & Policy* and was a staff member of the *American University International Law Review*. Ms. Bortscheller interned with the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota.

Ms. Bortscheller is admitted to practice in Illinois and her application to the DC Bar is pending.

S. Douglas Bunch

S. Douglas Bunch, an Associate at the Firm, joined Cohen Milstein in 2006 and is a member of the Securities Fraud/Investor Protection practice group.

Mr. Bunch is currently litigating multiple securities class actions, including cases on behalf of investors in funds which served as so-called “feeder funds” for Bernard L. Madoff’s Ponzi scheme; class actions on behalf of investors in residential mortgage-backed securities, including *Maine State Ret. Sys. v. Countrywide Fin. Corp.*, No. 2:10-CV-00302 MRP (C.D. Cal.); *In re Lehman Bros. Mortgage-Backed Sec. Litig.*, No. 08 Civ. 6762 (LAK) (S.D.N.Y.); *New Jersey Carpenters Health Fund v. Residential Capital, LLC*, No. 08 Civ. 8781 (HB) (S.D.N.Y.); *New Jersey Carpenters Vacation Fund v. Harborview Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-4*, No. 08 Civ. 5093 (HB) (S.D.N.Y.); and *In re Bear Stearns Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates Litig.*, No. 08 Civ. 8093 (LTS) (S.D.N.Y.); and *In re Oppenheimer Rochester Funds Group Sec. Litig.*, No. 09-md-02063-JLK (D. Colo.), a class action on behalf of investors in various Oppenheimer mutual funds which alleges defendants’ failure to disclose the risks of investing in those funds. Mr. Bunch was also instrumental in achieving the successful appeal and recent settlement, for \$90 million, of *Rubin v. MF Global Ltd.*, No. 08 Civ. 2233 (VM) (S.D.N.Y.).

Mr. Bunch is a graduate of the William & Mary School of Law (2006), where he was a recipient of the Benjamin Rush Medal. A member of Phi Beta Kappa, he graduated *summa cum laude* from the College of William & Mary in 2002 with a Bachelor’s degree in Government and Classical Studies. Mr. Bunch is also a 2003 graduate of Harvard University’s Graduate School of Education, from which he holds a Master’s degree in Administration, Planning, and Social Policy. At Harvard, he served as an intern in the Boston office of the U.S. Department of Education’s Office for Civil Rights, where he worked closely with attorneys to enforce federal laws that protect students from discrimination on the basis of race, gender, age, and disability.

Mr. Bunch is actively involved in several nonprofit endeavors. He is the Founder and Chairman of nonprofit Global Playground, which helps educate countless children worldwide; a member of the Board of Directors of Ascanius: The Youth Classics Institute, which promotes the study of Latin and the Classics in the elementary school; and a former member of the Board of Directors of the Northeast Conference on the Teaching of Foreign Languages, which promotes the study of world languages more broadly. Recently he received an award for service: in 2011, Mr. Bunch was the inaugural recipient of William & Mary School of Law’s W. Taylor Reveley Award.

Mr. Bunch is admitted to practice in New York, the District of Columbia, the U.S. Supreme Court, the Courts of Appeals for the Second, Ninth, and Tenth Circuits, and the U.S. District Courts for the District of Columbia, District of Colorado, and Southern and Eastern Districts of New York.

Monya M. Bunch

Monya M. Bunch joined Cohen Milstein as an Associate in 2009 and is a member of the Employee Benefits practice group.

Prior to joining the firm, Ms. Bunch was an associate in the Litigation Department of Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP, where she focused on litigation in federal court, and federal criminal and regulatory investigations. While there, Ms. Bunch successfully represented the relator in a rare and complex False Claims Act trial in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, helping the United States to win a jury verdict of just over \$103 million in damages against several defendants who had participated in a bid-rigging conspiracy. Ms. Bunch then clerked for the Honorable Damon J. Keith of the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit.

During law school, Ms. Bunch interned with the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York. Her work focused on white-collar matters within the Securities and Commodities Fraud and General Crimes units. Ms. Bunch also held a summer position with a large international law firm in New York City, where she gained experience in trade practices, intellectual property and antitrust matters.

Prior to earning her law degree, Ms. Bunch dedicated her career to community activism and development as a local planner for the organization Agenda for Children Tomorrow (A.C.T.), in New York City. While working for A.C.T., she supported a neighborhood-planning coalition by linking community projects related to child welfare, family planning and youth services.

Ms. Bunch received her undergraduate degree from Hampton University (B.S., 1991) and her graduate degree from the University of Hartford (M.P.A., 1994). She received her law degree from Howard University School of Law (J.D., 2004), where she served as editor-in-chief of the *Howard Law Journal* and authored a Comment, *Juvenile Transfer Proceedings: A Place for Restorative Justice Values*, 47 *How. L.J.* 909 (2004), for which she received the 2005 Burton Award for Excellence in Legal Writing.

Ms. Bunch is admitted to practice in New York and the District of Columbia.

Shaylyn Cochran

Shaylyn Cochran, an Associate at the Firm, joined Cohen Milstein in 2012 and is a member of the Civil Rights & Employment practice group.

Prior to joining the firm, Ms. Cochran was a Civil Rights Fellow at Relman, Dane & Colfax, where she assisted in federal fair housing and employment discrimination litigation. Ms. Cochran previously served as a Litigation Intern at the NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund and a Litigation Intern in the Disability Rights Section of the United States Department of Justice Civil Rights Division.

Ms. Cochran graduated from Ohio University in 2007 with a B.S. in Journalism and a B.A. in Political Science (*summa cum laude*, Phi Beta Kappa). She received her J.D. from Harvard Law School in 2011. During law school, Ms. Cochran was a Line Editor and member of the Article Selection Committee for the *Journal on Legislation*, and the President of the Harvard Black Law Students Association. She was also a Student Attorney and Communications Director for the Harvard Legal

Aid Bureau. Ms. Cochran is a 2008 NAACP Legal Defense Fund/Shearman & Sterling Scholar and she is the recipient of the 2011 Dean's Award for Community Leadership.

Ms. Cochran is admitted to practice in New York State and the District of Columbia.

Jeffrey Dubner

Jeffrey B. Dubner joined Cohen Milstein as an Associate in 2011 and is a member of the Antitrust practice group.

Mr. Dubner represents individuals, businesses, and unions in federal civil actions, with a focus on multi-district class actions and antitrust litigation. He has represented both plaintiffs and defendants in antitrust litigation, dealing with the application of the Sherman Act in industries from health care and financial services to professional sports and publishing. He has also represented plaintiffs with claims under the Stored Communications Act, the Commodities Exchange Act, and other state and federal statutes.

Mr. Dubner's recent matters have included:

- *In re Electronic Books Antitrust Litigation* (S.D.N.Y.), in which he represents a class of direct purchasers of ebooks alleging that Apple Inc. and five of the "Big Six" publishing companies conspired to raise the retail price of ebooks, in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act. Along with a group of State Attorneys General, Plaintiffs have settled with the five Publisher Defendants for more than \$166 million, and are scheduled for trial against the remaining defendant, Apple, in May 2014.
- *Garber v. Major League Baseball* and *Laumann v. National Hockey League* (S.D.N.Y.), in which he represents purchasers of out-of-market baseball and hockey packages against the MLB, NHL, Comcast, DirecTV, and affiliated companies. Plaintiffs allege that the system of geographical broadcasting territories employed by each league amounts to unlawful market allocation under Section 1 of the Sherman Act. Defendants' motions to dismiss were denied and the case is currently in discovery.
- *In re Domestic Drywall Antitrust Litigation* (E.D. Pa.), in which he represents a class of direct purchasers of gypsum wallboard alleging that seven manufacturers of wallboard conspired to fix and raise the price of wallboard and eliminate the long-standing practice of limiting price increases for the duration of a construction project by offering "job quotes," in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act.
- *Prime Healthcare Services, Inc. v. Service Employees International Union* (S.D. Cal.), in which he represents the Service Employees International Union ("SEIU") in defending against a claim that it conspired with a local union and Kaiser Permanente entities to eliminate competing hospitals from Southern California in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act. Mr. Dubner was the lead author of SEIU's motions to dismiss, which led the court to dismiss the complaint twice for failure to state a claim.
- *Landwehr v. AOL Inc.* (E.D. Va.), in which he represented a class of AOL users alleging that AOL violated the Electronic Communications Privacy Act and Virginia Consumer Protection

Act by publicly releasing AOL users' search histories in 2006. The case settled favorably for \$5 million and injunctive relief.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Dubner was a law clerk for the Honorable Guido Calabresi of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit and the Honorable John G. Koeltl of the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York.

Mr. Dubner graduated from Harvard University with a B.A. in Psychology (2003, *cum laude*) and Harvard Law School (J.D., *magna cum laude*, 2009). During law school, Mr. Dubner served as a Notes Editor for the *Harvard Law Review*.

Mr. Dubner is admitted to practice in New York, the District of Columbia, and the Southern District of New York.

Suzanne Dugan

Suzanne M. Dugan leads the firm's Ethics and Fiduciary Counseling practice, which provides guidance to pension funds and other public, private and nonprofit entities on ethics, fiduciary, governance and compliance issues. With more than 20 years of legal experience, including service as ethics counsel for the third largest public pension fund in the country and as general counsel for a state ethics commission, Ms. Dugan offers the broad perspective of a regulator and the understanding of an in house counsel.

With this unique vantage, she employs a collaborative approach to advising on ethical duties, fiduciary responsibility, strategic governance, compliance and related organizational mandates. Ms. Dugan's experience and knowledge also allow her to assist in conducting internal investigations and structuring recommendations for improved policies and procedures. She also draws on the expertise of Cohen Milstein's other practice groups, such as ERISA, Qui Tam, Antitrust and Consumer Protection, to counsel clients on the full range of matters implicated when considering ethics and fiduciary issues.

At Cohen Milstein, Ms. Dugan provides ethics counsel to one of the largest public pension funds, and serves as compliance counsel to another major fund. She has also conducted ethics and fiduciary training for the boards of directors of some of the country's largest public pension funds. When counseling and training pension funds, she draws upon the experience gained from having served as in-house ethics counsel during an unprecedented time of challenge for pension funds.

Ms. Dugan has also provided expert legal and consulting services on ethics issues to counsel retained to conduct an investigation, reviewing a draft report and providing input on proposed recommendations for remedial action. As outside experts, CMST's Ethics and Fiduciary Counseling practice provides an additional layer of oversight and accountability to the primary investigation, utilizing the unique expertise of Ms. Dugan and her colleagues to provide independent observations and expert opinion.

In addition, Ms. Dugan also serves as the independent ethics officer for a large county, where she is responsible for evaluating and investigating complaints of unethical conduct, and providing objective and independent analysis to county leadership regarding the interpretation and application of the county's code of ethics. She works to help ensure that county officers and employees refrain from conduct that may even be perceived as unethical and adopt a culture of public servant leadership that earns and preserves trust and support from citizens.

Ms. Dugan previously served as Special Counsel for Ethics for the Office of the New York State Comptroller, where she counseled the state's chief fiscal officer and sole trustee of the third largest public pension fund in the country on ethics and fiduciary issues. She provided fiduciary and ethics training to the State Comptroller, as well as senior management and the advisory committees appointed to assist the Comptroller in managing the pension fund. Ms. Dugan implemented a vigorous and dynamic ethics program for the agency's 2,500 employees, initiating and managing a program that provided specific ethics training to each division of the Agency, focusing on the particular challenges faced in the course of doing business. Ms. Dugan and her staff provided individual advice and counsel on all aspects of ethics and fiduciary issues. These programmatic initiatives were designed to promote a culture of ethics and public integrity with continual improvement at all levels of the agency.

Ms. Dugan also served as the Acting Executive Director and General Counsel to the New York State Ethics Commission. During her tenure at the Commission, she oversaw the agency and its programs, including the issuance of formal and informal advisory opinions as well as investigative reports and notices of civil assessment in enforcement proceedings. She implemented the Commission's financial disclosure process and its regulations governing honoraria and travel reimbursement, and assisted the Office of the Attorney General in preparing the Commission's defense in litigation.

A frequent lecturer at conferences and forums addressing ethics and fiduciary issues in the public and nonprofit sectors, including pension funds, Ms. Dugan's upcoming and most recent speaking engagements include:

- Panelist, "Legal Ethics for Public Pension Attorneys", 2013 Legal Education Conference, National Association of Public Pension Attorneys, Santa Fe, NM, June 2013.
- Panelist, "Fiduciary and Ethics Issues for Public Pension Plans", Public Funds Summit, Huntington Beach, CA, March 2013
- Moderator, "Creation, Composition and Operation of Audit Committees", Fiduciary & Plan Governance Section, National Association of Public Pension Attorneys,, Washington, DC, February 2013
- Panelist, "Legal Issues Facing Public Pension Funds", Public Funds Summit, Scottsdale, AZ, January 2013
- Panelist, "Keeping Public Safety Pensions Safe: Ethics & Fiduciary Issues", National Conference on Public Employee Retirement Systems, New Orleans, Louisiana, October 2012
- Panelist, "Trustee Education: Fiduciary Responsibility", Public Funds Summit East, Newport, RI, July 2012.
- Panelist, "Trustee Education: Fiduciary Responsibility", Public Funds Summit, Scottsdale, AZ, January 2012.
- Panelist, "Are Pension Benefits Really Vested? Latest Developments in Fiduciary Duty", SACRS Fall Conference, Costa Mesa, CA, November 2011.

Ms. Dugan previously was appointed to the adjunct faculty at Albany Law School, where she taught a class in government ethics.

Ms. Dugan graduated *magna cum laude* from Siena College, and earned a Juris Doctor *cum laude* from Albany Law School. Ms. Dugan began her career as a judicial clerk with the Appellate Division, Third Department, of the New York State Supreme Court. She also served as an administrator at Albany Law School, as well as the pro bono Legal Director of an Albany, New York, area not-for-profit. She currently is a member of the Board of Directors of her local Planned Parenthood affiliate. She is an elected member of the American Law Institute.

Genevieve Odile Fontan

Genevieve Fontan, an Associate at the Firm, joined Cohen Milstein in 2012 and is a member of the Securities Fraud/Investor Protection practice group.

Prior to joining the firm, Ms. Fontan was a litigation fellow for two years at the American Civil Liberties Union of Northern California, where she worked on First Amendment litigation and educational equity matters.

Ms. Fontan received her undergraduate degree from the University of California, Berkeley in Interdisciplinary Field Studies and French Literature, with highest honors and high honors, respectively (B.A., 2003). She also completed a two-year master's program at the Université Paris-Sorbonne where she received a degree in geography, *Mention Culture, Politique, Patrimoine*, after defending her thesis on socio-geographic trends in legalized household winemaking during Prohibition years in California (M. 2, 2010). Ms. Fontan received her law degree from Stanford Law School (J.D., 2010). While there, she provided supervised representation through the Stanford Community Law Clinic in the successful defense against an eviction lawsuit. She received an Equal Justice America fellowship for public interest work involving the Violence Against Women Act and also Stanford Law School's pro bono distinction for externships at Housing and Economic Rights Advocates, Public Advocates, and the ACLU of Northern California.

Ms. Fontan is admitted to practice in New York State.

Hiba Hafiz

Hiba Hafiz joined Cohen Milstein as an Associate in 2013 and is a member of the Antitrust Practice Group.

Ms. Hafiz represents individuals, businesses and unions in federal civil actions with a focus on multi-district class actions and antitrust litigation. Since joining the firm, she has represented plaintiffs in antitrust litigation in a number of industries from health care and pharmaceuticals to the publishing industry. She has also represented plaintiffs with claims under the Takings Clause of the U.S. Constitution.

Prior to joining the Firm, Ms. Hafiz was a David W. Leebron Human Rights Fellow at International Rights Advocates, where she worked to develop Alien Tort Statute and trafficking claims on behalf of undocumented farmworkers trafficked into the United States to work on farms across the country. She also served as a law clerk for the Honorable José L. Linares of the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey and for the Honorable Juan R. Torruella of the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit.

Ms. Hafiz received her J.D. from Columbia Law School in June 2010 (Harlan Fiske Stone Scholar, Parker School Recognition in International Law). During law school, she served as a Notes and Submissions Editor for the Columbia Human Rights Law Review, a Teaching Assistant in Columbia's Human Rights Clinic, and as a Research Coordinator for Rightslink, an organization assisting human rights attorneys abroad in researching human rights-based claims. She was awarded Best Petitioner Brief in the 2008 Northeast Regional Frederick Douglass Moot Court Competition. Ms. Hafiz has also served as a volunteer attorney for the American Civil Liberties Union – Puerto Rico Chapter and has interned at the Prosecutor's Office at the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia, the Center for Constitutional Rights, Centro de los Derechos del Migrante and the Open Society Justice Initiative.

Ms. Hafiz graduated from Wellesley College in 1999 with a B.A. in Philosophy (*magna cum laude*). She received a Ph.D. in Comparative Literature at Yale University in June 2007. As a graduate student, she worked as a union organizer and coordinator for the Graduate Employees and Students Organization (GESO) in local and national campaigns to organize academic workers in private universities. With GESO's parent union, UNITE-HERE, she also worked on comprehensive campaigns with a network of local, national and international unions as well as grassroots organizations for better living and working conditions in the service sector.

Ms. Hafiz is admitted to practice in New York and is currently practicing under the supervision of Daniel A. Small, a member of the D.C. Bar.

Johanna M. Hickman

Johanna M. Hickman, a Litigation Fellow at the Firm, joined Cohen Milstein in 2013 and is a member of the Public Client practice group. In this position, Ms. Hickman represents state Attorneys General and other public-sector clients in investigations and lawsuits involving healthcare fraud, consumer fraud in the mortgage industry, and other fraudulent and deceptive trade practices.

Ms. Hickman serves on the adjunct faculty of the Georgetown University Law Center, where she teaches a course in advanced legal writing and practice.

Before joining Cohen Milstein, Ms. Hickman was an Associate at Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP, where she advised clients regarding environmental and toxic tort liability, negotiated the environmental aspects of corporate transactions, and represented clients in complex insurance coverage litigation. Prior to that, Ms. Hickman clerked for two years for the Honorable James I. Cohn of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida.

Ms. Hickman graduated with Highest Honors from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill in 2003, with a Bachelor of Arts in Journalism and Mass Communication. Ms. Hickman received her J.D., *cum laude*, from the Georgetown University Law Center in 2006. During law school, she served as a Staff Member and Symposium Editor of the Georgetown Journal of Legal Ethics and was a finalist in Georgetown's 35th Annual Leahy Moot Court Competition.

Ms. Hickman is admitted to practice in the District of Columbia and Florida, and in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia.

Anita F. Hill

Anita F. Hill joined Cohen Milstein in 2011 as Of Counsel in the Civil Rights and Employment Practice Group.

Ms. Hill brings three decades of legal and academic experience to the Civil Rights practice. She began her career as an associate with the Washington, D.C. law firm Wald, Harkrader & Ross. Ms. Hill then served as special counsel to the assistant secretary of the Department of Education's Office for Civil Rights and later as advisor to the chair of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC). She began her teaching career as an assistant professor at Oral Roberts University and later joined the faculty at the University of Oklahoma College of Law. She has also visited at the University of California, Berkeley. Ms. Hill is currently a professor of social policy, law and women's studies at The Heller School for Public Policy and Management at Brandeis University.

Ms. Hill is the author of numerous articles on international commercial law, bankruptcy, and civil rights -- all areas in which she has taught. She has given numerous presentations on commercial law as well as race and gender equality. In addition, she has appeared on several television programs, such as *Face the Nation* and *Meet the Press*, and her commentary has been published by *Newsweek*, the *New York Times*, and the *Boston Globe*. Ms. Hill is the author of *Speaking Truth to Power* and served as the co-editor of *Race, Gender, and Power in America: The Legacy of the Hill-Thomas Hearings*. She is also the author of *Reimagining Equality: Stories of Gender, Race and Finding Home*, which will be released in October 2011.

Ms. Hill is a graduate of Oklahoma State University (B.A., 1977) and of the Yale University Law School (J.D., 1980).

Nicholas Johnson

Nicholas Johnson, an Associate, joined Cohen Milstein in May 2014. Mr. Johnson's work focuses on catastrophic injury litigation.

Prior to joining Cohen Milstein, Mr. Johnson worked for two South Florida defense firms, gaining valuable experience representing Fortune 500 insurance companies in the defense of claims and lawsuits.

Upon his admission to the Florida Bar in 2007, Mr. Johnson worked as an Assistant Public Defender in Palm Beach County, where he represented indigent clients charged with misdemeanors and felonies, ranging from DUI to crimes punishable by life in prison. He was awarded the Best Advocate Award at the Florida Public Defender College in November, 2008. Mr. Johnson tried approximately 30 jury trials to verdict as an Assistant Public Defender.

Mr. Johnson was born and raised in Kingston, Jamaica. He represented Jamaica at several international swimming competitions, and went on to attend prep school at Choate Rosemary Hall in Wallingford, CT. Mr. Johnson graduated from Boston University with a B.A. in Economics, and completed his Master's in Sports Management at the University of Florida. He graduated cum laude from St. Thomas University School of Law in 2007.

Joshua Kolsky

Joshua Kolsky joined Cohen Milstein in 2009 as an Associate and is a member of the Securities Fraud/Investor Protection practice group.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Kolsky served as a law clerk to the Honorable Barry G. Silverman of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. He previously practiced at Gibson, Dunn, & Crutcher in Los Angeles and, immediately following law school, Mr. Kolsky served as a special assignment law clerk to the Honorable David O. Carter and the Honorable George H. King of the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California.

Mr. Kolsky graduated from the University of Virginia with a B.S. in Engineering Science (2001) and from Columbia Law School (J.D., 2006), where he was a Harlan Fiske Stone Scholar. While at Columbia, Mr. Kolsky served as the production editor of the Columbia Human Rights Law Review. He also interned at the American Civil Liberties Union's National Legal Department and Public Citizen's Global Trade Watch, and participated in the Morningside Heights Environmental Law Clinic.

Mr. Kolsky is admitted to practice in Maryland and is practicing under the supervision of Steven Toll, a member of the D.C. Bar.

Adam Langino

Adam J. Langino, an Associate, joined Cohen Milstein in January 2014. Mr. Langino is a 2006 graduate of the University of Minnesota School Of Law (*cum laude*) where he received an award for Best Oral Argument and participated in the Wagner Labor Law Moot Court program. He received his Bachelor's degree in Government and Politics and graduated from the University of Maryland in College Park, MD (with honors, *magna cum laude*). As a student at the University of Maryland he was selected to study at Exeter College at Oxford University, where he participated in an Honors Seminar in British Law and Society.

Prior to joining Cohen Milstein, Mr. Langino was an Associate at Leopold Law and also served for three years as an Assistant Public Defender in West Palm Beach, FL. As an Assistant Public Defender, Mr. Langino handled complex felony criminal cases, including first degree felonies and crimes punishable by life in prison. He gained valuable trial experience and secured freedom for the wrongly accused. Before his service as an Assistant Public Defender, Mr. Langino clerked for the Federal Public Defender located in Minneapolis, MN.

Mr. Langino is a member of the Florida Bar, the Minnesota Bar and the Federal Bar for the Southern District of Florida. He is also an active member in the Palm Beach County Bar Association, and Florida Justice Association. He was recently appointed to the Board of the Florida Justice Association's Young Lawyer Section. In 2010, he was named a Rising Star by the Florida Super Lawyers publication- a distinction bestowed on no more than 2.5% of Floridian attorneys.

Mr. Langino is also an active volunteer in his community. He currently volunteers as a boxing coach for local youths for the Police Athletic League located in Palm Beach Gardens. He also is an active member in Club 100 Charities. Club 100 is a local non-profit faith based organization dedicated to making the local community a better place by focusing on the needs of the community's youth and elderly.

Emmy Levens

Emmy Levens joined Cohen Milstein as an Associate in 2009. She is a member of the Antitrust practice group.

Prior to joining the firm, Ms. Levens was a staff law clerk at the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit, where she handled a variety of cases including employment discrimination, bankruptcy, immigration, criminal appeals, civil rights, and habeas corpus.

Ms. Levens graduated from the University of Kansas with a B.A. in Political Science (2004, with honors) and UCLA Law School (J.D., *order of the coif*, 2007). During law school, Ms. Levens served as the Managing Editor for the UCLA Journal of Environmental Law and Policy, the Director of the Downtown Legal Housing Clinic, and the president of Moot Court. She also worked as a summer associate for Morrison & Foerster, LLP in San Francisco.

Ms. Levens' admission to the Illinois Bar is pending and she is practicing under the supervision of Daniel S. Small, a member of the D.C. Bar.

Mimi Liu

Mimi Liu joined Cohen Milstein as Of Counsel in April 2012. She is a member of the Public Client practice group. Ms. Liu was formerly a senior lawyer at Planned Parenthood Federation of America, where for almost eight years she represented clients in a variety of high-profile constitutional civil rights matters. She successfully briefed and argued cases before numerous federal district and appellate courts and state appellate courts. Ms. Liu brings her robust experience as a civil rights litigator to this practice, where she represents state Attorneys General in investigations, litigation, and enforcement actions aimed at protecting consumers and public resources. Currently, Ms. Liu represents state Attorneys General in actions involving Medicaid fraud, and unfair and deceptive practices in debt collection.

Prior to joining Planned Parenthood, Ms. Liu was a litigator at Wilmer, Cutler & Pickering (now WilmerHale), where she represented clients in civil rights, intellectual property, bankruptcy, and federal securities litigations. In addition, during her time at Wilmer, Cutler, Ms. Liu was part of the trial team that successfully challenged a federal law restricting access to reproductive health services in the Southern District of New York and served as appellate counsel for a brutalized Congolese woman, whose asylum she ultimately secured.

Ms. Liu graduated from Harvard Law School (J.D., *cum laude*, 1999), where she served as Executive Editor of the *Human Rights Journal* and co-authored the treatise *Gender Asylum Law*, which examines decisions and guidelines for filing asylum applications in a variety of international jurisdictions. She received her Bachelor of Commerce from the University of Alberta (1996). Following law school, Ms. Liu, a Canadian, clerked for the Court of Appeals of Alberta and for the Honorable Justice Claire L'Heureux-Dubé of the Supreme Court of Canada.

Ms. Liu is admitted to practice in the District of Columbia and New York, the United States Courts of Appeals for the Sixth, Eighth, and Ninth Circuits, and the United States District Court for the District of Columbia.

Diana L. Martin

Diana L. Martin, an Associate, joined Cohen Milstein in January 2014. Ms. Martin handles appeals for the firm in a wide variety of practice areas, including tort liability, product liability, managed care liability, and consumer class actions. She also provides litigation support to the firm's attorneys by drafting and arguing complex and case dispositive motions and providing legal assistance at trial by handling motions for directed verdicts, complex evidentiary issues, and charge conferences.

Before joining the firm, Ms. Martin served for three years as Staff Attorney to the Honorable Martha C. Warner in the Fourth District Court of Appeal of Florida. She is a 2002 High Honors graduate from the University of Florida Levin College of Law, where she was inducted into the Order of the Coif. Ms. Martin received her Bachelor's Degree from Flagler College after graduating summa cum laude, being awarded Departmental Honors in Philosophy/Religion, and being inducted into the Alpha Chi and Omicron Delta Kappa honor societies.

Ms. Martin was appointed by the Florida Bar to sit on the Board of Directors of Florida Legal Services and she serves as Audit Committee Chair of Families First of Palm Beach County. She is also a co-author of Florida Insurance Law and Practice, an annual publication by Thomson/West.

Ms. Martin is admitted to practice in the Florida State Courts; the U.S. District Courts for the Northern, Middle, and Southern Districts of Florida; the U.S. Court of Appeals, 11th Circuit; and the United States Supreme Court.

Wallace B. McCall

Wallace B. McCall, Of Counsel, joined Cohen Milstein in January 2014. Mr. McCall obtained a Bachelor of Arts degree in political science from the University of the South in Sewanee, Tennessee and is a graduate of J.D. Stetson University College of Law in St. Petersburg, Florida. He has devoted his career to representing individuals who have sustained serious personal injuries and families of those who have suffered the wrongful death of a loved one.

Mr. McCall has obtained more than 20 verdicts or settlements of \$1,000,000 or more and has served as lead counsel in over 100 jury trials. His exceptional code of ethics, legal ability and respectability in the courtroom and the legal community has earned him an AV rating from Martindale Hubbell for 20 consecutive years. He has been Board certified by the Florida Bar since 1984, the highest level of recognition by the Florida Bar, for competency and experience for a civil trial lawyer.

He is a recipient of the Judge O. Wehle Award in Trial Practice, as well as a member of the Palm Beach County Bar Association, Florida Justice Association, Palm Beach County Justice Association, and the American Board of Trial Advocates.

Douglas J. McNamara

Douglas McNamara, Of Counsel at the Firm, joined Cohen Milstein in 2001 as a member of the Antitrust and Consumer Protection & Unsafe Products practice groups.

Mr. McNamara has worked on numerous cases involving dangerous pharmaceuticals and medical devices, light cigarettes, defective consumer products, and environmental torts.

Prior to joining Cohen Milstein, Mr. McNamara was a litigation associate at Arnold & Porter, specializing in pharmaceutical and product liability cases. He started his career at New York City's Legal Aid Society, defending indigent criminal defendants at trial and on appeal.

He has authored two law review articles: *Buckley, Imbler and Stare Decisis: The Present Predicament of Prosecutorial Immunity and An End to Its Absolute Means*, 59 Alb. L. Rev. 1135 (1996); and *Sexual Discrimination and Sexual Misconduct: Applying New York's Gender-Specific Sexual Misconduct Law to Minors*, 14 Touro L. Rev. 477 (Winter 1998). He is presently teaching a course on environmental and toxic torts as an adjunct at George Washington University School of Law.

Mr. McNamara graduated from SUNY Albany with a B.A. in Political Science (*summa cum laude*, 1992) and New York University School of Law (J.D., 1995).

Mr. McNamara is admitted to practice in New York and the District of Columbia.

Casey M. Preston

Casey Preston, an Associate at Cohen Milstein, is a member of the Firm's Whistleblower/False Claims Act Practice.

Mr. Preston serves as counsel in *United States of America et al. ex rel. Lauren Kieff v. Wyeth*, the whistleblower case against pharmaceutical giant Wyeth (recently acquired by Pfizer). The lawsuit alleges that states were defrauded when Wyeth falsely inflated the price of the acid suppression drug Protonix Oral from 2001 through 2006. Thirty-six states and the District of Columbia have joined with the United States to intervene in the Wyeth case -- the most states that have ever intervened in any U.S. Qui Tam case.

In addition to helping and serving as counsel for whistleblowers, Mr. Preston has also represented and advised clients in various complex litigations, securities class actions, and commercial disputes.

Mr. Preston received his B.S. degree from The Citadel and his J.D. from Villanova University School of Law (J.D., 2000). He clerked for the Hon. William J. Nealon, U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania (2001-2002) and the Hon. Terrence R. Nealon, Court of Common Pleas Lackawanna County, Pennsylvania (2000-2001).

Mr. Preston is admitted to the Pennsylvania Bar, and to the United States Supreme Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania and Middle District of Pennsylvania.

He is a member of Taxpayers Against Fraud (TAF) and the Villanova Law J. Willard O'Brien American Inn of Court. And he provides pro bono legal services to the Legal Clinic for the Disabled.

Daniel B. Rehns

Daniel B. Rehns joined Cohen Milstein as an Associate in 2009 and is a member of the Securities Fraud/Investor Protection practice group. Prior to that time, Mr. Rehns was an Associate at Schoengold Sporn Laitman & Lometti, P.C. ("SSL"), where he practiced in the areas of securities fraud and consumer class action litigation since 2007.

While at SSSL, Mr. Rehns devoted his practice to the representation of individual and institutional shareholders who had been injured as the result of corporate fraud or corporate malfeasance. Notably, Mr. Rehns represented numerous Taft-Hartley pension funds in securities class actions suits arising from material misstatements in Registration Statements and Prospectuses issued in connection with purchases of Mortgage-Backed Securities (MBS) collateralized by “toxic loans,” including sub-prime, Alt-A and other fraudulently originated mortgages. In addition, Mr. Rehns represented a Taft-Hartley pension fund in a securities fraud class action against SPX Corporation arising from material misrepresentations about SPX’s business segments, free cash flow, and \$45 million of alleged insider sales in the weeks leading up to SPX’s negative disclosure. This matter was successfully litigated and resulted in a \$10 million cash settlement.

Mr. Rehns has also represented classes of consumers of both manufactured and banking products who had purchased defective products or had been defrauded by unfair business practices.

Mr. Rehns earned his Juris Doctorate from New York Law School in 2005 as a Dean’s List recipient. While in law school, Mr. Rehns participated in Froessel Moot Court and was a member of the New York Law School Corporate & Business Law Society. Notably, Mr. Rehns co-authored the first edition of West’s Nutshell on Corporate Financial Law.

Prior to law school, Mr. Rehns received a Bachelor of Arts from Bucknell University in 2002, with a double major in Economics and Finance, and minors in Legal Studies and Philosophy. Mr. Rehns was involved in several school and philanthropic groups, including Sigma Alpha Epsilon Fraternity, Big Brothers/Big Sisters of America and the Dean’s Student Alumni Association.

Mr. Rehns is a resident of Cohen Milstein’s New York office.

Admissions and Affiliations

- New York State
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York
- United States District Court for the District of New Jersey
- American Bar Association
- New York State Bar Association

Kenneth M. Rehns

Kenneth M. Rehns joined Cohen Milstein as an Associate in April 2009 and is a member of the Securities Fraud/Investor Protection practice group. Prior to joining Cohen Milstein, Mr. Rehns was an Associate at Schoengold Sporn Laitman & Lometti, P.C. (“SSLL”) where he practiced in the area of securities fraud.

Mr. Rehns earned his law degree from Syracuse University College of Law in 2008 graduating cum laude. While in law school, Mr. Rehns was an associate editor on two of the School’s academic journals, *The Syracuse Journal of International Law and Commerce* and *The Digest*. Mr. Rehns was also a member of the Syracuse University Community Development Law Clinic where he assisted several not-for-profit organizations attain tax-exempt status and served as general counsel to both for-

profit and not-for-profit businesses. During the summer of 2007, Mr. Rehns worked at Cohen Milstein in the firm's International Group.

Before law school, Mr. Rehns received a Bachelor of Business Administration from The George Washington University in 2005, graduating cum laude, with a concentration in Business, Economics and Public Policy and a minor in Economics.

Mr. Rehns is a resident of Cohen Milstein's New York office.

Admissions and Affiliations

- State of New York
- State of New Jersey
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York
- United States District Court for the District of New Jersey
- New York State Bar Association
- New York County Lawyers Association

Bruce F. Rinaldi

Bruce Rinaldi, who has over 32 years of ERISA class action and fiduciary litigation experience, joined the Firm in 2004 as Of Counsel and is a member of the Employee Benefits practice group.

While at the Firm, Mr. Rinaldi has been exclusively engaged in ERISA class action practice, during which time he has litigated several dozen fiduciary breach cases, including the following reported decisions: *Hargrave v. TXU Corp.*, 392 F.Supp.2d 785 (N.D. Tex. 2005); *In re Merck & Co., Inc. Securities, Derivative & ERISA Litigation*, 2009 WL 331426 (D.N.J. 2009); *In re Marsh ERISA Litigation*, 2006 WL 3706169 (S.D.N.Y. 2006); *In re Beacon Associates Litigation*, 282 F.R.D. 315 (S.D.N.Y. 2012); *In re Pfizer Inc. ERISA Litigation*, 2009 WL 749545 (S.D.N.Y. 2009); and *Banyai v. Mazur*, 205 F.R.D. 160 (S.D.N.Y. 2002). Mr. Rinaldi also participated in the litigation of the following ESOP class actions: *Hans v. Tharaldson*, 2011 WL 6937598 (D.N.D. 2011); *Beam v. HSBC Bank*, No. 02-CV-0682E(SR) (E.D.N.Y. 2002); and *Chesemore v. Alliance Holdings, Inc.*, 886 F.Supp.2d 1007 (W.D. Wis. 2012).

Prior to joining Cohen Milstein, Mr. Rinaldi worked for four years as the principal ERISA trial counsel for McTigue Law Firm, during which time he participated in the litigation of the following reported and unreported ERISA class actions: *In re McKesson HBOC, Inc. ERISA Litigation*, 2002 WL 31431588 (N.D. Cal. 2002); *In re CMS Energy ERISA Litig.*, 312 F. Supp. 2d 898 (E.D. Mich. 2004); *Koch v. Dwyer*, 2001 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 4085 (S.D.N.Y. 2001); *Blyer v. Agee*, CV-97-6332 BLW (D. Idaho 1999); *Sherrill v. Federal-Mogul*, Civ. No. 04-72949 (E.D. Mich. 2004); and *In re Xerox Corp. ERISA Litigation*, Civ. No. 3:02CV01138(AWT) (D. Conn. 2002).

Before entering private practice, Mr. Rinaldi spent over 20 years in government conducting complex fiduciary litigation, first under ERISA and later under the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act ("FIRREA"). Beginning in 1980, Mr. Rinaldi worked for ten years in the Office of the Solicitor of Labor as the Supervisory Trial Attorney, where he litigated *Donovan v. Fitzsimmons* (N.D. Ill.), negotiating and drafting a consent decree governing the management of billions of dollars in assets of the Teamsters Central States Pension Fund, which remains in effect today. Mr. Rinaldi also

conducted a four month trial of allegations of ERISA fiduciary breaches with respect to the Teamsters Central States Health and Welfare Fund in *Brock v. Robbins* (D.C. N.D. Ill.).

Additionally, he managed the following reported ERISA cases for the Department of Labor: *Martin v. Consultants & Administrators, Inc.*, 966 F.2d 1078 (C.A.7 (Ill.) 1992); *Whitfield v. Cohen*, 682 F.Supp. 188 (S.D.N.Y. 1988); *Brock v. Robbins*, 830 F.2d 640 (C.A.7 (Ill.) 1987); and *Reich v. Valley National Bank*, 837 F. Supp. 1259 (S.D.N.Y. 1993) (one of the first actions by the Department challenging the valuation of sponsor shares purchased by an ESOP).

Mr. Rinaldi also worked for the Office of Thrift Supervision (“OTS”) for ten years in the 1990s as the Associate Chief Counsel for Litigation, a position in which he directed investigations and enforcement actions under FIRREA for fiduciary breaches arising out of failures of thrifts and savings and loan organizations. He directed all of the enforcement actions taken by the OTS against officers, directors, accountants, and attorneys associated with Lincoln Savings and Loan Association and United Savings Association of Texas, the two largest thrift failures in history. See *In re American Continental Corp./Lincoln Sav. & Loan Securities Litigation* (D. Ariz.).

Before joining the government, Mr. Rinaldi clerked for United States District Judge James A. Walsh in Tucson, Arizona, and taught at the University of Arizona School of Law. After spending three years as a Peace Corps volunteer in Venezuela, Mr. Rinaldi earned a B.A. in Political Science from the University of California at Berkeley in 1969 and received a J.D. from the University of California at Davis (King Hall) in 1972.

Sharon K. Robertson

Sharon K. Robertson joined Cohen Milstein as an Associate in 2007 and is a member of the Antitrust practice group.

Ms. Robertson currently represents Registered Nurses employed by hospitals in Albany, Detroit and Memphis in lawsuits alleging that their employers unlawfully fixed their wages in violation of federal antitrust laws. Ms. Robertson is also working on *In re Urethane Antitrust Litigation (Polyether Polyol Cases)* (D. Kan.), where she represents a class of direct purchasers of several types of chemicals who allegedly were overcharged as a result of a nationwide price-fixing and market allocation conspiracy. One defendant, Bayer, already has settled for \$55.3 million and is providing cooperation pursuant to its obligations under the settlement agreement.

Ms. Robertson also represents Indonesian villagers in a lawsuit against Exxon Mobil over torture and extrajudicial killings allegedly committed by the defendant’s security forces (a unit of the Indonesian military).

Before attending law school, Ms. Robertson worked on the campaign committee of Councilman John Liu, the first Asian-American to be elected to New York City’s City Council.

During law school, Ms. Robertson served as an Alexander Fellow. In that capacity, she spent a semester interning full-time for the Honorable Shira A. Scheindlin, United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. She was also an intern in the Litigation Bureau of the Office of the New York State Attorney General and the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.

Ms. Robertson graduated from the State University of New York at Binghamton, where she received a

B.A. in Philosophy, Politics and Law (*magna cum laude*, 2003). She received her law degree from the Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law (J.D., 2006). She served as Notes Editor of the Cardozo Public Law, Policy and Ethics Journal.

Ms. Robertson is admitted to practice in New York and New Jersey.

Peter Romer-Friedman

Peter Romer-Friedman joined Cohen Milstein in 2009 and is a member of the Civil Rights and Employment Practice Group. Mr. Romer-Friedman has extensive experience in class action and impact litigation involving fair housing, employment discrimination, credit discrimination, veterans' rights, disability rights, and wage theft. Mr. Romer-Friedman has represented over 15 non-profit organizations that advocate for justice and equality in housing, employment, and public accommodations.

Prior to joining Cohen Milstein, Mr. Romer-Friedman served as labor counsel for the U.S. Senate Committee on Health, Education, Labor and Pensions and its Chairman, Senator Edward M. Kennedy, assisting Senator Chairman Kennedy and other Senators with legislation, speeches, regulatory comments, and hearings on a range of labor, employment, and civil rights issues. Prior to his work in the Senate, Mr. Romer-Friedman served as a law clerk to the Honorable Stephen Reinhardt of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in Los Angeles.

Since joining Cohen Milstein, Mr. Romer-Friedman has served as lead or co-lead counsel in a number of major, groundbreaking civil rights and employment actions, including:

- *Keepseagle v. Vilsack*, No. 1:99CV03119 (D.D.C.), where thousands of Native American farmers and ranchers nationwide obtained a settlement with the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) that provides \$760 million in damages to compensate the farmers for racial discrimination under the USDA's farm loan program since 1981 and reforms the USDA's programs;
- *Greater New Orleans Fair Housing Action Center v. U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development (HUD)*, 1:08-cv-01938-HHK (D.D.C.), where two fair housing groups and 20,000 African-American homeowners in New Orleans challenged Louisiana and HUD's \$11 billion post-Katrina housing rebuilding program that discriminated against African Americans, and obtained over \$470 million in voluntary reforms and a \$62 million settlement that primarily benefited African-American homeowners;
- *Tuten v. United Air Lines, Inc.*, No. 1:12-cv-01561-WJM-MEH (D. Colo.), where nearly 1,200 United pilots who took leave to serve in the U.S. Armed Forces alleged that United failed to make pension contributions for their periods of long term military leave in compliance with the federal Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act (USERRA), and obtained a \$6.15 million settlement in which United agreed to pay Class Members more than 100 percent of their lost pension contributions and agreed to reform its policy for making pension contributions for periods of military leave;
- *National Fair Housing Alliance v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.*, HUD Case No.: 9-12-0708-8, where 14 fair housing organizations alleged that Wells Fargo maintained and marketed

foreclosed properties nationwide in a materially worse condition in communities of color than in predominantly white communities, and won a settlement in which Wells Fargo agreed to reform its practices and pay \$42 million, most of which will assist homeowners in communities of color; and

- *Hill v. U.S. Postal Service*, EEOC Hearing No. 110-2004-00311X, where disabled veterans who were asked by the U.S. Postal Service to provide medical documentation prior to conditional offers of employment in violation of the federal Rehabilitation Act, won an \$11 million settlement that reforms the Postal Service's practices and protects disabled veteran applicants' rights.

In 2014, Mr. Romer-Friedman was recognized as a *Rising Star* in the Washington, DC area, by Superlawyers. In 2011, Mr. Romer-Friedman and other members of class counsel in *Keepseagle v. Vilsack*, were finalists for Public Justice's "2011 Trial Lawyer of the Year Award." In 2011 the National Law Journal selected Cohen Milstein to its "2011 Plaintiffs' Hot List," and profiled the work of Mr. Romer-Friedman and his colleagues in *Keepseagle v. Vilsack* and *GNOFHAC v. HUD*.

Mr. Romer-Friedman has provided pro bono legal services to non-profit organizations, small businesses, labor unions, public officials, and academics, including: (1) filing an amicus brief on behalf of small and minority-owned businesses urging the U.S. Supreme Court to reaffirm its support for affirmative action in higher education admissions, (2) filing an amicus brief on behalf of the National Community Land Trust Network urging the U.S. Supreme Court to recognize that the Fair Housing Act authorizes disparate impact claims, and (3) and filing an amicus brief on behalf of several national labor unions urging the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to consider the importance of allowing workers to pursue and prove their claims collectively.

Mr. Romer-Friedman graduated from the University of Michigan at Ann Arbor with a B.A. in Honors Economics and Social Science (cum laude and Phi Beta Kappa, 2001) and Columbia Law School (J.D., 2006), where he was a James Kent Scholar and a Harlan Fiske Stone Scholar. While at Columbia, Mr. Romer-Friedman served as managing editor of the Columbia Journal of Law & Social Problems, authored a Note, *Eliot Spitzer Meets Mother Jones: How State Attorneys General Can Enforce State Wage and Hour Laws*, 39 Colum. J.L. & Soc. Probs. 495 (2006), and was an extern to the Honorable Shira Scheindlin, U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. In addition, he was the recipient of the Emil Schlesinger Labor Prize and the ABA-BNA Award for Excellence in the Study of Labor and Employment Law.

While at the University of Michigan, Mr. Romer-Friedman received the national Harry S. Truman Scholarship for Public Service and co-founded the Worker Rights Consortium, a non-profit organization that monitors labor rights in apparel factories worldwide.

Prior to law school, Mr. Romer-Friedman was a Legislative Representative for the United Steelworkers of America, and worked for several other labor organizations, including the AFL-CIO, UNITE!, and SEIU.

Mr. Romer-Friedman is admitted to practice in New York and the District of Columbia, the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York, the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, the U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado, and the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois.

Mr. Romer-Friedman currently serves as an Associate Trustee of the Washington Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights & Urban Affairs.

Raymond M. Sarola

Raymond M. Sarola, an Associate at Cohen Milstein, is a member of the Firm's Whistleblower/False Claims Act Practice.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Sarola served as Senior Policy Advisor & Counsel in the Mayor's Office of the City of New York. While there, he represented the Mayor and Commissioner of Finance on the boards of the City's pension systems and deferred compensation plan and advised on legal issues regarding pension investments, benefit payments, securities litigation and corporate governance initiatives. Previously, Mr. Sarola was a Litigation Associate at Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP, where he represented companies and individuals in securities and other complex commercial litigation, internal investigations, and antitrust matters.

Mr. Sarola received his B.A. degree from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill in 2002 and his J.D. from the University of Pennsylvania Law School in 2005, where he also earned a Certificate of Study in Business and Public Policy from the Wharton School. He was a Summer Intern for the Honorable Clarence Newcomer, United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania in 2003.

Mr. Sarola is admitted to the New York Bar and to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

Abby Shafroth

Abby Shafroth joined Cohen Milstein as an Associate in 2010 and is a member of the Civil Rights & Employment practice group.

Prior to joining the firm, Ms. Shafroth was a fellow and associate counsel for the Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights Under Law in the Fair Housing and Employment Discrimination Projects, where she litigated complex civil rights cases challenging exclusionary zoning and employment discrimination. She also served as a law clerk for the Honorable Richard A. Paez of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

Ms. Shafroth graduated from Harvard College with an A.B. in Psychology (*cum laude*, 2004) and Harvard Law School (*cum laude*, 2008). During law school, Ms. Shafroth served as Articles Editor for the *Harvard Law Review* and as Editor for the *Civil Rights - Civil Liberties Law Review*. She also assisted in the employment civil rights group at the WilmerHale Legal Services Center and worked with Ghana Legal Services in studying local health care delivery in rural Ghana. In addition, Ms. Shafroth spent summers working with the Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights Under Law, Covington & Burling, and the Civil Rights Bureau of the New York State Office of the Attorney General.

Ms. Shafroth is admitted to practice in New York, the District of Columbia, and the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.

Daniel Silverman

Daniel Silverman, an Associate at the Firm, joined Cohen Milstein in 2012 as a member of the Antitrust Practice Group.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Silverman was an Associate at Spiegel & McDiarmid where he represented public sector clients in energy-related fields before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, state public utility commissions, and federal appellate courts. Mr. Silverman also served as the Executive Director of Legal Economics, LLC, a firm specializing in the analysis of complex economic issues related to legal issues that is based in Harvard Square, Cambridge, Massachusetts. At Legal Economics, he supported expert economic testimony in a variety of antitrust matters involving horizontal price-fixing, mergers, and loyalty discounts in industries ranging from healthcare and computer hardware to live music promotion.

Mr. Silverman graduated from Brown University in 2005 with a B.S. in Physics (*magna cum laude, Phi Beta Kappa*). Mr. Silverman received his J.D., *magna cum laude*, from Harvard Law School in June 2010. During law school, he served as a Managing Editor of the *Harvard Environmental Law Review* and the Alumni Chair of the Harvard Environmental Law Society. Mr. Silverman also served as a summer associate at the U.S. Department of Justice in the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Law and Policy Section.

Mr. Silverman is admitted to practice in New York and is currently practicing under the supervision of Daniel A. Small, a member of the D.C. Bar.

Matthew A. Smith

Matthew Smith, an Associate at the Firm, joined Cohen Milstein in 2013 and is a member of the Employee Benefits practice group.

Prior to his employment with Cohen Milstein, Mr. Smith practiced at Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP, where he focused on securities enforcement and pro bono matters. Mr. Smith also served as a judicial law clerk under the Hon. Rosemary Barkett of the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit.

Mr. Smith graduated from Columbia University in 2006 with a B.A., *cum laude*, in History and received his J.D., *magna cum laude*, Order of the Coif, and an L.L.M. in International and Comparative Law from Duke University School of Law in 2011. During law school, he served as Notes Editor of the Duke Law Journal, Campus President of the International Criminal Court Student Network, and Co-Chair of the Human Rights Law Society. Mr. Smith interned with the United States Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division and the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina.

Mr. Smith has published academic papers on a range of topics including constitutional law, international criminal law, and legal ethics.” We could add a “publications” tab on the left side of the screen listing the following publications:

- *Delegating Away the Unitary Executive: Reviewing INA § 287(g) Agreement Through the Lens of the Unitary Executive Theory*
__DUKE J. OF CONST. L. & PUB. POL’Y __ (forthcoming 2013)

- *Reasons Behind the Rules: From Description to Normativity in International Criminal Procedure* 35 N.C.J. INT'L L. & COM. REG. 255 (2011) (with Noah Weisbord)
- Note, *Advice and Complicity* 60 DUKE L.J. 499 (2010)

Mr. Smith is admitted to practice in New York and his application to the District of Columbia Bar is pending.

Richard A. Speirs

Richard A. Speirs joined Cohen Milstein as Of Counsel in 2010 and is a member of the Securities Fraud/Investor Protection practice group. For the past ten years, Mr. Speirs was a partner at Zwerling, Schachter & Zwerling, LLP.

At his former firm, Mr. Speirs served as lead or co-lead counsel in numerous securities fraud class actions throughout the United States. Mr. Speirs successfully litigated numerous national securities class actions as lead counsel, achieving significant recoveries for investors. Mr. Speirs was also lead or co-lead attorney in several cases where the court issued a seminal decision involving the following subjects: (i) the improper grouping of unaffiliated investors in a lead plaintiff motion; (ii) recommendation of default sanction against auditing firm for discovery misconduct involving electronic audit workpapers; and (iii) the liability under Section 10(b) of a non-issuer for disclosures made by the issuer. Among the successful cases litigated by Mr. Speirs are: *In re BP Prudhoe Bay Royalty Trust Securities Litigation*, (W.D. Wa.) (\$43.5 million recovery); *In re First BanCorp Securities Litigation*, (D.P.R.) (\$74.5 million recovery); *In re Telxon Corp. Securities Litigation*, (N.D. Ohio) (\$40 million recovery); and *Hayman v. PricewaterhouseCoopers, LLP*, (N.D. Ohio) (\$27.9 million recovery). Mr. Speirs has over twenty years of experience representing investors in cases involving complex financial, accounting and auditing issues. He has also represented investors who were victims of fraudulent Ponzi schemes and the sale of unregistered securities. Mr. Speirs also has substantial experience in stockholder litigation involving corporate takeovers and in derivative actions.

Mr. Speirs was admitted to the bar of the State of New York in 1986; he is admitted to the following federal courts: the United States District Court for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, and the United States Court of Appeals for the Second, Ninth and Tenth Circuits. He is a member of the New York State Bar Association. In January 2007 Mr. Speirs was a panelist at the Public Funds Summit and spoke on the topic of *Alternative Investments: Regulatory Landscape and Lessons from the Ashes*.

Education: Brooklyn College of the City University of New York in 1976 cum laude; Brooklyn Law School J.D. 1985 (Order of the Coif).

Catherine A. Torell

Catherine A. Torell is the Director of Securities Research And Analysis at Cohen Milstein. She joined the Firm in 2002 and is a member of the Securities Fraud/Investor Protection practice group.

Currently, Ms. Torell is involved in the *In re Parmalat Securities Litigation* (S.D.N.Y.) in which Cohen Milstein serves as co-lead Counsel. She also conducts investigations of securities fraud cases for the practice group, working with all of its litigators.

Prior to joining Cohen Milstein, Ms. Torell was associated with the firm of Entwistle & Cappucci LLP, where she served as one of co-lead counsel in *In re Providian Financial Securities Litigation* (\$38 million settlement). In approving the settlement, the Court remarked on the “extremely high quality” and “skill and efficiency” of plaintiffs’ counsel’s work throughout the litigation. Ms. Torell also was previously associated with Goodkind Labaton Rudoff & Sucharow LLP, where she served as counsel to the New York City Pension Funds in *In re Orbital Sciences Corp. Securities Litigation* (\$22.5 million settlement), and was a key member of the litigation team that successfully resisted defendants’ efforts to dismiss the case. Ms. Torell also served as counsel to the Florida State Board of Administration in *LaPerriere v. Vesta Insurance Group, et al.*, and as counsel to Amalgamated Bank of New York in *In re Bristol-Myers-Squibb Securities Litigation* (\$61 million settlement).

Ms. Torell received a B.A. in Political Science from Stony Brook University (1984) and her law degree from St. John’s University School of Law (1990) where she was the recipient of the Federal Jurisprudence Award.

Ms. Torell is admitted to practice in New York.

Times Wang

Times Wang joined Cohen Milstein in 2014 and is an associate in the Securities Fraud practice group.

Before joining Cohen Milstein, Mr. Wang was a litigator with Irell & Manella LLP in Los Angeles, where he represented clients in matters ranging from patent infringement, trade secrets misappropriation, white-collar defense, bankruptcy litigation, and whistleblower claims. Mr. Wang also represented pro bono clients on matters relating to human rights and political asylum.

Mr. Wang earned his J.D., *cum laude*, from New York University School of Law in 2011, where he served as Articles Editor for the Annual Survey of American Law. During law school, Mr. Wang interned at Human Rights in China and served as Human Rights Chair of the Asia Law Society. Mr. Wang earned his B.A. in East Asian Studies, with Great Distinction, from McGill University in Montreal in 2007.

Publications

- "Targeted and Entrapped: Manufacturing the 'Homegrown Threat' in the United States," *NYU School of Law Center for Human Rights and Global Justice* (2011), co-author
- “Giving Hope to China’s Activists,” *The Huffington Post* (Dec. 10, 2010)
- “For Obama, A Dream From My Father,” *The Washington Post* (Nov. 13, 2009)

David Young

David Young joined Cohen Milstein as an Associate in 2010. He is a member of the Antitrust practice group. Mr. Young has extensive experience in complex antitrust litigation, class actions, federal False Claims Act litigation, and appeals.

Mr. Young represents businesses and individuals in federal and state civil actions, with a focus on multi-district class actions and federal False Claims Act litigation. He has worked on antitrust issues in numerous industries, including pharmaceuticals, financial services, financial derivatives, and PC microprocessors. Mr. Young also represents qui tam relators in federal False Claims Act litigation.

Prior to joining Cohen Milstein, Mr. Young practiced at Arnold & Porter LLP's and Heller Ehrman LLP's Washington, D.C. offices. His litigation practice focused on antitrust, trademark, business, and False Claims Act litigation. He represented the relator in *U.S. ex rel. Loughren v. UnumProvident Corp.* (D. Mass), where a jury found that UnumProvident violated the False Claims Act by causing the submission of false claims for social security disability benefits. He also represented U.S. trademark holders suing to prevent the illegal importation of products bearing their marks in federal court and administrative actions. Mr. Young has represented pro bono clients in discrimination actions before the D.C. Circuit and D.C. District courts, including successfully arguing for reversal of the district court's dismissal of his client's case in *Miller v. Hersman*, 594 F.3d 8 (D.C. Cir. 2010).

Mr. Young graduated from Bridgewater College with a B.A. in Physics (2001) and from Harvard Law School (J.D., 2006), where he served as an Executive Editor for the Harvard Civil Rights-Civil Liberties Law Review. He also represented clients in disability and discrimination cases as a member of Harvard's clinical programs, worked as a research assistant for Professor Christine Jolls, and volunteered as a summer legal intern at the Whitman-Walker Clinic in Washington, D.C.

Mr. Young is admitted to practice in Washington, D.C. and New York, as well as in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, and the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. He is a member of the ABA Section of Antitrust Law.